City Manager's Report on Taxicab Issues:

Resolution No. 20100225 – 060 Resolution No. 20100527 - 055



W. Gordon Derr, P.E.

Assistant Director

Austin Transportation Department

August 10, 2010

February Council Resolution on Vehicles for Hire (1 of 2)

- 2/25/10, City Council passed Resolution 20100225-060 following action increasing Taxi Fares
- City Manager directed to work with Urban Transportation Commission to collect stakeholder input and make recommendations
- Stakeholders to include drivers, customers, franchise holders and associated businesses.

February Council Resolution on Vehicles for Hire (2 of 2)

- Topics to include
 - Flat rate fare from Airport to Downtown
 - Flat rate fare from Airport to UT
 - Flat rate fare within Downtown
 - Boundaries for Downtown and UT
 - Clean up fee for passenger incidents
 - Additional Downtown Taxi Stands
 - Other drop-off/pick-up locations
 - Other issues that arise

2nd Council Resolution on Vehicles for Hire

- Council passed a 2nd resolution adding to the charge of the Staff and UTC task force
 - Taxicab Franchise holders performance indicators
 - To track customer service data, fleet make up, fee growth rate, etc.
 - Capping fees charged by Franchise holders
 - Revised method to renew franchises
 - Various procurement options
 - Methods to achieve City goals
 - Integration of key performance measures

UTC and Staff Resources

- Urban Transportation Commission
 - Dustin Lanier
 - Boone Blocker
- Austin Transportation Department
 - Morris Poe
 - Joe Tower
 - Marcy Cardona
 - Lee Austin, P.E.
 - Gordon Derr, P.E.
 - Steve Grassfield
- Corporate Public Information Office
 - Larry Schooler

UTC and Staff Task Force Goals

- Ensure taxi service provides the greatest safety, convenience, and value, while respecting the needs of the drivers, riders, and franchise holders
- Ensure ordinance creates the right incentives for effective operations and appropriate City oversight
- Assess ordinance, rules, and procedures to identify high value short term pilots and long term adjustments

Zone Fares

Drivers and franchise holders consensus was to continue to use metered fares instead of flat rate fares for:

- ABIA to CBD
- ABIA to UT area
- Within CBD
- Therefore, CBD and UT area boundaries- no action necessary

Clean Up Fee

"Fee that would apply when a cab must be removed from service for cleanup."

- Option 1: Add (\$0.05 or \$0.10) to drop fee per trip. Drivers keep additional revenue for cleanup required
- Option 2: Add (\$0.25) to drop fee per trip. Drivers remit collected fees to franchise, and franchise to City. Drivers file claim with City for reimbursement up to \$250. Fee to fund claims and additional City staff person to administer program.
- Staff taking feedback from Stakeholders on best avenue forward

Council Requested Recommendations on:

- "The potential for additional cab stands in the warehouse district and other entertainment districts;"
 - Staff has developed a proposal to add evening taxi stands near primary downtown entertainment venues.
 - Staff seeking feedback on locations and prior to implementation administratively.
- "Using "hailing icons" and implementing new pickup/dropoff procedures to improve customer access to services."
 - Staff is working with PDR Urban Design on development of a contract to design a downtown wayfinding system which can include additional locations for drop-off and pick-up. Staff would like to implement the revised taxi stand proposal and see what areas would need additional options.

Additional Issues Brought to the Task Force:

- Minimum fare for taxi trips departing ABIA
 - Suggested minimum equal to 4 mile trip plus airport surcharge
 - With today's fare structure that would be \$11.65
- Alternating Day Access for Cabs to ABIA
 - Airport Vehicle for Hire Control has looked at proposal and do not feel it is needed at this time, but option for the future
- Build In Incentives for Hybrids: Set as key performance measure for franchise evaluation
 - Look at franchise fleet fuel mileage as indicator of meeting community values
- Taxicab permit allocation method between Franchises
 - Today, any new permits would be made available to Austin or Lone Star Cab Companies, Yellow Cab not eligible until below 60%.
 - Issue needs additional study

Recommendations on additional issues:

- Pedicabs should have regulations
 - Currently each pedi-cab company has an operating authority with the City which are not generally available for viewing
 - All vehicles for hire that operate in the City are under common requirements including liability insurance and driver licenses
 - Recommend revision to City Code to incorporate pedicab regulations
 - Look at establishing flat rate fare (Example: San Diego uses \$2 per block or \$2 per intersection crossed) for pedicabs
 - ATD to begin ongoing discussions with Pedi-cab industry to address current concerns.

Resolution No. 20100225 - 060

Recommendations on additional issues:

- Mobile or Portable permits
 - No successful implementation models found
 - Needs additional study
- Appeal process for terminated drivers
 - Recommend the City does not become involved in arbitration
- Health/injury insurance coverage
 - Do not recommend franchise holders to be required to provide health/injury insurance for drivers
- Credit/Debit Payments through 3rd Party
 - Franchise holders should negotiate in contract with drivers

 Resolution No. 20100225 060

Council Requested Recommendations on:

- "Taxicab performance indicators;
- •Capping fees charged by franchises to the drivers."
 - Staff will work with drivers and franchise holders to develop a set of measures that will give a complete picture of the franchise operations, driver conditions, and user satisfaction. A measure could be the fees charged to the drivers and their changes over time.
 - Staff will work with the UTC and stakeholders to develop a profile for the optimal franchise and progress will be measured as the franchises moves toward the goal.

Council Requested Recommendations on:

- "A revised methodology for authorizing franchise agreements.
- Various agreement types that enable franchises and the City to achieve customer service, community value, and driver working condition goals.
- Integration of key performance indicators as a standard for continued franchise authorization."
 - •These items require further study and consideration.

Considerations in Developing Future Processes:

- No standard best practice
- Austin has small number of taxi companies
- Over allocation of permits
- Under current code, additional franchises could enter the market if permits were available
- Inadequate number of regulatory staff

Recommended Ordinance Goals:

- Create performance measures that better align economic interests of both drivers/franchises.
- Test preferred franchise profile through combined renewal process no less than every 5 years
- Through the renewal process, create recommendations on franchises and permit allocation together
- Create system of incentives for superior service which match preferred profiles

Taxi Service Comparison Fares

Fare Comparison

<u>City</u> <u>6-Mile Trip Cost</u>

Austin \$14.85

Dallas \$12.85

Fort Worth \$12.85

Houston \$13.00

San Antonio \$14.55

Portland \$16.00

Seattle \$17.25

Taxi Service Comparison Cab Ratio

Per Capita Availability

<u>City</u>	Population	# Cabs	<u>Ratio</u>
Dallas	1,400,000	2,022	1:692
Seattle	602,000	673	1:895
Houston	2,300,000	2,270	1:1013
Austin	783,295	669	1:1170
San Antonio	1,400,000	883	1:1585
Portland	575,000	382	1:1505
Fort Worth	736,200	300	1:2454