# Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Charge (EECRC) Rider

Karl R. Rábago Vice President, Distributed Energy Services



# Council Resolution No. 990913-05

"Cost effective energy conservation programs shall be the first priority in meeting new load growth requirements of Austin Energy."



#### **Current Forecast for 2011**

#### Efficiency Assumptions (to achieve 800 MW by 2020)

DSM kW Savings (w/ T&D, RM)

50,000 kW

DSM kWh Savings

86,000,000 kWh

Austin Energy System Sales (kWh)

12,193,000,000 kWh



#### **Total DSM Costs - 2011**

Costs				
Rebate Budget*	\$20,861,087			
Admin & Marketing*	\$10,876,538			
Other Dept/Group DSM Costs*	\$583,112			
Lost Revenue	\$4,614,012			
TOTAL DSM Costs	\$36,934,750			
GFT on Total Costs	\$3,652,887			
(assumes all costs collected as revenue)				
TOTAL DSM Costs with GFT	\$40,587,637			

(amount eligible for inclusion in EECRC)

<sup>\*</sup> Includes Council-approved budget for: Rebates ("CRIF"), O&M for Energy Efficiency Services group, O&M for Green Building, O&M for Austin Climate Protection Plan, O&M for Emerging Transportation Technologies, 50% of O&M for DES corporate, 10% of O&M for Key Accounts and Market Research and Product Development. Does not include capital budgets or Austin Energy overhead budgets in corporate, finance, HR, facilities, etc.



#### **EECRC Rider Calculation - FY 2011 Data**

Category	
Total Costs Eligible for EECRC	\$40,587,637
Amount of DSM Costs Currently in Base Rates	\$25,132,919
(based on 1995 EECRC of 0.0020613/kWh)	
Total DSM Cost Recovery Shortfall	\$15,454,718
EXCLUDE Lost Revenues as "Better Buy"*	(\$5,070,343)
Subtotal	\$10,384,375
Exclude Solar Rebate (and assoc. O&M)*	(\$5,282,855)
EECRC Rider Amount	\$5,101,520



<sup>\*</sup> Also excludes associated general funds transfer (GFT) at 9.1%

# **Impacts: Customer Allocator**

Customer Group	No. of Customers	(	Annual Group location	Cu	nnual stomer npact	Cust	nthly omer arge
Residential & Worship	360,088	\$ 2	2,203,737	\$	6.12	\$	0.51
E01A Res CAP Accounts	8,613	\$	52,709	\$	6.12	\$	0.51
Schools, State, City, & Lighting	1,640	\$	382,035	\$	232.92	\$	19.41
Commercial - Sec < 20 kW	33,625	\$	225,957	\$	6.72	\$	0.56
Commercial - Sec > 20 kW	9,768	\$ 1	1,584,744	\$	162.24	\$	13.52
Commercial - Primary	61	\$	74,657	\$	1,223.88	\$	101.99
Contract Customers	77	\$	564,304	\$	7,376.52	\$	614.71
Tota	al Collection	\$ 4	4,523,838				
Revenue I	Requirement	\$!	5,101,520				
	GFT	\$	411,669				



# **Impacts: Volumetric Allocator**

#### • EECRC Rider: \$5,101,520

- Raw rate impact (no allocator applied) \$0.00044/kWh
- Residential monthly impact: \$0.42
- Under-allocates according to 4CP by 7.7% for residential; overallocates according to 4CP by 4.2% for LTC
- Actual bill impact about 0.5% for all classes in 2011
- GFT on EECRC Rider Proposal: \$393,273



## **Implementation Options**

- Implement now in current eCIS billing system
  - Current system is "locked" in preparation for transition to new system
  - Changes to current system create moving target for CC&B data conversion tests
  - Changes to current system could cost up to \$100K, and take up to 3 months to implement
- Implement later in new CC&B system
  - Limits the number of months in this fiscal year to charge
  - Exact implementation dependent on CC&B "go-live"



# **Decisions Required**

- Amount to use as basis for rider in year 1
- Gradualism measures, if any
- Customer allocation mechanism
- Disposition of LTC share that cannot be collected from LTC customers
- Uncollected amount resulting from part-year implementation
- Implementation approach
- Calculation methodology for Year 2+



#### **Decisions**

<ul> <li>Amount to Use as Basis</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>Recommendation: \$5,101,520</li></ul>	Decision:
<ul> <li>Gradualism Measures</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>Recommendation: None</li></ul>	Decision:
<ul> <li>Customer Allocation Mechanism</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Recommendation: Per Bill, AE 4CP</li> </ul>	Decision:
<ul> <li>Disposition of Uncollected LTC Share</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>Recommendation: Absorb \$564,304</li></ul>	Decision:
<ul> <li>Uncollectable due to Part Year</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Recommendation: Absorb as gradualism</li> </ul>	Decision:
<ul> <li>Implementation Approach</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>Recommendation: With CC&amp;B</li></ul>	Decision:
<ul> <li>Year 2+ Calculation</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Recommendation: Unfunded + Over/Under</li> </ul>	Decision:



## **Next Steps**

- Austin Energy prepares final document packet based on Commission decisions
- Austin Energy presents to RMC
- Council sets for public hearing
- Council action
- Austin Energy implements rider, executes communication plan

#### **Questions & Discussion**

Karl R. Rábagokarl.rabago@austinenergy.comFred Yebra, Energy Efficiency Servicesfred.yebra@austinenergy.com