March 13, 2010

RECIEVED

03

MAR 1 4 2011

Greg Guernsay Robert Spillar PO Box 1088 Austin, Tx 78767

Planning & Development Review

Dear Mr. Guernsay and Mr. Spillar,

In reviewing the updated TIA submitted by HDR zoning for the modification of the Champions' tract RCA, I found grossly different uses for the Bull Creek Market, than what's represented in the update.

Attached are tables from two site plan pages from SPC-04-0015C. This is the site plan for phase 3 of Bull Creek Market, which is the most recent site plan approved for the Bull Creek Market. The table from page 004 of the site plan, labeled Overall Site Summary, shows the square footage of the three buildings:

Building A 15,543 Building containing Waterloo Ice House/Quzino's/Retail

Building B 5,035 Siena Restaurant

Building C 6,449 Building containing Chase Carpets

Total 27,027

The table from page 005, labeled Parking Table, shows the square footage of each suite in each building, and identifies the tenants at the time the site plan was released, 3/23/04. At that time, there were three restaurants in Bull Creek Market: Quiznos, Waterloo, and Siena. Those three restaurants are still present, and no additional restaurants are located there. Attached is a table showing the current occupants of Bull Creek Market by building and suite.

HDR's TIA update shows the breakdown of uses and square footage for Bull Creek Market as follows:

Quality restaurant High turnover (sit-down) restaurant Shopping center	14,600 8,330 4,070
Total	27,000

According to the table from page 005 of the site plan, the square footage of the three restaurants totals 11,533. Of those three, I suspect only Siena, at 5,035 square feet, can be considered a "Quality Restaurant," which means that the Quizno's and Waterloo at 1,499' and 4,999' seating (6,498) are likely to meet the high turnover (sit down) category. (Waterloo does have 2,000 square feet of "outdoor seating" which consists of a playground and a few picnic tables.) This leaves the remaining 13,494 square feet occupied (or previously occupied) by a variety of retail and other uses consistent with Shopping Center designation.

That means that there is 9,424 more square feet of retail than Ms. Hornaday indicates, 9,565 less square feet of quality restaurant, and 1,832 less square feet of high turnover (sit-down restaurant) from the numbers provided by Ms. Hornaday's in her most recent (March 9, 2011) TIA update for Tract 4.

While we do not know how she arrived at these numbers given the readily available site plan, we are even more troubled by the statement that, "traffic from this retail center was NOT added to the intersections listed above. " (Those include Loop 360/RM 2222, Champion Driveway/RM 2222, and Lakewood Drive/RM 2222.) How did this affect signalization timing, level of service with the miscalculated uses, and how does it affect it now?

We also understand that the signalization timing used in the 2015 projections is different from existing timing. However, the updated TIA does not indicate what the baseline signalization timing is versus what's forecasted for use in 2015, when the property is built, nor did it call for recommended changes. For example, is there a green arrow for the right turn from NB360 to 2222 in the baseline and forecasted for use in 2015? This was the situation in June before construction started and is the case now. Will it be the case after the Champions tract is developed? Shouldn't this be reflected in the TIA update, so you can see if the development caused a change to be required? The Traffic Criteria Manual requires this. Was any of this run by Terry McCoy at TXDOT? Clearly, the reason this documentation is required is so that you can understand if the development required changes or if changes are necessary. Otherwise, how can you say the modeling works?

We have done our utmost to point out glaring deficiencies in the modeling. First, we pointed out to your staff on February 9th and later on February 22nd, that the original TIA did not include convenience market traffic. We shared our initial concerns with staff all this week while we waited to get the updated TIA on March 9th. However, the update is just as flawed in its calculations and doesn't provide you with required elements. It is also misleading to decision makers. Given this how can you say the modeling works? Mr. Spillar how can you as a traffic engineer say it's safe?

We assume that you will be asking Ms. Hornaday to redo her figures and given that it is now the day before the Zoning and Platting Commission that there will be another staff postponement.

Here are the relevant provisions in the traffic criteria manual:

2.4.1 Study Documentation:

City of Austin Timing sheets are to be included in the appendix of the TIA Synchro signal timing sheets to be included in the following format; existing conditions (am+pm on one sheet), <u>future conditions</u> (am background, am background + site on one sheet, pm background, pm background+site on one sheet.)

2.3.1 Scope of the TIA:

Data Collection

Since existing signal timings shall be used for the intersection analyses in order to maintain adequate traffic progression, unless alternative timing proposals are approved by the Transportation Department Any data collection occurring when school is not in session will require an adjustment factor as identified by the transportation reviewer.

2.3.5 Recommendations on Roadway Improvements and Traffic Control Modifications:

If the intersections or turning movements analyzed operate at an unacceptable level of service prior to the introduction of the proposed development, the TIA should include specific recommendations to mitigate the site-traffic impact on roadways and intersections to maintain the existing level of service. Various traffic control improvements or land use decisions can be used to mitigate traffic impacts on adjacent roadways and intersections. These include, but are not limited to, the following:

B Traffic Control Modifications:

2. Signal controls(-new installation or upgrade existing traffic signal)

Geometric improvements are preferred over signal improvements. <u>All signal improvements must be approved by the signals division of the Transportation Department</u>...All improvements on State Highways must be approved by the Texas Department of Transportation.

The TIA must clearly identify in the recommendations any roadway improvements, (including geometric changes) traffic control modifications (including signal retiming) or other measures necessary to mitigate site-generated traffic impacts.

Ann Denkler, NWACA Zoning Chair, copy to NWACA Board

	_ !!!
1 23	141
K	
A	IIA
	XXI
	/X//
	/X//
Market.	//X/I
4	?//X/
	/9/X
	7//1/
- December 1	101
1 2	1111
1	
	HHH
	$X/// \cdot $
	N#
//X.	/X//
////	$/N_A$
9//	//N/
IIII	//N
III	///W
IIII	7//
MI	IIII
///2	

	JUNIO I ADLE		SEE BOA	# C15-07-104	1001
BUILDING A	SUBTE NO	90	DARWING DARW		7-2-1
OUZNO'S			LAWRING KA IIO	SPACES REQ'D	SPACES PROVIDED
DEC MARK	30	1458	1:100	-15	***
VIEW PARTY	A-150	1145	1:280 •		***************************************
MEST CALMENS	A-200	163	1. 280 e		۵
C.Ps + KOS	A-250	443	200	•	+
WORLD WINE TRAVE	100 T	191	797	9	S.
FIED SAL	200	160/	1: 500	G	8
	A-300	1260	1:280 *	4	
VALLANT LAUMIN OFFICE	A-400	3	1:278	**	c
REMAX (ADMIN, OFFICE)	A-450	28.70	4. 278		0
WATERLOO	I	7007	273	0.	10
OUTDOOR STATISTICS		200		20	20
AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	では、大学のない。	2000	1:100 •	20	06
The state of the s	で ない	(%)			
Desire de la constante de la c	SATE NO.	S.F.	PARKING RATIO	COLPER BEAT	
WE'NA RESAURANT	8-100	50.35	1.100	THE WAY	
			727	IO.	51
BUILDING C - PAD STE	Chirt M.	2.0			
CHASE PADDOTE	Cal Trans	3.5.	PARKING RATIO	SPACES REDTO	CDACE DOMANCE
	201-20 	4784	2: 500	Ct.	TO MAKE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY
VACANI (ADMIN. CPTICE)	0-200	1685	1:275	7	22
				†y	77
TOTAL					
The state of the s					

라 크용한 7. EXES

6. ALL

8. BUIL AT 2

30°E 30°E

-52 -

2. AL

₹ Ö

ri

4. ALL

NOTES

BICYCLE PARKING
REQUIRED PROVIDED
SE OF PARKING
11 19 19

PERCENT

SPACES

PARKING BY TYPE

5 %

Bull Crak Mulet site plang S

WHEN DESIGNATION OF THE TRANSPORT WE IS NOT THE PROPERTY !- IN THE PROPERTY IN

Bull Creek Market tenants as of 3/13/11

Quizno's Le Pro Nails Mesa Cleaners Kidclips South Swell Sports 360 Tan Pearls Day Spa & Boutique Avalar Austin &	A-100 A-150 A-200 A-250 A-300 A-350 A-400	quick service restaurant nail salon dry cleaners hair salon sporting goods tanning salon beauty salon and spa
Principle Mortgage Group Waterloo Ice House	A-450 A-600	real estate & mortgage services restaurant
Siena Restaurant	B-100	fine restaurant
Chase Carpets Vacant – last tenant was Rustic Rooms	C-100 C-200	carpet and tile store household furnishings

B LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION (9,121 a.f.)

NOTE:
NO DEMOLITION WITHIN LOC

BURYEY / DEMOLITION PLAN

ESOS CYLLLY OF TEXAS HERIWAY BULL CREEK MARKET

7001 HORTH CAPITA SINE 220 ALEIM, PENAS 7871

ITA9

Bull Orak Muset Site plan Pg 4

:LEEHS

PROJECT:

从

OVERALL SITE SUMMARY	SUMMAR	_
TOTAL SITE AREA:		
928,987 SF	×	21.28 ACRES
EXING CONDITIONS		
EXISTING BUILDING COVERAGE	(35)	(% OF 6/TE)
	15,549	1.677%
There is	5,035	0.543%
Į.	20,578	2.230%
TOTAL MIPERVIOUS COVERAGE	113,571	t2 255%
PROPOSED CONDITIONS	Ø	
EXISTING BUILDING COVERAGE	: (3F)	(% OF SITE)
BUILDING A	15,543	1.677%
	5,036	0.543%
	8,448	0.696%
*	720,73	2,916%
TOTAL IMPERMOUS COVERAGE	121,291	13.08%