CI

Downtown Austin Plan

Items/Issues for consideration during implementation

Dave Sullivan:

- Develop 3-D simulations of what new buildings will look like from the nearest single-family house or from the most exposed nearby single-family house. These simulations should take into account elevation differences, existing buildings, and tree canopy (both on and off). Use these simulations to assess zoning changes from lower DMU-X heights to higher DMU heights or to CBD.
- Annually review Downtown parking issues, including assessment of the need for handicapped parking, business and City compliance with ADA, under-supply of bike parking, assessment of parking that interferes with pedestrian movement, etc.

Dave Anderson:

- 1. I am OK with the boundaries of the NW district, but the implementation plan should clarify that existing entitlements in this area are not being impacted.
- I believe we should, as part of the implementation plan, develop a mechanism that first estimates, then tracks, potential economic impact on the Austin population.
- 3. I believe we should take specific steps, in the implementation plan, to coordinate with the Comp Plan to ensure they are moving the same direction.
- 4. I would like to remove the requirement for building stepbacks above 90 feet from the implementation plan. I should've made this statement at the meeting, but I don't support this particular issue.

Richard Hatfield:

- 1. "Panhandle" properties to be considered part of the Uptown/Capitol District;
- 2. Additional height/density for properties in the "Panhandle" area;
- 3. Modification of Compatibility Standards for the Downtown area;
- 4. Height, FAR, and density issues related to the Density Bonus recommendations;
- 5. Timeline for implementation of the DAP; and
- 6. Board and Commissions recommendations for DAP implementation.



Mandy Dealey:

- The problems with CS-1 uses available in all the CBD seem to come more from the Rainey Street area. Perhaps make it a conditional use in that area, rather than a conditional use throughout Downtown.
- Establish a standard operating procedure for downtown development
 agreements that clearly spell out 1.) the density bonuses and height increases to
 be permitted and 2.) the associated list, quantity, form, and, if possible, dollarvalue of the public benefits to be provided by the developer, because not all
 density bonus pathways are equal.
- Recommend all utilities in downtown be located underground where possible, including those currently in alleyways and on sidewalks. Additionally, the longterm goal should be to replace or bury the power lines that currently traverse the Trail at Lady Bird Lake in front of City Hall.
- 4. The housing affordability goals for Downtown should be across the income spectrum of those who work Downtown.
- 5. The Imagine Austin Plan, not the Downtown Plan, is the appropriate vehicle for establishing housing affordability goals for neighborhoods within a two mile radius of Downtown and throughout the City. The Downtown Plan should not be the mechanism for amending other adopted neighborhood plans.
- 6. Fifth Street from Republic Square to Saltillo Plaza should become a Great Street through the Downtown Plan with appropriate public investment to reflect its historic role in the various Latino communities that have existed and been displaced from Downtown throughout its history.
- 7. Prioritize the completion of the historical survey update for Downtown.
- 8. Although it is implied, specify that buildings with historic landmark status and those falling within the capitol view corridors are not eligible for density bonuses.
- Make clear that if a density bonus is granted but the contracted/promised benefits are not provided in the construction of the building /project that a CO will not be granted.
- 10. I support all the Downtown Density Bonus Program recommendations that were approved at the May 25, 2010 Planning Commission meeting.

43

<u>Tina Bui</u>

- 1. The designation of the 'panhandle' area as part of the NW District;
- 2. The application of fees in lieu of the provision of affordable housing under the proposed Density Bonus Program; and
- 3. The functions of the Central City Economic Development Corporation.