

Item 3b: City Council Review Direction

23 January 2012 update

Item	Who?	Status
a	CATF	review the action items developed during the working group process for the comprehensive plan elements and make recommendations to ensure those ideas have been adequately incorporated into the draft comprehensive plan;
b	CP	Done through TF review of draft plan. identify potential inconsistencies between existing plans, including appendices of the current adopted comprehensive plan, and the draft comprehensive plan; Done through PC review Except: map comparison, begins tonight
c	CATF	provide community members an opportunity to request additional information and data for analysis of the draft comprehensive plan and help identify gaps in data analysis, if such gaps exist; Done and incorporated into TF review of draft plan
d	CP	complete an analysis of the Preferred Growth Scenario Map based on the sustainability indicators used to compare the Alternate Future Scenarios maps; Done Sustainability indicators run for Preferred Growth Scenario and presented to PC
e	CATF	develop a method of tracking how the recommended action items relate to the policy recommendations within the draft comprehensive plan to provide additional insight on how the action items will advance the goals of the Vision Statement; Waiting on final revisions to policies and actions
f	CP	review and offer recommendations on amendments enhancing the performance measures and benchmarks within the draft comprehensive plan; 1 In process
g	joint	recommend a schedule for the completion of the comprehensive plan, including adequate time for review and discussion by the boards and commissions.

		Scenarios					
		T trend	A distributed	B crescent	C centers	D linear	P preferred
Land Use							
Total Land Developed							
All land developed or redeveloped on vacant land or infill sites (in square miles)	WRT	161	131	125	99	88	117
Mixed-Use							
Percentage of new development that mixes homes and employment centers	WRT	45%	52%	59%	62%	71%	81%
Infill / Redevelopment							
Percentage of new development that happens over or alongside existing development	WRT	54%	45%	49%	61%	61%	63%
Transit Access (Homes)							
Percentage of residents living within 1/4-mile of transit routes and stops	INDEX	46.5%	48.6%	50.2%	53.6%	54.5%	60.9%
Transit Access (Jobs)							
Percentage of employees working within 1/4-mile of transit routes and stops.	INDEX	61.2%	61.7%	61.2%	63.3%	68.0%	73.1%

Transportation							
Daily Vehicle Miles							
Distance traveled each day by the Austin population in total (in miles)	K-H	36.7 M	36.2 M	35.4 M	35.7 M	34.8 M	35.6 M
Congestion Delays (Total)							
Additional total travel time per day due to congestion (in hours)	K-H	543,000	537,000	450,000	467,000	388,000	447,500
Congestion Delays (Personal)							
Additional travel time per day/per person due to congestion (in minutes per person)	K-H	19	19	16	17	14	16
Transit Use							
Trips using transit (rail and bus) per day (number of trips)	K-H	213,600	237,100	255,200	278,500	262,900	284,600
Bike / Pedestrian Routes							
Bicycle or pedestrian trips per day (number of trips)	K-H	155,190	173,240	185,410	215,545	204,415	213,300

Environmental Resources and Open Space							
Aquifer Protection							
Amount of redevelopment/new development within Edwards Aquifer recharge and contributing zones (in square miles)	WRT	31	34	21	22	16	20
Local Agriculture							
Existing farmland left undeveloped (in square miles)	WRT	117.2	104.1	110.7	132.3	133.3	127.0
Air Pollution							
Amount of smog-forming air pollution produced annually by vehicles (in tons)	K-H	48,774	48,064	46,992	47,423	46,220	47,300
Greenhouse Gas Emissions							
Amount of carbon dioxide pollution produced annually by vehicles (in tons)	K-H	5.36 M	5.29 M	5.17 M	5.21 M	5.08 M	5.19 M
Water Consumption							
Water consumption from new development (in millions of gallons per day)	COA	101 M	102 M	98 M	91 M	92 M	93 M

City Facilities and Services							
Cost of New Infrastructure							
Cost for water, sewer, new schools and transportation (in dollars)	WRT/K-H	\$23.7 B	\$22.6 B	\$22.3 B	\$20.6 B	\$20.2 B	\$22.1 B
Value of Time Lost to Travel Delays							
Productivity lost annually due to traffic congestion delays (in dollars)	K-H	\$3.8 B	\$3.2 B	\$3.3 B	\$2.7 B	\$3.8 B	\$3.2 B