

**CITY OF AUSTIN**  
**Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board**  
**Decision Sheet**

**DATE:** Monday, August 13, 2012

**CASE NUMBER:** C15-2012-0092

\_\_\_\_ Jeff Jack  
\_\_\_\_ Michael Von Ohlen  
\_\_\_\_ Nora Salinas  
\_\_\_\_ Fred McGhee  
\_\_\_\_ Susan Morrison  
\_\_\_\_ Melissa Hawthorne  
\_\_\_\_ Heidi Goebel  
\_\_\_\_ Cathy French  
\_\_\_\_ Dan Graham

**APPLICANT:** John and Teddy Kinney

**OWNER:** Teddy Kinney


**ADDRESS:** 3305 LAFAYETTE AVE

**VARIANCE REQUESTED:** The applicant has requested a variance from Section 25-2-1604 (C) (1) in order to maintain a parking structure with an entrance that faces the front yard to be closer to the front lot line than the building façade of the principal structure in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence – Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (Upper Boggy Creek Neighborhood Plan) The Land Development Code states that a parking structure with an entrance that faces the front yard may not be closer to the front lot line than the building façade of the principal structure.

**BOARD'S DECISION:** ~~POSTPONED TO OCTOBER 8, 2012~~ (RE-NOTIFICATION NEEDED)

**FINDING:**

1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:  
(b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

  
\_\_\_\_  
Susan Walker  
Executive Liaison

  
\_\_\_\_  
Jeff Jack  
Chairman

## Walker, Susan

---

**From:** John Kinney-  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 21, 2012 5:32 PM  
**To:** Walker, Susan  
**Cc:**   
**Subject:** 3305 Lafayette Avenue; CV15-2012-0092

Dear Mrs. Walker:

We previously filed with the BOA through you an email from John McDonald to Kathy Haught and Donald Birkner dated June 14, 442 PM which references "FW: 3305 Lafayette." I have noticed in the backup posted on the BOA website that the text of Mr. McDonald's email is not legible. Mr. McDonald used a light blue color for his email which apparently does not copy well.

Here is the text of Mr. McDonald's email:

FYI Second one from Victor.

I think we might need to look at how this information is provided to designers and builders on our website. In this case there were four subdistricts in the overall Upper Boggy Neighborhood Plan that Garage Placement applies to. The document provide(d) by Neighborhood Planning we use to determine whether or not Garage Placement is applicable just states "subdistrict." I had to ask Mark Walters of Neighborhood Planning to provide me with the actual ordinance to find out there were four subdistricts who adopted this. **If I had to ask, I guarantee you a builder or designer cannot find out.** (Emphasis supplied by JK)

JMM

Please file this clarification in the backup in the above-referenced matter.

Thank you.

John Kinney

## Walker, Susan

---

**From:** John Kinney ~~[REDACTED]~~  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 21, 2012 6:06 PM  
**To:** Walker, Susan  
**Cc:** 'Teddy Kinney'  
**Subject:** 3305 Lafayette Avenue; CV15-2012-0092

Dear Mrs. Walker:

Below is an email we received from Donald Birkner on August 10. Mr. Birkner may have already filed the text of the email below with the BOA in the form of a letter. If for some reason he did not, here is the text in its entirety. Please file Mr. Birkner's letter/email in the backup for the above-referenced matter.

Thank you.

John Kinney

"Birkner, Donald" <[Donald.Birkner@austintexas.gov](mailto:Donald.Birkner@austintexas.gov)> wrote:

>I see from the email strings that you have forwarded to me that you have made a sincere attempt to meet with your neighborhood group to discuss the issues surrounding this project. As I promised, I plan to be at the BOA meeting to answer any questions that I can regarding your project. At the core of the issue from the City's perspective, one of my staff in Residential Review approved your plans in error because they did not dig deeply enough into the neighborhood plans to find the provision which prevents garages from protruding from the front of the house and the width of the garages and front yard impervious cover. The same staff person made the same type of error on several other projects that they reviewed at about the same time. I can speculate about why they made the error, but since that staff person has now left the City I have not been able to question them more about why they made this error. The project does appear to comply with other aspects of McMansion and the base zoning code. What should have occurred is that our reviewer should have rejected the plan advising you that you would need to get variances from the BOA to proceed and that it would probably be wise to meet with the neighborhood first. Now that you are going to go to the BOA. you should address the specific topographic issues and lot features that create a hardship.

>  
>  
>

>John McDonald, the supervisor of Residential Review has distributed additional handouts to all of his review staff on neighborhood plan overlays that will hopefully prevent this type of error in the future. In addition, I have established a team to draft a review process guidelines to aid reviewers and we have gotten a reorganization plan approved that will add some checks and balances to the approval process. I realize that none of these changes helps you with this case. At his point all I can do is apologize for the error and the inconvenience and expense it has caused you.

>  
>  
>

>Once the error like this is discovered neither my staff or I have the administrative authority to allow the project

to proceed without a variance from the Building Board of Adjustments.

>

## Walker, Susan

---

**From:** John Kinney [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 30, 2012 7:56 PM  
**To:** Walker, Susan  
**Cc:** don.birkner@austintexas.gov; McDonald, John; 'Teddy Kinney'  
**Subject:** Pre-Hearing Filings, FILE NO. C15-2012-0092; 3305 Lafayette Avenue 78722  
**Attachments:** GK Email McMansion 1604 Conflict.docx

Dear Mrs. Walker:

Attached please find the following documents:

1. Emails consisting of one (1) page from Girard Kinney to Dave Johnson dated and time 8/30/2012 at 5:15 PM.

Please file this email in the backup for the above-referenced matter.

Thank you.  
John E. Kinney

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Re: [CHERRYWOOD] Merrie Lynn Development

From: Girard Kinney <[REDACTED]>

To: Dave Johnson <[REDACTED]>

CC: Tiffany Stryk <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED], Michael

Friedman <[REDACTED]>, Carol Gibbs <[REDACTED]>

Mr. Johnson, you are preaching to the choir. I have argued long and hard and without much success that the provision of adequate off-street parking is one key to safe, walkable, interior streets such as Merrie Lynn. And I also agree with your point that two curb cuts take up more curb space than does one, thus reducing the number of on-street parallel spaces by one. This is why I much prefer an asymmetrical duplex layout with a single drive down one side and one unit with an open porch addressing the street and front yard and the second unit having a deck and the back yard. One problem with this approach which was once popular, is that the McMansion Ordinance has made it much more difficult, perhaps impossible on many lots.

I like your term "pseudo-dorms" and may steal it if you don't mind. By the way, many of the citizenry who are responsible for the lowered requirement for off-street parking are folks who argue that we just need to feel more pain before we are really going to get a real shift away from the automobile to other modes. I do not agree with this approach, but there is no question that it exists and has had some success in preventing any where near adequate off-street parking which, in my opinion, should ALWAYS be based on the number of bedrooms (not one for one, but at least proportional which it is NOT).

I have cc'd my friend Karen McGraw over in Hyde Park who knows more than most about this issue, as well as Mr. Friedman who is developing the Merrie Lynn Duplex.

Girard

Girard Kinney, AIA  
Owner/Principal  
Kinney & Associates  
1008 East Sixth [78702]  
P.O. Box 6456  
Austin, Texas 78762-6456

O. 512.472.5572  
F. 512.476.9956C. 512.657.1593  
H. 512.478.5042

## PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;

and:

- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: [www.ci.austin.tx.us/development](http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development).

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

**Case Number:** C15-2012-0092 – 3305 Lafayette Avenue  
**Contact:** Susan Walker, 512-974-2202  
**Public Hearing:** Board of Adjustment, August 13th, 2012

Gabriel Ransenberg  
 Your Name (please print)

☒ I am in favor  
☐ I object

1401 e 34th st. 78722  
 Your address(es) affected by this application

[Signature] 8/3/12  
 Signature Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-743-4384

Comments:

**If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:**

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor  
 Susan Walker  
 P. O. Box 1088  
 Austin, TX 78767-1088

## PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
  - appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- and:

- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: [www.ci.austin.tx.us/development](http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development).

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

**Case Number: C15-2012-0092 - 3305 Lafayette Avenue**  
**Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202**  
**Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, August 13th, 2012**

Your Name (please print)

☐ I am in favor  
☒ Object

Your address(es) affected by this application

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-844-0102

Comments:

Public garage at  
 center of house will  
 lead to double car park  
 at corner of houses. This  
 is not part of our neighbor  
 hood.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor  
 Susan Walker  
 P. O. Box 1088  
 Austin, TX 78767-1088



## PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
  - appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- and:

- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: [www.ci.austin.tx.us/development](http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development).

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

**Case Number:** C15-2012-0092 - 3305 Lafayette Avenue  
**Contact:** Susan Walker, 512-974-2202  
**Public Hearing:** Board of Adjustment, August 13th, 2012

MICHAEL DANNAL

Your Name (please print)

3011 Cherrywood Road, 3108 Beece  
3403 Lafayette, 1400 E 34th ST.

Your address(es) affected by this application

Michael Dannal

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-799-0287

Comments: I strongly object to this

project. It will destroy the character  
of the neighborhood & is out of  
character with its surroundings.

This project would turn the front  
yard into a de facto parking lot

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor  
Susan Walker  
P. O. Box 1088  
Austin, TX 78767-1088

<input type="checkbox"/> I am in favor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I object

## PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: [www.ci.austin.tx.us/development](http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development).

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C15-2012-0092 - 3305 Lafayette Avenue

Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, August 13th, 2012

Leah Myteler

Your Name (please print)

3210 French Place Austin TX 78722

Your address(es) affected by this application

*Leah Myteler*

Signature

8.04.12

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-342-9264 (h) / 512-407-8383 x2236 (w)

Comments: Adamently NO.

Were permits granted for the removal of the large oaks that were razed?

This type of construction is out of keeping with the character of the neighborhood and does not promote the kind of front-porch neighbor-friendly atmosphere that makes

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor

Susan Walker

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-1088

this area such a lovely place to live.



## PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
  - appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- and:

- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: [www.ci.austin.tx.us/development](http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development).

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

**Case Number:** C15-2012-0092 – 3305 Lafayette Avenue  
**Contact:** Susan Walker, 512-974-2202  
**Public Hearing:** Board of Adjustment, August 13th, 2012

Your Name (please print)

DAVID L. HENNINGTON

☒ I am in favor  
☐ I object

Your address(es) affected by this application

3305 FREXUS PLACE 78722

*[Signature]*

Signature

8-5-12

Date

Daytime Telephone:

512-497-2444

Comments:

---



---



---



---



---



---



---

**If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:**

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor

Susan Walker

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-1088

## PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
  - appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- and:

- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: [www.ci.austin.tx.us/development](http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development).

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

**Case Number: C15-2012-0092 – 3305 Lafayette Avenue**  
**Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202**  
**Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, August 13th, 2012**

Neal Jodeit and Vicky Boone

Your Name (please print)

☐ I am in favor  
☒ I object

3409 and 3411 Werner Av

Your address(es) affected by this application

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: 469-9325 / [njyb@grandecom.net](mailto:njyb@grandecom.net)

Comments: We support the garage placement requirements provided under the code.

**If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:**

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor  
 Susan Walker  
 P. O. Box 1088  
 Austin, TX 78767-1088

## PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: [www.ci.austin.tx.us/development](http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development).

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

**Case Number:** C15-2012-0092 - 3305 Lafayette Avenue

**Contact:** Susan Walker, 512-974-2202

**Public Hearing:** Board of Adjustment, August 13th, 2012

Your Name (please print)

MARK COLLINS  
3309 LAFAYETTE

☐ I am in favor  
☒ I object

Your address(es) affected by this application

1111 S. LAFAYETTE

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone:

Comments: Our community fosters  
neighborly interaction & safety  
through "eyes on the street".  
The large duplex (3305 Lafayette) has  
~~only~~ a garage & driveway  
this design is suburban & with  
not match the neighborhood plan.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor

Susan Walker

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-1088

## PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;

and:

- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: [www.ci.austin.tx.us/development](http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development).

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.


**Case Number: C15-2012-0092 – 3305 Lafayette Avenue**

**Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202**

**Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, August 13th, 2012**

Neal Jodeit and Vicky Boone

Your Name (please print)



3409 and 3411 Werner Av

Your address(es) affected by this application

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone: 469-9325 / [njyb@grandecom.net](mailto:njyb@grandecom.net)

Comments: We support the garage placement requirements provided under the code.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor  
Susan Walker  
P. O. Box 1088  
Austin, TX 78767-1088

## PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:

- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: [www.ci.austin.tx.us/development](http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development).

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

**Case Number:** C15-2012-0092 – 3305 Lafayette Avenue  
**Contact:** Susan Walker, 512-974-2202  
**Public Hearing:** Board of Adjustment, August 13th, 2012

Your Name (please print)

Scott Moser



Your address(es) affected by this application

8 Kern Ramble St. Austin TX 78721

Signature

Date

Daytime Telephone:

512 574 0731

Comments:

The proposed Building Plan - which has begun as I understand - would ~~not~~ not be in line with our neighborhood guidelines, & would ~~create~~ create the street. I oppose ~~the~~ granting a variance to Sec 25-2-1604(c)

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor  
 Susan Walker  
 P. O. Box 1088  
 Austin, TX 78767-1088

## PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak **FOR** or **AGAINST** the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: [www.ci.austin.tx.us/development](http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development).

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

**Case Number:** C15-2012-0092 – 3305 Lafayette Avenue  
**Contact:** Susan Walker, 512-974-2202  
**Public Hearing:** Board of Adjustment, August 13th, 2012

Nora + Chris Martin  
 Your Name (please print)



3302 Lafayette Ave. Austin, Tx. 78722  
 Your address(es) affected by this application

Nora Martin / Chris Martin 8/7/12  
 Signature Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-818-6969

Comments:

Do not want to break the code of  
the neighborhood. No driveway  
facing street in middle of lot.  
Driveway must be on right  
side of structure.  
Set back needs to be 15 ft. (for parking)  
this is a duplex site. Bad design.  
Didn't bother to check requirements.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor  
 Susan Walker  
 P. O. Box 1088  
 Austin, TX 78767-1088



Cherrywood Neighborhood Association  
NEIGHBOR SURVEY  
< cherrywood.org >

C15-2012-0092

This form might be used *informally* to share plans for a contemplated project with neighbors, and to relay their feelings and concerns back to the Proposer; and *formally* to document data CNA might need to intervene officially in a City approval process.

Informally, timely approval works wonders. Factors that often are overlooked include how a project will impact breeze, drainage, fire safety, light, parking, privacy, security, and trees. As Ben Franklin reminds, "An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure." Early in the process, ideas can be suggested. Concerns can be addressed. Problems can be anticipated. Solutions may be easier to find. While too many cooks may spoil the soup, several guests make a feast.

Everyone given this form to fill out should be provided a copy of the CNA Design Guidelines - available on-line at **cherrywood.org**.

Formally, it sometimes is necessary to approach the City and/or the Cherrywood Neighborhood Association (CNA) to secure a building permit, Plan amendment, permission to remove a tree, relaxation of a restrictive covenant, variance, or zoning change. In some cases, owners within 300 feet are notified, and a hearing scheduled. CNA's purpose is not to duplicate what others do. It is to encourage implementation of our Guidelines. These outline construction standards people living in this neighborhood have found to be desirable.

**1** PROPOSER: What is to be constructed?  
(Please attach a description as well as site plan, additional drawings, or photos)

What is the address of the project?

3305 Lafayette

Name of Proposer:

Teddy Kinney & James R. Nolan, COO

Address / Phone / E-mail:

4107 Medical Pkway, Ste. 201 Austin, 78756 (512) 721-6602  
jrn@rddesignbuild.com


**2** RESPONDENT:  
I am fully aware of the project and its impact(s), if any, on my site and I have been provided a copy of the CNA Design Guidelines (approved by the Membership April 2004).

☒ I approve    ☐ I approve with conditions    ☐ I disapprove    Date: 08-01-12

Comments (please write overleaf, or attach):

Name: David & Xenia Hennington

Signature:



Address: 3300 French Place  
Austin, TX 78722

Owner / owner-resident / tenant?

☒ Owner

Cherrywood Neighborhood Association  
NEIGHBOR SURVEY  
< cherrywood.org >

C15-2012-0092

This form might be used *informally* to share plans for a contemplated project with neighbors, and to relay their feelings and concerns back to the Proposer; and *formally* to document data CNA might need to intervene officially in a City approval process.

Informally, timely approval works wonders. Factors that often are overlooked include how a project will impact breeze, drainage, fire safety, light, parking, privacy, security, and trees. As Ben Franklin reminds, "An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure." Early in the process, ideas can be suggested. Concerns can be addressed. Problems can be anticipated. Solutions may be easier to find. While too many cooks may spoil the soup, several guests make a feast.

Everyone given this form to fill out should be provided a copy of the CNA Design Guidelines - available on-line at [cherrywood.org](http://cherrywood.org).

Formally, it sometimes is necessary to approach the City and/or the Cherrywood Neighborhood Association (CNA) to secure a building permit, Plan amendment, permission to remove a tree, relaxation of a restrictive covenant, variance, or zoning change. In some cases, owners within 300 feet are notified, and a hearing scheduled. CNA's purpose is not to duplicate what others do. It is to encourage implementation of our Guidelines. These outline construction standards people living in this neighborhood have found to be desirable.

**1** PROPOSER: What is to be constructed?  
(Please attach a description as well as site plan, additional drawings, or photos)

What is the address of the project? **3305 Lafayette**

Name of Proposer: **Kinney Company, LLC**

Address / Phone / E-mail: **512-721-6602**

**2** RESPONDENT:  
I am fully aware of the project and its impact(s), if any, on my site and I have been provided a copy of the CNA Design Guidelines (approved by the Membership April 2004).

☒ I approve    ☐ I approve with conditions    ☐ I disapprove    Date: **7/30/2011**

Comments (please write overleaf, or attach):

Name: **Ben Goodaker - Cary**

Signature: **[Signature]**

Address: **3306 Lafayette Ave.**

Owner / owner-resident / tenant? **Tenant**

Cherrywood Neighborhood Association  
NEIGHBOR SURVEY  
< cherrywood.org >

C15-2012-0092

This form might be used *informally* to share plans for a contemplated project with neighbors, and to relay their feelings and concerns back to the Proposer; and *formally* to document data CNA might need to intervene officially in a City approval process.

Informally, timely approval works wonders. Factors that often are overlooked include how a project will impact breeze, drainage, fire safety, light, parking, privacy, security, and trees. As Ben Franklin reminds, "An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure." Early in the process, ideas can be suggested. Concerns can be addressed. Problems can be anticipated. Solutions may be easier to find. While too many cooks may spoil the soup, several guests make a feast.

Everyone given this form to fill out should be provided a copy of the CNA Design Guidelines - available on-line at [cherrywood.org](http://cherrywood.org).

Formally, it sometimes is necessary to approach the City and/or the Cherrywood Neighborhood Association (CNA) to secure a building permit, Plan amendment, permission to remove a tree, relaxation of a restrictive covenant, variance, or zoning change. In some cases, owners within 300 feet are notified, and a hearing scheduled. CNA's purpose is not to duplicate what others do. It is to encourage implementation of our Guidelines. These outline construction standards people living in this neighborhood have found to be desirable.

**1** PROPOSER: What is to be constructed? *Duplex*  
(Please attach a description as well as site plan, additional drawings, or photos)

What is the address of the project? *3305 LaFayette Ave.*

Name of Proposer: *Kinney Company LLC*

Address / Phone / E-mail: *512. 721. 6602*

**2** RESPONDENT:  
I am fully aware of the project and its impact(s), if any, on my site and I have been provided a copy of the CNA Design Guidelines (approved by the Membership April 2004).

☒ I approve   ☐ I approve with conditions   ☐ I disapprove   Date: *7.26.2012*

Comments (please write overleaf, or attach):

Name: *Elizabeth Harris*

Signature: *Elizabeth Harris*

Address: *3301 Lafayette Ave.*   owner / owner-resident / tenant?

*Austin, TX 78722*

Cherrywood Neighborhood Association  
NEIGHBOR SURVEY  
< cherrywood.org >

C15-2012-0092

This form might be used *informally* to share plans for a contemplated project with neighbors, and to relay their feelings and concerns back to the Proposer; and *formally* to document data CNA might need to intervene officially in a City approval process.

Informally, timely approval works wonders. Factors that often are overlooked include how a project will impact breeze, drainage, fire safety, light, parking, privacy, security, and trees. As Ben Franklin reminds, "An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure." Early in the process, ideas can be suggested. Concerns can be addressed. Problems can be anticipated. Solutions may be easier to find. While too many cooks may spoil the soup, several guests make a feast.

Everyone given this form to fill out should be provided a copy of the CNA Design Guidelines - available on-line at [cherrywood.org](http://cherrywood.org).

Formally, it sometimes is necessary to approach the City and/or the Cherrywood Neighborhood Association (CNA) to secure a building permit, Plan amendment, permission to remove a tree, relaxation of a restrictive covenant, variance, or zoning change. In some cases, owners within 300 feet are notified, and a hearing scheduled. CNA's purpose is not to duplicate what others do. It is to encourage implementation of our Guidelines. These outline construction standards people living in this neighborhood have found to be desirable.

**1** PROPOSER: What is to be constructed? *Duplex*  
(Please attach a description as well as site plan, additional drawings, or photos)

What is the address of the project? *3305 LaFayette Ave.*

Name of Proposer: *Kinney Company, LLC*

Address / Phone / E-mail: *512.721.6602*

**2** RESPONDENT:  
I am fully aware of the project and its impact(s), if any, on my site and I have been provided a copy of the CNA Design Guidelines (approved by the Membership April 2004).

☒ I approve    ☐ I approve with conditions    ☐ I disapprove    Date: *7/9/2012*

Comments (please write overleaf, or attach):

Name: *Dionce Sircaburn*

Signature:

Address: *3303 La Fayette Ave.*

Owner / owner-resident (tenant)



The Cherrywood Neighborhood is bounded by IH-35, Airport Boulevard and Manor Road and is a flourishing neighborhood of homes, businesses, and green spaces in Central Austin.

P.O. Box 4631 | Austin, TX 78765 | [steering@cherrywood.org](mailto:steering@cherrywood.org) | [www.cherrywood.org](http://www.cherrywood.org)

8/8/2012

Jeff Jack, RA, Chair and Members of the Board of Adjustment  
Susan Walker, Senior Planner  
City of Austin  
Austin, Texas, 78767-1088

RE: C15-2012-0092 -- 3305 LaFayette

Chairman Jack and Members of the Board of Adjustment

This letter is to convey the position of the Cherrywood Neighborhood Association (CNA) with respect to the 3305 LaFayette Case. Wednesday, 08 August, 2012 the following recommendations were passed by the CNA Steering Committee, which is empowered to represent the position of the neighborhood:

- CNA is opposed to the variance application and requests that city staff be directed as a matter of procedure to point applicants to the relevant neighborhoods and neighborhood planning areas, as well as contacts for these entities, and ensure that they have been introduced to the ordinances governing their projects to help avoid issues like this in the future.
- While the applicant has indicated that they do not want an extension of time, should there be a postponement, we have offered the pro-bono exterior conceptual design services of architectural and design professionals versed in working on projects in our neighborhood to work with the applicant to try to achieve a design that addresses the neighborhood's concerns while mitigating the effects on the project's budget.

We will have representatives at the BOA meeting to further explain the position of the neighborhood and to address questions from BOA members.

Aaron Choate  
Chair, Cherrywood Neighborhood Steering Committee

**Walker, Susan**

---

**From:** Girard Kinney [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 13, 2012 10:14 AM  
**To:** John Kinney  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; McDonald, John; Walker, Susan; [REDACTED]; Miranda K. Daves; Andrew Logan; Vicky Boone; Girard Kinney; Chris Owan; Lisa Fuka; Stuart Reilly; Amy Brotman; Jeff Folmar; Tom Wald; Eric Boucheron; Sunshine Mathon; John Barkley; Mike Damal; Jeremy Mazur; Dolly Ensey; Dave Westenbarger; Lia Davis; Aaron Choate; Trudie Redding; Rich Heyman; Amy Tsay; Margaret Mills; Mark Schiff; Don Pettigrew; Jules Vieau; Jennifer Potter-Miller; Jack Newman; Glenn Reed; David Boston; Chris Tsay; Marieline McGhee; Sharane Wang; Mark Smolen; John Mitchell; Heather Telo; Jules Kniolek  
**Subject:** Re: FW: Re: Letters to BOA C15-2012-0092

Mr. (J) Kinney;

It was not I but another nearby design-builder neighbor who, after studying the plans, concluded that a lot of the existing foundation could be saved with a different design approach, so I offered to help him were there a postponement. We are not asking for a postponement and only made the offer because it appeared that a posting error may cause a postponement even if neither party wants one.

You are misreading and misrepresenting my intent; it is true that Cherrywood does not want front facing garages and have worked hard over the last decade to prevent them, but we do understand that the errors made by your designers not knowing code and the city not catching the errors have put you in a difficult position so at this point our only goal is to help both you and the neighborhood achieve our mutual goals.

Girard

Girard Kinney, AIA  
Owner/Principal  
Kinney& Associates  
1008 East Sixth [78702]  
P.O. Box 6456  
Austin, Texas 78762-6456

O. 512.472.5572  
F. 512.476.9956  
C. 512.657.1593  
H. 512.478.5042

Please submit emails with large file attachments to:  
[REDACTED]

On 8/13/2012 9:39 AM, John Kinney wrote:

> Mr. Birkner:

>

> Please take a look at the attached letter sent to us by G. Kinney last evening. Although the letter is over the signature of Aaron Choate, it was almost certainly drafted by Mr. Kinney.

>

> Look specifically at the second paragraph of the letter. In it Mr. Choate/Kinney offers "pro-bono exterior conceptual design services of architectural and design professionals ..... should there be a postponement." This apparently generous offer needs a little historical context.

>

> Uninvited I attended one LUT Committee meeting with our nephew in early July. We wanted to present our project and perhaps head off the already lengthy delay in which we now find ourselves. Andrew has an undergraduate degree in architecture from A&M and a recent Master's Degree in architecture from UT. He is currently working for an architectural firm here in Austin while he takes his licensing exams. He worked with architect, Chris Lewis, to assure that our project met the McMansion Ordinance requirements. At that meeting Andrew and I were cut off, contradicted and peremptorily dismissed before we could say or explain anything about our project. Andrew and our daughter, an Austin real estate sales agent, also uninvited, attended a second LUT Committee meeting in early August to try to present our project. Again, they were cut off, contradicted, insulted this time and peremptorily dismissed. Andrew was told as he began his presentation that he "was in over his head." Our daughter was interrupted and told that her father was "either willfully negligent or a liar." In both instances, although he did not say these things himself, Mr. G. Kinney and the other LUT Committee members sat benignly by as the comments were made. Mr. Choate/Kinney's apparently generous and supportive offer should also be read in light of his email of June 14, 315PM, addressed to John McDonald and Tony Hernandez and copied to Carol Gibbs and Mark Schiff. In that email Mr. G. Kinney wrote "..... While there may be ways to modify their plans to meet the letter of the ordinance, this plan will never meet the INTENT of the rules, which I personally and our neighborhood as well, were instrumental in creating...." When he wrote that email, Mr. G. Kinney was still attempting to get Mr. McDonald and Mr. Hernandez to suspend our project; our permit was suspended by email sent by Mr. Hernandez some 75 minutes later.

>

> We are not anxious to further expose ourselves or our project to such mindsets.

>

> We believe that the offer of help by Mr. Choate/Kinney is a cynical attempt to enlist the sympathy of the architects and designers on the BOA. Mr. G. Kinney and others on the LUT Committee have known about our project since before April 30 and probably as early as November 2011 when we began cleaning up the lot. Mr. G. Kinney is on both the LUT and Steering Committees. This is the first such offer of "help." Our project first appeared on a LUT Committee meeting on May 2. No such offers of help or even of a discussion were forthcoming until August 8 when Mr. Choate/G.Kinney apparently sent their letter to Susan Walker at the BOA. Concrete was poured on May 25. Mr. G. Kinney waited until the evening of Sunday, August 12, to send a copy of the letter to us. His thinly disguised, real purpose is to delay the matter for reasons about which we can only speculate ..... at no financial damage to anyone but my wife and me.

>

> I had not planned to say anything to the Board about the rude and uncooperative and insulting treatment we have received at the hands of the LUT Committee. I believe, however, that with this cynical, last minute offer of "help," which Mr. G. Kinney has asked you to make available to the BOA ".... in their backup for tomorrow night's meeting," Mr. Choate and Mr. G. Kinney have left me no choice.

>

> John Kinney

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Girard Kinney [REDACTED]

> Sent: Sunday, August 12, 2012 6:15 PM

> To: Susan Walker

> Cc: John McDonald; Andrew Logan; Aaron Choate; Jennifer Potter-Miller;

> John Kinney; Teddy Kinney; Jim Nolan

> Subject: Fwd: Re: Letters to BOA

>

> Susan; please make copies of this for the Board of Adjustments members and place in their back-up for tomorrow night's meeting. Thanks.

>

> Girard

>  
> Girard Kinney, AIA  
> Owner/Principal  
> Kinney& Associates  
> 1008 East Sixth [78702]  
> P.O. Box 6456  
> Austin, Texas 78762-6456  
>  
> O. 512.472.5572  
> F. 512.476.9956  
> C. 512.657.1593  
> H. 512.478.5042  
>  
> Please submit emails with large file attachments to:  
> [REDACTED]  
>  
>



If you need assistance completing this application (general inquires only) please contact Susan Walker, 974-2202; 505 Barton Springs Road, 2nd Floor (One Texas Center).

CASE#

ROW #

CIS-2012-0092

10790305

TP-0214110203

CITY OF AUSTIN APPLICATION TO BOARD  
OF ADJUSTMENT GENERAL  
VARIANCE/PARKING VARIANCE

WARNING: Filing of this appeal stops all affected construction activity.

PLEASE: APPLICATION MUST BE TYPED WITH ALL REQUESTED  
INFORMATION COMPLETED.

STREET ADDRESS: 3305 Lafayette Avenue, Austin, TX 78722

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Subdivision-

Upland Addition Lot(s) 3 Block 1

I/We, John and Teddy Kinney, Mgrs. on behalf of myself/ourselves  
and as Mgrs for Kinney Real Estate, LLC - 3305 Lafayette Series        affirm that on June 29, 2012  
hereby apply for a hearing before the Board of Adjustment for consideration to:

(check appropriate items below)

ERECT    ATTACH    \*COMPLETE    REMODEL    MAINTAIN

The duplex permitted by the City of Austin on May 2, 2012.    Work began soon thereafter, inspections have

been done and the engineered foundation is in place specific to the structure that was permitted.

It is located in the

Upper Boggy Creek  
(zoning district)

SF-3-NP

NOTE: The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional support documents.

VARIANCE FINDINGS: I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings (see page 5 of application for explanation of findings):

REASONABLE USE:

1. The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:

This lot is in excess of 9000 sq. ft. and zoned SF-3-NP. That zoning is ample for a small duplex to be constructed on this site. Under the City of Austin's Subchapter F Residential Design and Compatibility Standards known ( McMansion Ordinance), it is required to join the duplex along the longest common air-conditioned wall preventing the earlier style of placing garages between the two units. That requirement in conjunction with the impervious cover rules of the McMansion Ordinance prevent a long drive to the back yard for a garage structure. Further the McMansion Ordinance requires the structure to be placed in the center of the lot to be "under the envelope", again making placement of a garage in the back arguably impossible. In addition, the McMansion Ordinance limits the footprint of building on a lot making a separate parking structure with an additional footprint difficult on an urban core lot.

The property is typical of those in the Cherrywood Subdistrict in that it is narrow (56 ft), slopping and burdened by large trees where overhanging canopies affect adjacent sites.

This earlier adopted Neighborhood Plan Design Tool – LDC 25-2-1604 seems to conflict with what is required under subsequently enacted City of Austin's Subchapter F Residential Design and Compatibility Standard and the Heritage Tree Ordinance. It significantly diminishes the reasonable use of a lot substantially in excess of the square footage required for a duplex family house.

HARDSHIP:

2.

- (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:

On May 2, 2012, the City issued Applicant a permit to build a duplex of a specific design for a two-story duplex including first floor, front-facing, integrated and enclosed parking for two cars. The permit was granted without reservation. Thereafter, Applicant, in reliance on the said permit, proceeded to build the pier and beam and stem wall foundation described in the permit. Construction of the forms was commenced shortly after the permit was granted. Concrete was poured on May 25, 2012. By June 14, 2012 Applicant had completed the foundation, the forms had been removed, the site cleaned and lumber had been delivered to the site so that framing could begin. Various subcontractors including plumbing and electrical contractors had obtained from the City the required permits and paid the required fees. According to Carol Gibbs of the City, on June 11, 2012, Gerard Kinney, purporting to act on behalf of CAN contacted her, the City liaison with the Austin neighborhood associations, with his concerns about garage placement and parking on the subject lot. On June 14, 2012, at 445PM the City, acting on Mr. Kinney's stated concerns, ordered Applicant to cease construction. Applicant ceased as ordered.

The hardship is a permanent, concrete foundation on the subject lot suitable for the approved and permitted two-story structure with integrated, first-floor, front-facing, enclosed parking. Without the requested variance to permit completion of the structure as permitted, the existing foundation is an esthetic detriment to the neighborhood as well as an economic disaster for Applicant.

- (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:

The situation does not exist elsewhere in the neighborhood.

---

### AREA CHARACTER:

3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

---

The proposed variance will not affect the properties at 3303 and 3307 Lafayette. The adjacent conforming property at 3303 Lafayette is, and will remain for the foreseeable future, a single family, limestone residence built in about 1950. It was purchased by Applicant along with the subject property on November 15, 2011.

The property at 3307 Lafayette consists of a single family residence on the front of the property and at the rear of the property a two-story structure containing a garage and an apartment over it. It is, in fact, located only a few inches off the property line between 3305 and 3307 and does not comply with the McMansion Ordinance envelope or the legal setback lines.

The subject property is located in French Place. French Place is a fully-developed, eclectic inner city neighborhood east of IH-35 and north of Lady Bird Lake at about the level of 32<sup>nd</sup> Street. There is a variety of construction, design and development in this older neighborhood. The neighborhood is in a state of renewal as the conditions of the residences there reflect. The vacant lot in question is one of a very few vacant lots in the neighborhood. While there are many renovations completed and in progress, there is very little new construction. There are many converted garages enclosed to be living areas with cars parked in the front of the house in what was the former driveway. There are many duplexes within the neighborhood and numerous garage apartments. Some garages appear to be converted to studio apartments or something as there can be found a door through the former garage door.

There are numerous heritage trees in the neighborhood. Houses are frame and stone primarily. The proposed duplex was carefully planned to fit into the neighborhood, being slightly Craftsman in style with shingles, horizontal siding and board and bat. It is small in scale and has articulation in the front attempting to comply with suggested design under McMansion rather than "a box". It does not emphasize the garage doors as is discouraged on page 92 of the Upper Boggy Creek Design Guidelines. The lot has a considerable slope – 15% - from the curb at the SW corner to the back NE corner. The garage is below street level and the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor windows and the shingled gables are the street view.

---

### PARKING: (Additional criteria for parking variances only.)

Request for a parking variance requires the Board to make additional findings. The Board may grant a variance to a regulation prescribed Section 479 of Chapter 25-6 with respect to the number of off-street parking spaces or loading facilities required if it makes findings of fact that the following additional circumstances also apply:

1. Neither present nor anticipated future traffic volumes generated by the use of the site or the uses of sites in the vicinity reasonable require strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specific regulation because:

---

We are making no request for a variance regarding parking. We have submitted here a new site plan. When we became aware of LDC 25-2-1603 after June 14, 2012, we redesigned the site plan to comply with that Neighborhood Plan Design Tool.

2. The granting of this variance will not result in the parking or loading of vehicles on public streets in such a manner as to interfere with the free flow of traffic of the streets because:

Allowing the garage to be in the duplex as designed will not affect traffic and in fact will avoid additional parking congestion on the street.

3. The granting of this variance will not create a safety hazard or any other condition inconsistent with the objectives of this Ordinance because:

Allowing the garage to be an integral part of the duplex will allow a safer condition for the neighborhood as it allows the front to remain free of most of the parked cars and improves visibility of the principle structure.

4.. The variance will run with the use or uses to which it pertains and shall not run with the site because:

We are only asking to be allowed to complete the plan that was permitted on May 2, 2012 as designed.

NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated.

APPLICANT CERTIFICATE – I affirm that my statements contained in the complete application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed John E. Kinney John E. Kinney,  
Teddy L. Kinney Teddy L. Kinney, Mgrs.  
Kinney Real Estate, LLC – 3305 Lafayette Series


Mail Address: 1010 Gaston Avenue, Austin, Texas 78703

Phone: 512/476-2805

OWNERS CERTIFICATE – I affirm that my statements contained in the complete application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed Teddy L. Kinney Mgr Mail Address 1010 Gaston  
Avenue, Austin, Tx. 78703

Printed Teddy L. Kinney, Mgr., Kinney Real Estate, LLC – 3305 Lafayette Series  
Phone 512/476-2805 Date June 29, 2012



SUBJECT TRACT



ZONING BOUNDARY

CASE#: C15-2012-0092  
LOCATION: 3305 LAFAYETTE AVE





This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.

This product has been produced by the Planning and Development Review Department for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.



# LAFAYETTE AVENUE



**3305 Lafayette Avenue**

**Kinney Duplex**

**SITE PLAN & ENVELOPE**

**Teddy Kinney**

**1/20/21**

**08/20/22**

**AL**

**SEAL BY CIVIL ENGINEER**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS**

**COUNTY OF DALLAS**

**REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER**

**NO. 12345**

**DATE 1/20/21**

**PROJECT**

**3305 Lafayette Avenue**

**Kinney Duplex**

**SITE PLAN & ENVELOPE**

**1/20/21**

**08/20/22**

**AL**






1 SITE PLAN  
1/8" = 1'-0"

LAYFAYETTE AVENUE

2 ELEVATION ELEVATIONS  
1/8" = 1'-0"

INTERIUS CORRELATE CALCULATIONS

LOT AREA	9,045.7 SF
DEVELOPABLE AREA	1,324 SF
PARKING - SIDEWALK AREA	1,459.4 SF
DECK	30% OF 285 SF = 85.5 SF
PERMISSIBLE COVERAGE	2,669.4 SF / 9,045.7 = 0.295
ALLOWABLE COVERAGE	33.5%
ALLOWABLE FLOOR TO AREA RATIO	0.40




APPROVED FOR PERMIT  
By: [Signature]  
[Name]  
[Title]  
[Date]

Messages | Search | Search Web

Search

Messages | Search

Search Web



### 3 Foods to NEVER Eat

Beware of these foods that you may think are "healthy" but are actually terrible for your health and may be making you gain abdominal fat. Watch Shocking Video

Click Here to Watch Video

NeighborNet Cherrywood Neighborhood Association

Search for other groups...

Search

Home  
Messages  
Attachments  
Links

#### Messages

Message # 42544 | Search

Search | Advanced

Messages: 1100

#### LUT Report and Agenda

Print

Re: [CHERRYWOOD] LUT Report and Agenda

Good point, David; I will inquire about this when the Case Manager calls me back (I have left message).

Girard Kinney, AIA  
Owner/Principal  
Kinney & Associates  
1008 East Sixth (78702)  
P.O. Box 6456  
Austin, Texas 78762-6456

O. 512.472.5572  
F. 512.476.9956  
C. 512.657.1583  
H. 512.478.5042

Please submit emails with large file attachments to:  
kintag@att.net

On 4/30/2012 11:40 AM, David Boston wrote:

RE: 3404 Ashwood - This would be a good time to enforce the 1.5 parking space per unit if it is not already provided at this property. The City staff should reach this but they don't always.

DB

On Mon, Apr 30, 2012 at 11:17 AM, Girard Kinney <kinney@att.net> wrote:

Cherrywood & other neighbors: Here is a status report:

- **Value Sky Park (3900 Manor Road):** the temporary use of this site for "Elvis Machine" (paid out for all performances) seems to have been successful for Me. People who many of you met at an LUT meeting or the last General Meeting of CNA. I saw it Saturday night and thought it was a great show, and that it demonstrated a great use for sites that are being "unzoned" for future use. Construction of the Apartment complex on that site will begin soon and we will continue to provide updates as the project progresses. Go to the upcoming Plan for a little more information.
- **3503 & 3505 LaFayette:** Thanks to a Lafayette resident, I learned recently that a developer is planning to build duplexes on each of these lots. The two magnificent oaks, one in the back yard of each lot, have been removed which (in my opinion) is a great loss for the neighborhood and especially for the adjacent neighbors. It is not known whether the plan is to remove the house at 3503, but unfortunately I would not be surprised. These are the kinds of impacts from development that we strive to avoid. PLEASE report any crimes you see that appear to be about to remove a large, healthy tree so that we can try to intervene.
- **1304 Ashwood:** staff has recommended some tweaks to the plans for additions to the facade of this duplex in Duplex Station, now a National Register District. However staff has determined that the project will not need to go to the Historic Landmark Commission since in their opinion the addition is compatible with the Historic district. It is not known whether there are any variances necessary for the applicant to receive a building permit; we will advise.
- **4050 Airport Blvd.** Refer to upcoming Plan Article for details. The Steering Committee of CNA has recommended LR-V-5MU-NP zoning for this site, with a long list of conditions that would be codified in a Conditional Overlay and/or Restrictive Covenant (public and/or private). Upper Boggs Creek (UBC) has postponed action on this case until it after it is publicly presented at the 16 May CNA General Meeting (Minglewood Cafeteria, 6:30pm). No further Action is planned for this case at LUT or Steering Committee (SC) except that we have discussed removing the categorizations of the conditions, leaving them to City Staff and his change would require a slight change in the recommendation of the SC which would happen

Mon Apr 30, 2012 9:16 pm

Girard Kinney

Girard Kinney  
52, October  
age 50, 5'10"

ALSO FINES	FINES DAILY	FINES TOTAL
459	1,268	1,649

Click Here to View Details

Already a member?  
Sign in to Yahoo!

#### Yahoo! Groups Tips

Get new...  
Send posts...  
See how...  
in each...  
message.

at the 6 May SC meeting at Cherrywood Cafe, 6:30pm). Previous announcements of the SC Recommendation representing the CNA position was premature, since it is technically possible for this case to be heard and voted on by the General Membership prior to the postponed Planning Commission meeting (now set for 22 May). This item will go back to UBC after the CNA meeting, but prior to the Planning Commission hearing.

**TENTATIVE AGENDA FOR UPCOMING LUT MEETING (please send additional items):**

**Regular Monthly LUT meeting, 7:15pm, Wed. 22 May, at Cherrywood Coffeehouse.**

- 7:15pm: Call to order, introductions, announcements
- Call for new Chair
- 7:30pm: 3303-3305 Lafayette
- 3900 Manor Road (Victor Sky Park)
- 1404 Ashwood
- 4224 Airport Blvd. Report & discussion only; no action.

Note that we reserve the right to take action on any item on the agenda, except when specifically excluded by posting "no action". At this time, no action is anticipated on any items posted so far.

Grand Kinney, AIA  
(Soon-to-be past) Chair, CNA LUT Committee

--- Grand Kinney, AIA  
Owner/Principal  
Kinney & Associates  
1008 East Sixth (78702)  
P.O. Box 6456  
Austin, Texas 78762-6456  
O. 512.472.5572  
F. 512.472.9868  
C. 512.652.3588  
H. 512.472.3067

Please submit emails with large file attachments to: krdag@att.net

[Reply](#)

Message 42544 of 4545 in Group: NeighborNet

**Export Messages**

	Author	Date/Time
<p><b>LUT Report and Agenda</b> Cherrywood &amp; other neighbors here is not a report: "Victor Sky Park (3900 Manor Road): the temporary use of this site for "Sky Machine" (submitted for...</p>	Grand Kinney grandkinney@att.net	Apr 30, 2012 4:18 am
<p>Re: LUT Report and Agenda RE: 1404 Ashwood - This would be a good time to enforce the 1g parking space per unit if it is not already provided at this property. The City staff should...</p>	David Gordon pam@5000@att.net	Apr 30, 2012 4:47 am
<p>Re: LUT Report and Agenda Good pm, David! I will inquire about this when the Case Manager calls are back (I have left message). Grand Kinney, AIA Owner/Principal Kinney &amp;...</p>	Grand Kinney grandkinney@att.net	Apr 30, 2012 5:16 pm
<p>Re: LUT Report and Agenda Thanks for the info, GrandA. Unfortunately, I won't be able to attend either the LUT meeting or the May general CNA meeting due to inherit the Wind @ City...</p>	Diana W dianaw@att.net	Apr 30, 2012 5:22 pm
<p>Re: LUT Report and Agenda Cherrywooders I regretted to mention Hancock Golf Course. Mr. Condon is not able to attend the LUT meeting, but I will add it to the agenda for a brief...</p>	Grand Kinney grandkinney@att.net	May 1, 2012 1:15 am

Printed on: 5/1/2012 1:15 PM

Message #  Go Search:  Search returned

**SPONSOR RESULTS**

5 Foods to never eat  
TruthAboutEats.com - Cut down a bit of your belly every day by not eating these 5 foods.

Disabled in BC?  
Handytax.co - You May Be Eligible for Disability Tax Credits. Up to \$30,000

7 Tip to lose Stomach Fat  
TruthAboutStomachFat.com - This unusual article shows 3 veggies that fight stomach fat

**Valero, Debbie**

---

**From:** McDonald, John  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 14, 2012 4:42 PM  
**To:** Birkner, Donald; Haught, Kathy  
**Subject:** FW: 3305 Lafayette Avenue

**Importance:** High

FW: Second use from Mr. Kinney

I think we might need to look at how this information is provided to residents and outsiders as per addition to the plan. The new plan consolidates the two old Upper Boggy Creek and Blackland Garage Placement maps to the new document provided by Neighborhood Planning and use to determine where a new Garage Placement is possible. The old maps were not used to give Mark matters of Neighborhood Planning to provide me with the original information. I had put out there were four sub-districts that adopted the old plan to ask if that was the case. I had thought the original plan was the old plan.

FROM:

**From:** Hernandez, Tony [PDRD]  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 14, 2012 4:30 PM  
**To:** Teddy Kinney  
**Cc:** McNabb, Dan; Barba, Leon; McDonald, John; Haught, Kathy; Birkner, Donald; Gibbs, Carol  
**Subject:** 3305 Lafayette Avenue

Mr. Kinney

The building permit issued for 3305 Lafayette status has been revised to inactive pending this will not allow any inspections to be scheduled. All work is being requested to stop at this time please contact Residential Review for any additional questions.

This address is in one of the four sub-districts that adopted the Garage Placement neighborhood tool.

Typed from the Upper Boggy Creek Neighborhood Plan ordinance:

Part 4. The following applies to a single family residential use, a duplex residential use, or a two-family residential use within the boundaries of the Blackland Sub-district, the Rogers-Washington-Holy Cross Sub-district, the Cherrywood Sub-district, and the Delwood II Sub-district:

1. Impervious cover and parking placement restrictions apply as set forth in Section 25-2-1603 of the Code.
2. Garage placement restrictions apply as set forth in Section 25-2-1604 of the Code.

Pasted from the Land Development Code:

§ 25-2-1604 GARAGE PLACEMENT.

(A) This section applies to a single-family residential use, a duplex residential use, or a two-family residential use.

(B) In this section:

(1) **BUILDING FACADE** means the front building facade of the principal structure on a lot, and the term excludes the building facade of the portion of the principal structure designed or used as a parking structure.

(2) **PARKING STRUCTURE** means a garage or carport, either attached or detached from the principal structure.

(C) A parking structure with an entrance that faces the front yard:

(1) may not be closer to the front lot line than the building facade; and

(2) if the parking structure is less than 20 feet behind the building facade, the width of the parking structure may not exceed 50 percent of the width of the principal structure, measured parallel to the front lot line.

Thank you,

Tony Hernandez, Residential Building Inspector Supervisor

City of Austin, Planning Development Review Department

505 Barton Springs Road, Suite 300

Austin, Texas 78704

Office (512) 974-2323

2/2 from 2/9

**Valero, Debbie**

---

**From:** Girard Kinney <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 15, 2012 8:49 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Potter-Miller  
**Cc:** Mark Schiff; Gibbs, Carol  
**Subject:** Re: 3305 Lafayette - 2012-031243 PR - questions

Jennifer; please hold off. Mark and I met with Carol Gibbs yesterday and she has suggested a way to proceed most effectively. We have already been able to stop the construction there until the owners respond to the charge that they are not meeting city ordinances. This should give us some leverage, albeit late.

Girard Kinney, AIA  
Owner/Principal  
Kinney's Associates  
1008 East Sixth (78702)  
P.O. Box 6456  
Austin, Texas 78762-6456

O. 512.472.5572  
F. 512.476.9956  
C. 512.657.1593  
H. 512.478.5042

Please submit emails with large file attachments to:

On 6/15/2012 8:02 AM, Jennifer Potter-Miller wrote:

I'd be happy to forward to the email below to the list. Should I request a volunteer at the same time?  
J

On Thu, Jun 14, 2012 at 9:10 AM, Girard Kinney <[REDACTED]> wrote:  
Thanks, Mark.

I have cc'd Jennifer since as chair she should be the one assigning roles, and Carol Gibbs since she is our liaison with respect to our interaction with city departments. Jennifer may wish to forward this the the LUT Committee. Here are my thoughts:

- It would be GREAT for someone in addition to me becoming knowledgeable about all the rules, who is also knowledgeable about our Cherrywood Design Guidelines and our Mission, and to help monitor projects, applications, etc. as we become aware of them.
- I am down at One Texas Center usually several times a week, so I normally don't even have to make a special trip to pick something up there.
- It would be good for us to both meet down there to get the hard copies at a time when Carol is in so that if you have not met her I can introduce you to her and we can discuss with her some of the issues with which we are trying to cope.
- It is extremely important to me that we not attempt to go farther than trying to achieve the goals of the CNA Vision and our Design Guidelines. The fact is that there are a lot of City laws, ordinances and policies with which I know from experience make technically illegal things that are really not problems (I could give you a long list), but also there are important things that are not addressed by statute (such as us not being notified of demolitions or building permit applications) that are real problems for us. So I see our job as twofold.

- First, the neighborhood level trying to become knowledgeable about pending changes, gathering information in time to act, and then trying to influence an outcome that meets the spirit of our Vision and our Design Guidelines. Methods range from providing copies of the design guidelines, diplomacy, pressure from immediate neighbors, etc. to reviewing plans, informing plan reviewers of problems we identify, and during construction alerting city code enforcement of violations.
- Second, at a higher level, using our knowledge and influence to try to change city ordinances and policies as we believe necessary to achieve our goals. We have been as effective as, if not more effective than, other neighborhoods in doing this in the past and I hope we can continue to do so.

There are subtleties and nuances here. We must have the respect and confidence of BOTH our neighborhood AND the city staff, elected officials and their appointees, and this is not an easy line to walk as is evidenced by current events.

Girard

Girard Kinney, ATA  
Owner/Principal  
Kinneys Associates  
1008 East Sixth [78702]  
P.O. Box 6456  
Austin, Texas 78762-6456

O. 512.472.5572  
F. 512.478.8956  
C. 512.857.1598  
H. 512.478.5042

Please submit emails with large file attachments to:  
[aeedegs@kinneyarchitects.com](mailto:aeedegs@kinneyarchitects.com)

On 6/14/2012 6:10 AM, [REDACTED] wrote:

Girard,

If I can assist you in any way on this matter, please let me know. If you want me to pick up the hard copy on the 5th floor just let me know.

I am very committed to the uniform implementation of city zoning restrictions and neighborhood design guidelines.

Mark Schiff  
478-3420

On Jun 13, 2012, at 8:44 PM, Girard Kinney wrote:

From: Dave W. (dave.west@cherrywood.com)

To: [REDACTED]

Date: Sun, July 15, 2012 5:58:11 PM

Cc: [REDACTED];

[REDACTED];

[REDACTED];

[REDACTED];

[REDACTED];

[REDACTED];

[REDACTED];

[REDACTED];

[REDACTED];

[REDACTED];

[REDACTED];

[REDACTED];

Subject: RE: 3305 Lafayette/Neighbor Waiver Protocol

Hello. Thank you everyone for the civil and informative discussion, despite the unpleasantness that's been dealt us. I hope there's a solution that minimizes the damage already incurred. I have the following questions:

1. Exactly which City code(s) is(are) requiring waiver(s) and what are those codes ultimately intended to accomplish? I want to know what would be given up, if anything, in granting the waiver, besides the subjective aesthetic of forward facing garages. Is this just an opinion thing or is there a larger drainage or safety issue?
2. What is a reasonable range of costs for removing the existing foundation and redesigning the structure (s) to comply with the code and design guidelines? Three bids is standard practice. How much has already been spent? One solution is for the City to select a bidder and perform that work at no cost to the owner, including recovery of sunk costs. Fees for any re-permitting should, of course, be waived, and permitting expedited.
3. If Cherrywood supports, or encourages, the owners to request the City perform the work in #2 above, are there incentives Cherrywood might offer the owners, should they decide to pursue this course of action rather than continue pursuing the waiver(s)? I'm envisioning things like supporting other waivers, if necessary, for the subject property or the one next door. For example, if the owners needed to exceed McMansion by a little to fit a duplex with garages in the back, due to what we know to be a physically unique lot, Cherrywood might decide to support that. That sort of thing. Are there others?

Thank you,  
Dave Westenbarger  
Cherrywood Land Use and Transportation Committee

--- On Sat, 7/14/12, John Kinney <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Glenn, Thank you for your email. I have read your email carefully. I wish that you would go by our project at 3305 and take a careful look at the lot and the existing foundation. There are some characteristics of both of which you may not be aware. You may know by now that we cut no Heritage Trees on 3305 Lafayette Avenue. We did cut one completely dead pecan tree 15" in diameter. It was standing in the middle of the lot near the back (East) end side of the lot. The limbs had fallen off the tree as had also most of the bark. The tree trunk with a couple of remaining, very dead limbs at the top were all that stood. The tree was a hazard of which we are very aware having lived in a timber-

<http://us.mg201.mail.yahoo.com/dc/launch?.partner=sbc&.gx=1&.rand=f3gdclq0li8s&.lts...> 7/15/2012



producing area for many years. We cut it down and gave the wood to our neighbors at 3307 at their request. We also removed some "trash trees" which two arborists told us were past their life expectancy and in decline. That is all of the tree removal we did on 3305. We cut one Heritage Oak on 3303 after 3 arborists including a City arborist recommended its removal. The tree was dying with a large part of its canopy already dead. It was located near the existing house at 3303 and threatened to fall on its roof. Incidentally, the previous owners had filled sections in the trunk and even a large limb with concrete in their attempts to save the tree. You can well imagine what this did to the cost of removing that tree. We obtained the necessary permit from the City to remove the tree. Before we bought the house at 3303, just how long before we do not know, a large Heritage Oak fell over on the roof of the house causing significant damage. It was removed by the previous owners. The huge stump remains for us to pay to have removed. We have no intention to scrape the house at 3303 and build another duplex there as has been rumored. We do intend to remodel it on the inside which it sorely needs. We would like to complete our project at 3305 as permitted by the City. As you and Girard and the others can readily imagine, we already have a considerable investment in the project at 3305. Had we been aware of the Cherrywood Neighborhood Association guidelines

BEFORE we committed concrete to a design, we would have been happy to consider other designs. If someone would have warned us before we had set the forms, tied the steel and poured the concrete, we could have considered other designs and could have avoided what is for us a looming and significant financial loss. Several neighbors stopped and asked us what we were going to build as the forms were being set and other work done on the site. We told them. No one mentioned a homeowners' association or expressed any dissatisfaction with our plan to build a duplex with front-facing garages. In fact it took us a week after our job was shut down on June 14 to discover that someone named Girard Kinney had complained on June 11 on behalf of the Cherrywood Neighborhood Association of which we were also unaware until then.

We are not developers. We are two retired people 72 and 69. The 3305 project is the only new construction project we have attempted in the City. We did two small remodeling jobs on the house where we have lived since 1994 and on two other small houses that my wife bought with the daughter of a friend to fix up, decorate and sell. Those jobs were all done with City permits and there were no problems. Those experiences gave us what is proving to be a false sense of security and confidence that we could do this. Although it does us no good to say so, I am going to add that the permitting process in the City with its patchwork of neighborhood associations is a minefield for anyone wanting to build something. Some of the neighborhood associations have adopted some ordinances, others have adopted different ordinances.

Some have prejudices against one esthetic. Others approve of those same esthetics and disapprove of others. There is no easy way for a builder or for a City permit reviewer to discover what property is in what neighborhood association and what design restrictions do and do not apply. The situation is so complex that the City permitting department has great difficulty staying abreast of it, as has been demonstrated in this and other residential projects around town. Had we known what we now know about building in the City of Austin, we would have never ventured into that minefield. A citizen ought to be able to rely on a building permit once it is issued by the City. At this point we are loathe to see our project redesigned and a structure placed on the existing foundation which that foundation was not designed to accommodate. In the Marine Corps they referred to such undertakings as "jury-rigging." We do not believe that every front-facing garage design is inherently bad. In fact it seems to us that front-facing garages are an efficient design for a narrow, inner city lot that is not a corner lot, especially when there is no access to that lot from a rear alley. There are front-facing garages, with and without "snouts," all over the City and throughout the Cherrywood neighborhood. If you visit the lot at 3305, you will surely see that it slopes sharply down toward the East and also slopes toward the North making the accommodation of garages on the sides or to the rear of the principal structure problematical. We looked at the duplex you mentioned at 3107 Robinson. We agree with you that it is a mess and even a blight, I think you may

not have seen elevations and plans for our project since you used 3107 Robinson as an example of what the LUTC wants to avoid. For that reason I am going to try to attach elevations and the site plan. If the attachment exceeds the size/limit your ISP provides, let me know and I'll try to send the attachment piecemeal. Thanks for your time and consideration. John Kinney From: Glenn Reed

[mailto: [REDACTED]]

Sent: Friday, July 06, 2012 8:43 AM

To: John Kinney

Cc: [REDACTED]; 'Vicky Boone'; 'Chris Owan'; 'Lisa Fuka'; 'Stuart Reilly'; 'Amy Brotman'; 'Jeff Folmar'; 'Tom Wald'; 'Eric Boucheron'; 'Sunshine Mathon'; 'John Barkley'; 'Mike Damal'; 'Jeremy Mazur'; 'Dolly Ensey'; 'Dave Westenbarger'; 'Lia Davis'; 'Aaron Choate'; 'Trudie Redding'; 'Rich Heyman'; 'Amy Tsay'; 'Jim Reed'; 'Margaret Mills'; 'Mark Schiff'; 'Don Pettigrew'; 'Jules Vieau'; 'Jennifer Potter-Miller'; 'Jack Newman'; 'John Mitchell'; 'David Boston'; 'Chris Tsay'; 'Marieline McGhee'; [REDACTED]; 'Robert Kinney'; 'Sharane Wang'; 'Mark

Collins'; 'Eric Wang'; 'Girard Kinney'

Subject: Re: 3305 Lafayette/Neighbor Waiver Protocol John,

Glenn Reed here. I wanted to confirm Girard's interpretation of my comments at the meeting on Tuesday. I have no issue with the construction of a

bungalow style residence in the neighborhood. It is the projecting, front-facing garages that are the main issue with the current design.

I have retained the portion of your email below to which I also wish to respond. I agree that the neighborhood architecture is eclectic and has evolved over the years (and is still evolving). There are numerous architectural styles represented. Neither the City's McMansion ordinance nor our neighborhood design guidelines seek to limit the style of houses that can be built. They do address certain massing and configuration issues, however, which transcend architectural style. One of those is the relationship of the building to the street.

Because our neighborhood is (mostly) laid out on a grid, there are many corner lots. The typical configuration for a corner lot is a house facing the primary street and a garage, set behind the house, that opens onto the side street. This results in garage doors facing a street, but does not interfere in any way with the residence itself having a front porch and entry door that address the primary street. There are many examples of this arrangement throughout the neighborhood.

However, there are very few examples of houses located mid-block that have front facing garages, and even fewer with projecting, front-facing garages. You may wish to take a look at 3107 Robinson Ave, (located on the segment of Robinson between 31st and 32nd streets). This is an excellent example of the type of design the neighborhood (and the City of Austin, via the McMansion ordinance) is trying to prevent.

We members of the LUT committee are eager to assist you in resolving the issues that our committee is tasked with addressing. I hope that by working with you we can succeed in reaching a solution that is acceptable to all concerned.

Thanks.

Glenn Reed

Girard:

I was very discouraged when I left the LUT Committee meeting last night. My wife and I feel blameless

<http://us.mg201.mail.yahoo.com/dc/launch?partner=abc&gx=1&rand=f3gdclqh0li8s&.its...> 7/15/2012

in this situation and damaged economically as well as emotionally. The City is the party principally at fault. The citizenry should be able to rely on the permitting process. I had hoped for some relief from the LUT. That help does not appear to be forthcoming.

I had also hoped for a little more understanding from the LUT Committee and even rescue. It seemed to me however that we were never able to focus on the only legitimate issue before the LUT Committee. I believe the

question before the LUT Committee is the impact of the requested variance on the ENTIRE neighborhood. Will the impact of the variance on the ENTIRE neighborhood, if granted, be positive or negative for the ENTIRE neighborhood? That, I believe, is the dispositive question. The neighborhood, as it obviously developed over many years, is quaint and has its charm. To say, however, that there is an architectural theme throughout the entire, fully-developed neighborhood is a stretch. When owners were free to do as they pleased about their lots, they did just that, as they pleased; there are garages front-facing, alongside, behind, no garages, carports of every make and construction including tent-like structures, cars parked in front yards, cars parked in back yards, on driveways, off driveways, on the street, single family residences with and without porches and front-facing garages, duplexes with and without front-facing garages, etc. The neighborhood is a hodgepodge of styles, colors, parking arrangements, etc. The impact of our proposed structure, if the variance were granted, at worst would be neutral in its impact on the ENTIRE neighborhood and probably at its worst it would still be positive in its esthetic impact on the 3300 block of Lafayette.

John


**CITY OF AUSTIN APPLICATION TO BOARD  
OF ADJUSTMENT GENERAL  
VARIANCE/PARKING VARIANCE  
SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWER TO HARDSHIP: 2 (b)**

The lot is 56' wide and 160' deep. It is an interior lot, not a corner lot. The long axis of the lot is East-West. Lafayette Street is at the west end of the long axis of the lot. The 56' width is on a North-South axis. The lot at 3307 Lafayette is north of the subject lot (3305 Lafayette). The subject lot slopes sharply from Lafayette (approx 15-18') along a Southwest-Northeast axis to the lot's northeast corner. The slope of the subject lot is more pronounced than most, if not all, of the other lots in French Place. The front-facing façade of the proposed structure is 6'-8' below the level of Lafayette Street. The front-facing façade of the proposed structure is set back 35' from the west property line (Lafayette Street), 10' more than the required setback to allow light and a better view to and from the residence at 3307 Lafayette. The natural drainage of the lot in question and the lot at 3307 Lafayette is along the long axis on the north side of the subject lot. This is a problem for both 3307 Lafayette and the subject lot. The lot at 3307 Lafayette has a two-story garage apartment built inches off the common property line and at least partially, perhaps wholly, in the natural drainage of the two lots. Building driveways in the natural drainage is inadvisable. There is no alley way at the back (east end) of the lot providing access to the portion of the lot behind the proposed construction. The most attractive portion of the lot is that portion behind (east) of the proposed structure which would be overlooked by the rear-facing deck in Applicant's proposed design.


There are Heritage Trees on the lots east, north and south of the subject lot with large canopies that overhang portions of the subject lot to the east (rear) of the proposed structure. The canopies of these trees contribute to the beauty of the back portion of the subject lot but make the construction of parking structures there, if not already very inadvisable, even more difficult.

The combination of topographical and other features of the subject lot described herein are believed to be unique in the French Place neighborhood.

APPLICANT CERTIFICATE – I affirm that my statements contained in the complete application and in this Supplemental Answer are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed  John E. Kinney, Mgr.  
Kinney Real Estate-LLC-3305 Lafayette Series

Mail Address: 1010 Gaston Avenue, Austin, Texas 78703. 512/476-2805

 Mgr.