

C11  
/

**SITE PLAN REVIEW SHEET  
ENVIRONMENTAL VARIANCE REQUEST ONLY**

**CASE:** SP-2013-0018C

**ZAP COMMISSION DATE:** September 3, 2013  
*Postponed by Staff:* August 20, 2013

**PROJECT NAME:** Spicewood Springs Plaza Office

**APPLICANT:** Tierra Concepts  
(Scott Taylor)

**AGENT:** Longaro and Clarke  
(Alex Clarke)

**ADDRESS OF SITE:** 4714 Spicewood Springs Road

**COUNTY:** Travis

**AREA:** 5.19 acres

**WATERSHED:** Bull Creek (Water Supply Suburban)

**JURISDICTION:** Full

**EXISTING ZONING:** GO-CO and LO-CO

**PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:**

The applicant proposes to construct a 3-story office project with underground parking. Access to the site will be from Spicewood Springs Road. An outdoor amenity center for employees of the office building will be located on the eastern portion of the site. In addition the applicant will clear the invasive plants and shrubs located on the eastern portion of the site. All construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction and minimize the construction footprint. The project will also incorporate a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond to help provide enhanced water quality controls.

**DESCRIPTION OF VARIANCES:**

The following variances to the Land Development Code have been requested:

1 – LDC 25-8-301(A) states that a driveway cannot be constructed on slopes in excess of 15%. This portion of the Land Development Code offers some exceptions, however none are applicable to this project. The proposed driveway alignments cross slopes up to 27%.

2 – LDC 25-8-302(A)(1) states that a building cannot be constructed on slopes in excess of 25%. Portions (approximately 0.03 acres) of the building are proposed to be constructed on slopes from 25 to 35%.

3 – LDC 25-8-302(B)(1) states that impervious cover for building or parking structures on slopes from 15 to 25% cannot exceed 10% of the total area of the slopes. There are 1.18 acres of slopes from 15 to 25%; 10% of this area equals 0.12 acres. The applicant proposes to construct approximately 0.14 acres of building / parking structure impervious cover on slopes from 15 to 25%.

4 – LDC 25-8-341(A) limits cut to 4 feet. The applicant proposes cut up to 14 feet in a 3,733 square foot area.

5 – LDC 25-8-342 (A) limits fill to 4 feet. The applicant proposes fill up to 13 feet in a 7,066 square foot area.

6 – LDC 25-8-281(C)(1)(a) establishes a 150 foot setback for rimrock CEFs. The applicant proposes to reduce the setback to 50 feet for the centrally located rimrock and 8 feet for the rimrock located in the western portion of the site.

C11/2

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1 – The applicant will remove the enormous brush pile that is located adjacent to the downslope side of the western canyon rimrock CEF.
  - 2 -The applicant will remove the invasive plants and shrubs located on the eastern portion of the site.
  - 3– All construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction to minimize the construction footprint.
  - 4 – The project will also incorporate a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond to help provide enhanced water quality controls.
- The applicant has agreed to these conditions.

**ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD ACTION:**

**August 21, 2013:** The Environmental Board recommended approval of the variances. Vote : 4-2-1-0.

**ZONING AND PLATTING COMMISSION ACTION:**

None.

**ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW STAFF:** Mike McDougal

**PHONE:** 974-6380

Mike.mcdougal@austintexas.gov

**CASE MANAGER:** Brad Jackson  
Brad.Jackson@austintexas.gov

**PHONE:** 974-3294

3410

# PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

A zoning ordinance amendment may include a conditional overlay which would include conditions approved by the Land Use Commission or the City Council. If final approval is by a City Council's action, there is no appeal of the Land Use Commission's action.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact listed on a notice*); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;

- and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 14 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: [www.ci.austin.tx.us/development](http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development).

Written comments must be submitted to the board of commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: SP-2013-0018C

Contact: Brad Jackson, 512-974-3410

Rosemary Ramos, 512-974-2784

Public Hearing: Zoning and Platting Commission, Aug 20, 2013

*CHRISTOPHER MACDONALD*  
Your Name (please print)

*47M Slickwood STUES RD #102*  
Your address(es) affected by this application

☒ I am in favor  
☐ I object

*[Signature]*  
Signature

*08-12-2013*  
Date

Daytime Telephone: *512 514 9238*

Comments:

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin

Planning and Development Review - 4<sup>th</sup> floor

Brad Jackson

P.O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-8810

# PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

A zoning ordinance amendment may include a conditional, overlay which would include conditions approved by the Land Use Commission or the City Council. If final approval is by a City Council's action, there is no appeal of the Land Use Commission's action.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing; and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 14 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: [www.ci.austin.tx.us/development](http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development).

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: SP-2013-0018C

Contact: Brad Jackson, 512-974-3410

Rosemary Ramos, 512-974-2784

Public Hearing: Zoning and Platting Commission, Aug 20, 2013

*Robert G. Goeke*

Your Name (please print)

8200 Moely Dr. #110 78759

Your address(es) affected by this application

*Robert Goeke*

Signature

Aug 18, 2013

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512.657.2227

Comments: We must preserve pasture lands in Austin. Building is out of control.

Limiting growth of growth, but do not restrict infrastructure, where to some sort of City planning (what a concept) develop decent public transportation first, before signs off on zoning change in favor of HOV4 development.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin

Planning and Development Review - 4th floor


Brad Jackson

P. O. Box 1088


Austin, TX 78767-8810

☐ I am in favor of the object

C11  
5



 Subject Tract

 Base Map

CASE#: SP-2013-0243D  
ADDRESS: 6720 VAUGHT RANCH RD



This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.

This product has been produced by the Planning and Development Review Department for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.



CU  
6

**\*REVISED BOARD/COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION**

**ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD**

**Recommendation Number: 20130821 006A**

**Spicewood Springs Office Project SP-2013-0018C**

WHEREAS, the project has been designed to minimize the proposed impact to the environment during and after the development of the site, and;

Therefore, the Environmental Board recommends approval of the request for variances with the following staff conditions:

1. The applicant will remove the enormous brush pile that is located adjacent to the downslope side of the western canyon rimrock CEF.
2. The applicant will remove the invasive plants and shrubs located on the eastern portion of the site.
3. All construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction to minimize the construction footprint.
4. The project will also incorporate a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond to help provide enhanced water quality controls.

**Board Conditions:** Restore east portion of site with Hill Country Roadway Buffer. Restoration requirements.

Date of Approval: August 21, 2013

Record of the Vote [4-2-1-0]

For: Deegan, Gary, Maxwell, and Schissler

Against: Perales and Neely

Abstain: Walker – arrived at 7:30 p.m.

Absent: None

Attested by: 

\*Added Board Conditions and revised vote.



CU  
1/4

**ITEM FOR ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD AGENDA**

**BOARD MEETING**  
**DATE REQUESTED:** AUGUST 21, 2013

**NAME & NUMBER OF PROJECT:** SPICEWOOD SPRINGS OFFICE PROJECT  
SP-2013-0018C

**NAME OF APPLICANT OR ORGANIZATION:** Longaro and Clarke  
(Alex Clarke, PE 512-306-0228)

**LOCATION:** 4714 Spicewood Springs Rd

**PROJECT FILING DATE:** January 15, 2013

**WPDR/ENVIRONMENTAL STAFF:** Mike McDougal, 512-974-6380  
mike.mcdougal@austintexas.gov

**WPDR/ CASE MANAGER:** Brad Jackson, 512-974-3410  
brad.jackson@austintexas.gov

**WATERSHED:** Bull Creek Watershed, Water Supply Suburban  
Drinking Water Protection Zone

**ORDINANCE:** Comprehensive Watershed Ordinance (current Code)

**REQUEST:** Variance requests as follow:  
1 - To allow construction of a driveway on slopes in excess of 15% [LDC 25-8-301(A)]  
2 - To allow construction of a building on slopes in excess of 25% [LDC 25-8-302(A)(1)]  
3 - To allow construction of 0.14 acres of a building on slopes from 15 to 25% [LDC 25-8-302(B)(1)]  
4 - To allow cut to exceed 4 feet [LDC 25-8-341(A)]  
5 - To allow fill to exceed 4 feet [LDC 25-8-342(A)]  
6 - To reduce a CEF setback [LDC 25-8-281(C)(1)(a)]

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** Recommended with conditions.

**REASONS FOR**

**RECOMMENDATION:** Findings of fact have been met.

CU  
/ 8





C11/9

## MEMORANDUM

**TO:** Mary Gay Maxwell, Chairperson and Members of the Environmental Board

**FROM:** Mike McDougal, Environmental Review Specialist Senior  
Planning and Development Review Department

**DATE:** August 7, 2013

**SUBJECT:** Spicewood Springs Plaza Office Project – SP-2013-0018C

On the August 7, 2013 agenda is a request for the consideration of six variances that have been requested for the construction of a proposed 3-story office project.

### **Description of Property**

The subject property is a 5.19 acre tract located at 4714 Spicewood Springs Road. It is located in the Bull Creek Watershed, which is classified as a Water Supply Suburban watershed and is located within the Drinking Water Protection Zone. The property is located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The property does not include the 100-year Floodplain, Critical Water Quality Zone (CWQZ) or Water Quality Transition Zone (WQTZ).

The property has steep terrain with elevations ranging from 738 feet to 869 feet MSL. In addition, the site has approximately 2.6 acres of net site area with five noncontiguous areas of slopes with a gradient of 15% or less; three of these areas are only accessible by crossing slopes with a gradient of more than 25%; the other two areas alone lack sufficient buildable area. There exists extensive fill placement located in the southern, eastern, and central portions of the property which appears to have been the result of construction of Spicewood Springs Road and the result of prior development of the property for residential use. The original topography and the amount of grading on the property resulting from this previous development are unknown.

### **Description of Proposed Development**

The applicant proposes to construct a 3-story office project with underground parking. Access to the site will be from Spicewood Springs Road. An outdoor amenity center for employees of the office building will be located on the eastern portion of the site. In addition the applicant will clear the invasive plants and shrubs located on the eastern portion of the site. All construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction and minimize the construction footprint. The project will also incorporate a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond to help provide enhanced water quality controls.

### **Critical Environmental Features**

Watershed Protection Department Environmental Resource Management (ERM) staff have identified two rimrock Critical Environmental Features. One rimrock is located centrally in the property. This rimrock has a height of 5

C11/10

to 6 feet and a length of 56 feet. The second rimrock is located in the western portion of the site. This rimrock has a height of 6 to 7 feet and a length of 81 feet.

#### **Variance Requests**

The following variances to the Land Development Code have been requested:

- 1 – LDC 25-8-301(A) states that a driveway cannot be constructed on slopes in excess of 15%. This portion of the Land Development Code offers some exceptions, however none are applicable to this project. The proposed driveway alignments cross slopes up to 27%.
- 2 – LDC 25-8-302(A)(1) states that a building cannot be constructed on slopes in excess of 25%. Portions (approximately 0.03 acres) of the building are proposed to be constructed on slopes from 25 to 35%.
- 3 – LDC 25-8-302(B)(1) states that impervious cover for building or parking structures on slopes from 15 to 25% cannot exceed 10% of the total area of the slopes. There are 1.18 acres of slopes from 15 to 25%; 10% of this area equals 0.12 acres. The applicant proposes to construct approximately 0.14 acres of building / parking structure impervious cover on slopes from 15 to 25%.
- 4 – LDC 25-8-341(A) limits cut to 4 feet. The applicant proposes cut up to 14 feet in a 3,733 square foot area.
- 5 – LDC 25-8-342 (A) limits fill to 4 feet. The applicant proposes fill up to 13 feet in a 7,066 square foot area.
- 6 – LDC 25-8-281(C)(1)(a) establishes a 150 foot setback for rimrock CEFs. The applicant proposes to reduce the setback to 50 feet for the centrally located rimrock and 8 feet for the rimrock located in the western portion of the site.

#### **Conditions for Staff Approval**

- 1 – The applicant will remove the enormous brush pile that is located adjacent to the downslope side of the western canyon rimrock CEF.
- 2 -The applicant will remove the invasive plants and shrubs located on the eastern portion of the site.
- 3– All construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction to minimize the construction footprint.
- 4 – The project will also incorporate a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond to help provide enhanced water quality controls.

#### **Recommendation**

Staff recommends approval of the variances because staff believes the Findings of Fact have been met and the conditions for approval mitigate impacts of the variances requested.

CU  
11

**Findings of Fact for Spicewood Springs Plaza Office Project, SP-2013-0018C**

**Variance Request 1**

A variance from LDC 25-8-301(A) is requested to allow construction of a driveway and turnaround area / fire access drive on slopes in excess of 15%. As required in LDC Section 25-8-41, the Land Use Commission may grant a variance from a requirement after determining:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

*Yes, the property is 5.19 acres with approximately 2.6 acres of net site area distributed among 5 noncontiguous areas. Three of these areas are only accessible by crossing slopes greater than 25%. To develop the property to a density (based on net site area) similar to adjacent properties requires construction on slopes in excess of 15%.*

2. The variance is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property unless the development provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance.

*Yes, the condition is not chosen by the applicant and is a result of other City requirements. The location of the driveway accessing Spicewood Springs Road is required by the Transportation Criteria Manual to align with the existing driveway located on the opposite side of Spicewood Springs Road. In addition, the size of the turnaround area / fire access drive size is dictated by fire truck maneuverability requirements.*

3. The variance is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property.

*Yes, the location of the proposed Spicewood Springs Road access is required for traffic safety. The turnaround area / fire access drive proposed is the minimum departure from Code requirements based on site conditions.*

4. The variance does not create a significant probability of harmful consequences.

*Yes, sufficient erosion / sedimentation control is required prior to construction activities. In addition, all construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction to minimize construction footprints.*

5. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

*Yes, the project will incorporate a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond to provide enhanced water quality controls.*

**Variance Request 2**

A variance from LDC 25-8-302(A)(1) is requested to allow construction of 0.03 acres of a building on slopes from 25 to 35%. As required in LDC Section 25-8-41, the Land Use Commission may grant a variance from a requirement after determining:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

*Yes, the property is 5.19 acres with approximately 2.6 acres of net site area distributed among 5 noncontiguous areas. To develop the property to a density (based on net site area) similar to adjacent properties requires construction on slopes from 25 to 35%.*

CL  
12

2. The variance is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property unless the development provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance.

*Yes, the proposed development is designed to be predominantly located on slopes from 0 to 15% while minimizing construction on slopes in excess of 15%.*

3. The variance is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property.

*Yes, the proposed development minimizes construction on slopes in excess of 15% will provide for a development density similar to adjacent uses.*

4. The variance does not create a significant probability of harmful consequences.

*Yes, sufficient erosion / sedimentation control is required prior to construction activities. In addition, all construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction to minimize construction footprints and prevent slope failure.*

5. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

*Yes, the project will incorporate a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond to provide enhanced water quality controls.*

### **Variance Request 3**

A variance from LDC 25-8-302(B)(1) is requested to allow construction of 0.14 acres of a building on slopes from 15 to 25% (this portion of the Code permits construction of 0.12 acres of a building on slopes from 15 to 25%). As required in LDC Section 25-8-41, the Land Use Commission may grant a variance from a requirement after determining:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

*Yes, the property is 5.19 acres with approximately 2.6 acres of net site area distributed among 5 noncontiguous areas. Due to its size and placement, development on one of these areas is not feasible; so the applicant proposes to develop 2 adjacent noncontiguous areas with slopes from 0 to 15%. However, this requires some construction on slopes in excess of 15%. This construction on slopes in excess of 15% has been minimized. The development density is comparable to similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.*

2. The variance is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property unless the development provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance.

*Yes, the proposed development is designed to be predominantly located on slopes from 0 to 15% while minimizing construction on slopes in excess of 15%.*

3. The variance is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property.

*Yes, the proposed development minimizes construction on slopes in excess of 15% will provide for a development density similar to adjacent uses.*

4. The variance does not create a significant probability of harmful consequences.

CU  
13

*Yes, sufficient erosion / sedimentation control is required prior to construction activities that will prevent impacts during construction. In addition, all construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction to minimize construction footprints.*

5. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

*Yes, the project will incorporate a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond to provide enhanced water quality controls.*

#### **Variance Request 4**

A variance from LDC 25-8-341(A) is requested to allow cut up to 14 feet. As required in LDC Section 25-8-41, the Land Use Commission may grant a variance from a requirement after determining:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

*Yes, cut up to 14 feet is necessary for the construction of a water quality / detention pond. Cut associated with a water quality / detention pond is commonly granted administratively by Staff due to drainage-related engineering constraints and to minimize the pond footprint. Cut up to 14 feet is necessary to provide fire access as required by Austin Fire Department for building construction.*

2. The variance is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property unless the development provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance.

*Yes, water quality / detention pond capacity is mandated by the City of Austin. Pond depth is routinely in excess of 4 feet in order to minimize the pond footprint (and the construction footprint). Minimizing the construction footprint is environmentally superior. In addition, this amount of cut is necessary to remove fill placed by the prior owner of this property to construct a driveway providing access for Austin Fire Department.*

3. The variance is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property.

*Yes, the proposed cut minimizes the pond footprint. Cut associated with a water quality / detention pond is commonly granted administratively by Staff due to drainage-related engineering constraints and to minimize the pond footprint. Cut associated with the driveway is the minimum amount necessary to construct a fire access driveway to the building.*

4. The variance does not create a significant probability of harmful consequences.

*Yes, sufficient erosion / sedimentation control is required prior to construction activities. In addition, all construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction to minimize construction footprints.*

5. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

*Yes, the project will incorporate a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond to provide enhanced water quality controls.*

#### **Variance Request 5**

A variance from LDC 25-8-342(A) is requested to allow fill up to 13 feet. As required in LDC Section 25-8-41, the Land Use Commission may grant a variance from a requirement after determining:

C11/14

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

*Yes, fill up to 13 feet is necessary for the construction the Spicewood Springs Road access driveway. This placement of this driveway on the property is required for traffic safety (the driveway is required to be located across Spicewood Springs Road from an existing driveway for traffic safety). In addition, 13 feet of fill is required for construction of the fire access driveway.*

2. The variance is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property unless the development provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance.

*Yes, due to the distribution of slopes of 15% or less and the prior grading activities on this property, there is no single contiguous area sufficiently large for construction. The applicant has designed the development to be located on the two areas with slopes of 15% or less that are located closest to Spicewood Springs Road to minimize construction on slopes over 15% and to minimize the amount of fill necessary.*

3. The variance is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property.

*Yes, due to the distribution of slopes of 15% or less and the prior grading activities on this property, there is no single contiguous area sufficiently large for construction. The applicant has designed the development to be located on the two areas with slopes of 15% or less that are located closest to Spicewood Springs Road to minimize construction on slopes over 15% and to minimize the amount of fill necessary.*

4. The variance does not create a significant probability of harmful consequences.

*Yes, sufficient erosion / sedimentation control is required prior to construction activities. In addition, all construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction to minimize construction footprints.*

5. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

*Yes, the project will incorporate a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond to provide enhanced water quality controls.*

#### **Variance Request 6**

Two rimrock CEFs have been identified. A variance from LDC 25-8-281(C)(1)(a) is requested to reduce one rimrock CEF setback to 8 feet and the second rimrock CEF setback to 50 feet. As required in LDC Section 25-8-41, the Land Use Commission may grant a variance from a requirement after determining:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

*Yes, due to the distribution of slopes of 15% or less and the prior grading activities on this property, there is no single contiguous area sufficiently large for construction. Adjacent properties have similar development density.*

2. The variance is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property unless the development provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance.

C11/15

*Yes, the applicant has designed the development to be located on the two areas with slopes of 15% or less that are located closest to Spicewood Springs Road to minimize construction on slopes over 15%. This requires a reduction of rimrock CEF setbacks.*

3. The variance is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property.

*Yes, adjacent properties have similar development density. Due to the distribution of slopes of 15% or less and the prior grading activities on this property, there is no single contiguous area sufficiently large for construction. The applicant has requested the minimum CEF setback reduction necessary based on site constraints.*

4. The variance does not create a significant probability of harmful consequences.

*Yes, sufficient erosion / sedimentation control is required prior to construction activities. In addition, all construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction to minimize construction footprints.*

5. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

*Yes, the project will incorporate a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond to provide enhanced water quality controls.*

Environmental Review Specialist Senior:

  
Mike McDougal

Environmental Program Coordinator:

  
Sue Barnett

Environmental Officer:

  
Chuck Lesniak

July 26, 2013

Mike McDougal  
City of Austin  
Planning and Development Review Department  
505 Barton Springs Road  
4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Austin, Texas 78701

C4  
16

RE: Spicewood Springs Plaza Office Project (SP-2013-0018C)  
Environmental Variances  
Longaro & Clarke, L.P. Project #075-08-40

Dear Mr. McDougal:

I am writing this letter to you on behalf of the applicant in the above referenced site plan application. The following information is being provided to introduce the project, outline the environmental variances being requested from the Land Use Commission, and justify the requested variances.

#### INTRODUCTION

The site is a 5.19 acre tract of land located at 4717 Spicewood Springs Road (the "Property") in Austin, Texas 78750. The Property is located in the Bull Creek watershed which is classified as a water supply suburban watershed. It was previously developed in 1968 for residential use. Surrounding uses include residential and commercial development to the east and west, and undeveloped land to the north.

The Property has steep terrain with elevations ranging from 738 to 869 feet above mean sea level. Consequently the site has approximately two (2) acres of net site area with five noncontiguous areas of slopes with a gradient of 15% or less; three of these areas are only accessible by crossing slopes with a gradient of more than 25%; the other two areas alone lack sufficient buildable area. There is extensive fill placement located in the southern, eastern and central portions of the site, which we believe resulted from the construction of Spicewood Springs Road and development of the property as a residential use.

The applicant proposes to construct a 3-story office project with underground parking. Access to the site will be from Spicewood Springs Road. An outdoor amenity area for employees of office building will be located on the eastern portion of the site. In addition the applicant will clear the invasive plants and shrubs located on the eastern portion of the site and restore it to Hill County standards. All construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction. The project will also incorporate a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond to help provide enhanced water quality controls. In order for the applicant to develop the Property as proposed a number of environmental variances are required. Below is a list of the variances being requested which require Land Use Commission approval, along with the findings of fact for each variance.



C11/17

## VARIANCES

### Variances #1 for Construction on Slopes

A variance from Section 25-8-301(A)(1) is requested to allow construction of the driveway and turnaround area/fire access drive for the Austin Fire Department on slopes with a gradient of more than 15%. Justification for this variance is described as follows:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

**Yes** Other properties located along Spicewood Springs Road with steep topography, similar to this site, that have limited buildable area require, and are granted, construction on slope variances in order to develop. It should also be noted that this project is comparable in size and scope to other projects in the area.

This property is 5.19 acres with approximately two (2) acres of net site area that consists of five noncontiguous areas with slopes less than 15%; three of these areas are only accessible by crossing slopes greater than 25%. The other two areas alone lack sufficient buildable space. It should also be noted that the property consists of numerous manmade slopes due to existing developed conditions which created the steep slopes within the area of the main driveway and the turnaround area/fire access drive.

2. The variance:

- a) Is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

**Yes** There are two driveways proposed that will provide adequate ingress/egress to the project and for emergency service vehicles. The main driveway is required by the Transportation Criteria Manual to align with the driveway into the existing multifamily development adjacent to the site. Additionally the Austin Fire Department standards require a turnaround area/fire access drive adequate in size that meets the required slope percentages for a fire truck to maneuver safely. The location of the turnaround area/fire access drive is essentially dictated by the properties limited buildable area and the requirement to align the main driveway with the multifamily driveway. The location of the driveway and the turnaround area/fire access drive results in safer traffic circulation on a busy and substandard Spicewood Springs Road.

CU  
18

- b) Is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

**Yes** In addition to the requirement to align the driveway with the adjacent multifamily project, which dictates its location, we determined that the other possible locations for the driveway and turnaround area/fire access drive would create a larger departure from the requirements and provide a greater impact on slopes in excess of 15%. This is evidenced by the five noncontiguous areas of land with 0-15% slopes. Three of which are only accessible by crossing slopes in excess of 25%, and in one case building a bridge to cross a steep ravine.

- c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

**Yes** The construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction; this will also help to minimize any disturbance of vegetation along the slopes.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

**Yes** Run off from the impervious cover will be captured and routed to the detention pond and water quality pond, which is a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond.

#### **Variances #2 for Construction on Slopes**

A variance from Section 25-8-302(A)(1) is requested to allow construction of the building on slopes with a gradient of more than 25%. This project is requesting approval to construct 0.03 acres on slopes 25-35%. Justification for this variance is described as follows:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

**Yes** Other properties located along Spicewood Springs Road with steep topography, similar to this site, that have limited buildable area require, and are granted, construction on slope variances in order to develop. It should also be noted that this project is comparable in size and scope to other projects in the area.

The placement of the building is dictated by the site's limited buildable area due to its steep topography, noncontiguous areas of 0-15% slopes, and the location of the driveway. Consequently the building impacts slopes in excess of 25%.

C4  
19

2. The variance:

- a) Is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

**Yes** As evidenced by the slope map there are only two (2) areas adjacent to Spicewood Springs Road that consist of 0-15% slopes. The area located along the eastern property line is not suitable for construction since it mainly consists of approximately 40 feet of unconsolidated fill material leftover from when Spicewood Springs Road was realigned many years ago. Additionally, to develop this area a variance to construct on slopes in excess of 35% would be required, which would result in a greater environmental impact. Consequently the only suitable area left to develop are two (2) areas located along the western property line with 0-15% slopes that are in close proximity to each other. However, this area has manmade slopes that were created when the property was developed for residential use in 1968.

- b) Is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

**Yes** Considering the sites steep topography the location chosen has the least impact from an environmental standpoint. The other areas of the site with 0-15% slopes are either not accessible because of steep topography, require construction of a bridge to cross a ravine, or do not have sufficient buildable area.

- c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

**Yes** The construction on slopes will be structurally contained by the building which will stabilize the area of construction; this will also help to minimize any disturbance of vegetation along the slopes.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

**Yes** Run off from the impervious cover will be captured and routed to the detention pond and water quality pond, which is a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond.

**Variances #3 for Construction on Slopes**

A variance from Section 25-8-302(B)(1) is requested to allow more than 10% impervious cover on slopes with a gradient between 15-25%. This project is requesting approval to construct 0.14 acres more than the 10% allowed in the Land Development Code. Justification for this variance is described as follows:

C11  
/20

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

**Yes** Other properties located along Spicewood Springs Road with steep topography, similar to this site, that have limited buildable area require, and are granted, construction on slope variances in order to develop. It should also be noted that this project is comparable in size and scope to other projects in the area.

The placement of the building is dictated by the properties limited buildable area due to its steep topography, noncontiguous areas of 0-15% slopes, and the location of the driveway. Consequently the building impacts slopes with a gradient of 15-25%.

2. The variance:

- a) Is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

**Yes** The property is comprised of 1.18 acres of 15-25% slope. The Land Development Code allows 0.12 acres of impervious cover, which is no more than 10%, of the total area of slopes greater than 15% but not more than 25%. The project proposes a total of 0.26 acres of impervious cover, which is 0.14 acres more than allowed by the Land Development Code. As evidenced by the slope map there are only two (2) areas adjacent to Spicewood Springs Road that consist of 0-15% slopes. The area located along the eastern property line is not suitable for construction since it consists of approximately 40 feet of unconsolidated fill material leftover from when Spicewood Springs Road was realigned many years ago. Additionally, to develop this area a variance to construct on slopes in excess of 35% would be required, which would result in a larger departure from City Code and create a greater environmental impact. Consequently the only suitable area left to develop are two (2) areas located along the western property line with 0-15% slopes that are in close proximity to each other. However, this area mainly consists of manmade slopes that were created when the property was developed for residential use in 1968.

- b) Is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

**Yes** The extent of construction on slopes is relatively small in scope and should be considered minimum departure from slope requirements. There are only two areas that are suitable for development but yet still

CU  
11  
21

require a variance to allow more than 10% impervious cover on slopes more than 15% but not more than 25%. However, considering the properties steep topography the location chosen has less impact from an environmental standpoint. The other areas of the site with 0-15% slopes are either not accessible because of the steep topography, require construction of a bridge to cross a ravine, or do not have sufficient buildable area.

- c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

**Yes** The construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction; this will also help to minimize any disturbance of vegetation along the slopes.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

**Yes** Run off from the impervious cover will be captured and routed to the detention pond and water quality pond, which is a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond.

Every effort has been made to comply with the Hill County Roadway requirements. All cut and fill areas will be terraced and structurally contained. All terraced areas shall be revegetated with native vegetation.

**Variances #4 from Section 25-8-341(A) to allow more than 4 feet of Cut**

A variance from Section 25-8-341(A) is requested to allow fourteen feet of cut for the construction of the detention pond and water quality pond, to provide a 4:1 maintenance/access ramp to the pond, and to provide safe and reasonable access for the Austin Fire Department. Justification for this variance is described as follows:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

**Yes** Other properties located along Spicewood Springs Road with steep topography, similar to this site, that have limited buildable area require, and are granted, cut and fill variances. It should also be noted that this project is comparable in size and scope to other projects in the area.

Given the sites steep topography there are only two areas that are suitable for development, however these areas consist of numerous manmade slopes and fills due to existing developed conditions. Consequently a variance to allow 4-14 feet of cut is necessary to construct the detention pond and water quality pond, to provide a 4:1

C4/22

maintenance/access ramp to the pond, and to provide safe and reasonable access for the Austin Fire Department to access the perimeter of the building in case of a fire.

2. The variance:

- a) Is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

**Yes** The prior landowner altered the natural terrain to create a home site which created areas of manmade fill. Consequently a variance to allow 4-14 feet of cut is necessary to provide a 4:1 maintenance/access ramp to the detention and water quality pond, to provide safe and reasonable access for the Austin Fire Department to access the perimeter of the building in case of a fire, and the construction of the pond. According to Austin Fire Department standards, the slope has to be no more than 4:1 thus necessitating the variance.

- b) Is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

**Yes** The area of cut in excess of 4 feet in depth is relatively small, 3,733 square feet, and should be considered a minimum departure from the requirements. It should be noted that the pond is located in an area that has fairly flat slopes and no trees. The placement of the building and the pond are dictated by the sites limited buildable area due to its steep topography, noncontiguous areas of 0-15% slopes, and the location of the driveway. The location of the pond, its access ramp, and access for the Austin Fire Department cannot be altered without creating a greater environmental impact.

- c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

**Yes** The area of cut will be stabilized either by 3:1 slopes revegetated to City of Austin standards with temporary erosion control matting to prevent erosion while revegetation is taking place, or will be stabilized by concrete retaining systems. In addition, a Texas licensed geologist will oversee excavation for the access drive, pond, and building.

C1/23

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

**Yes** Run off from the impervious cover will be captured and routed to the detention pond and water quality pond, which is a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond.

**Variances #5 from Section 25-8-342(A) to allow more than 4 feet of Fill**

A variance from Section 25-8-342(A) is requested to allow thirteen feet of fill for the construction of a driveway and turnaround area/fire access drive for the Austin Fire Department. Justification for this variance is described as follows:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

**Yes** Other properties located along Spicewood Springs Road with steep topography, similar to this site, that have limited buildable area require, and are granted, cut and fill variances. It should also be noted that this project is comparable in size and scope to other projects in the area.

Given the sites steep topography there are only two areas that are suitable for development; however, these areas consist of numerous manmade slopes due to existing developed conditions. Consequently a variance to 4-13 feet of fill is necessary for the construction of a driveway and a turnaround area/fire access drive adequate in size for a fire truck to maneuver.

2. The variance:
  - a) Is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

**Yes** There are two driveways proposed that will provide adequate ingress/egress to the project and for emergency service vehicles. The main driveway is required by the Transportation Criteria Manual to align with the driveway into the existing multifamily development adjacent to the site. Additionally the Austin Fire Department standards require a turnaround area/fire access drive adequate in size that meets the required slope percentages for a fire truck to maneuver safely. Given the sites limited buildable area there is only one logical location to place the building, which in essence dictates the location of the turnaround area/fire access drive. Consequently because the driveway and the fire access drive are on slopes in excess of 15% a variance to exceed more than 4 feet of fill is required to meet the Austin Fire Department standards for cross slopes and driveway grades.

C11/24

- b) Is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

**Yes** The area of fill in excess of 4 feet in depth is relatively small, 7,066 square feet of fill 4-13 feet, and should be considered minimum departure from the requirements. Given the sites limited buildable area due to its steep topography the construction of a driveway and a turnaround area/fire access drive cannot be altered without creating a greater environmental impact.

- c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

**Yes** The area of fill will be stabilized either by 3:1 slopes revegetated to City of Austin standards with temporary erosion control mattering to prevent erosion while revegetation is taking place, or will be stabilized by concrete retaining systems. In addition, a Texas licensed geologist will oversee construction of the fill for the driveway and turnaround area/fire access drive.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

**Yes** Run off from the impervious cover will be captured and routed to the detention pond and water quality pond, which is a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond.

**Variances #6 to reduce the 150' buffer zone from a Critical Environmental Feature**

A variance from Section 25-8-281(C)(1)(a) is requested to allow construction of the building, detention pond and water quality pond within the 150' buffer zone from a critical environmental feature. Justification for this variance is described as follows:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

**Yes** It is common for property located in the Bull Creek Watershed with steep topography to have geological conditions that warrant critical environmental features ("CEF") such as rimrock. It is also common for properties with limited buildable area because of topographic conditions which have known CEF's to receive a variance to reduce the required 150 foot buffer zone from the CEF. In this case there two canyon rimrocks located on the site (i) 56 foot rimrock located in the central portions of the property, and (ii) 81 foot rimrock located in the western portion of the



CU  
25

property. A portion of the building and detention/water quality pond are located within the required 150 foot buffer zone from both CEF's.

2. The variance:

- a) Is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

**Yes** The property has two (2) acres of land that consist of five noncontiguous areas with slopes less than 15%, as a result there are only two areas that are suitable for development; however this is also the area where the canyon rimrocks are found. Other site constraints that affect the placement of the building and detention/water quality pond are (i) a requirement for the primary access point to align with the adjacent multifamily development, and (ii) the eastern portion of the property is not suitable for construction since it consist of approximately 40 feet of unconsolidated fill material leftover from when Spicewood Springs Road was realigned main years ago. Because of the site constraints, a portion of the building and detention/water quality pond are located within 8 feet and 15 feet from the closest point of the rimrock located in the western portion of the site. As for the rimrock located in the central portion of the site, the building and water quality facilities are located no closer than 50 feet from the nearest point of the CEF.

- b) Is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

**Yes** Given the sites limited buildable area due to its steep topography and the location of the driveway, the placement of the building and detention/water quality pond cannot be altered without creating a greater environmental impact.

- c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

**Yes** In the case of canyon rimrocks, the preservation method consist of minimizing concentrated flows from the development across the feature. For this development the applicant proposes that during construction water filled traffic barriers will be placed along the limits of construction ("LOC") to divert the majority of the storm water runoff away from the CEF's. In addition It is proposed that a filter sock will be placed on the downstream side of the water filled traffic barriers in the event small amounts of runoff percolate under the barriers. Any slopes steeper than 4:1 upstream of the CEF's will be overlain with erosion control matting to assist in the revegetation process to minimize erosion. After construction is complete the drainage areas to the CEF's will consist of very small non-

C11/26

impervious sheet flow areas due to the fact the entire developed area will be captured by the roof drains and inlets which will then be diverted to the water quality facility. Discharge from the water quality facility will be at a location that is not upstream of the CEF's.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

**Yes** Run off from the impervious cover will be captured and routed to the detention pond and water quality pond, which is a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond. It should be noted that the pond will be discharged at an area of flatter slopes and will not contribute runoff to the CEF's.

The applicant proposes the following mitigation measures to provide enhanced environmental protection of the CEF's:

- Removal of the brush pile that is adjacent to the western canyon rimrock CEF and restore the area to its natural condition.
- Preconstruction; traffic barriers and mulch bags will be installed to prevent any sediment from impacting the ridge; and
- Post construction; divert storm water runoff away from the CEF's, install geo-matting around the detention pond, and revegetate prior to removing the traffic barriers and mulch bags.

I respectfully request the Environmental Review Board and Land Use Commission review the material provided and approve the proposed variances as requested. Should you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very Truly Yours,  
LONG RO & CLARKE, L.P.


Alex G. Clarke, P.E.  
Vice President



Enclosures

cc: Scott Taylor, Tierra Concepts  
Amanda Morrow, Ambrust & Brown

G:\075-08\DOCS\Variance Request Revised April 2012\ENV Variance Request Summary R1.doc



July 26, 2013



## ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD VARIANCE APPLICATION FORM

CU  
27

### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

#### Applicant Contact Information

Name of Applicant Scott Taylor  
Street Address 8917 Old Lampasas Trail #28  
City State ZIP Code Austin, Texas 78750  
Work Phone 512-619-1846  
E-Mail Address taylor@tierra-concepts.com

#### Variance Case Information

Case Name Spicewood Springs Plaza Office  
Case Number SP-2013-0018C  
Address or Location 4714 Spicewood Springs, Austin, Texas 78759  
Environmental Reviewer Name Mike McDougal  
Applicable Ordinance Current code  
Watershed Name Bull Creek  
Watershed Classification ☐ Urban ☐ Suburban ☒ Water Supply Suburban  
☐ Water Supply Rural ☐ Barton Springs Zone  
Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone ☐ Barton Springs Segment ☒ Northern Edwards Segment  
☐ Not in Edwards Aquifer Zones  
Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone ☐ Yes ☒ No

C11/28

Distance to Nearest  
Classified Waterway  
Water and Waste Water  
service to be provided by

+/- 3,000 feet

City of Austin

Request

The variance request is as follows: Variance from Sections:

25-8-301(A)(1) to allow construction of a driveway on slopes with a gradient of more than 15%,

25-8-302(A)(1) to allow a building on slopes with a gradient of more than 25%,

25-8-302(B)(1) to allow .14 acres of impervious cover on slopes between 15%-25%,

25-8-341(A) to exceed 4 feet of cut,

25-8-342(A) to exceed 4 feet of fill, and

Administrative variance from 25-8-281(C)(1)(a) to reduce the 150' buffer zone from a critical environmental feature.

Impervious cover

Existing

Proposed

square footage:

4,129

40,878

acreage:

0.09

.94 acres

percentage:

3.6 NSA

35.3% NSA

Provide general  
description of the property  
(slope range, elevation  
range, summary of  
vegetation / trees,  
summary of the geology,  
CWQZ, WQTZ, CEFs,  
floodplain, heritage trees,  
any other notable or  
outstanding  
characteristics of the  
property)

The property consist of 5.19 acres with two (2) acres of land that consist of five noncontiguous areas with slopes less than 15%; three of these areas are only accessible by crossing slopes greater than 25%, and the other two areas alone lack sufficient buildable space. There is extensive fill placement in the southern, eastern, and central portions of the site from when Spicewood Springs Road was realigned and from when the property was developed in 1968 as a residential home site. The site lies within the Bull Creek watershed. The elevation of the subject site ranges from approximately 738 feet to 869 feet above mean sea level. The site is not located within or near a classified waterway. The site is not located within a CWQZ or WQTZ. Vegetation species include, but are not limited to; (i) Texas oak, (ii) ashe juniper, (iii) possumhaw, (iv) turk's cap, (v) greenbrier, (vi) frostweed, (vii) hackberry, (viii) cedar elm and other native grasses. There is only one heritage tree on the site, which will be preserved. The site lies within the Upper Glen Rose Formation, Walnut Formation and Edwards Limestone. There are two canyon rimrock located on the site. There are no known endangered species.

C11  
29

Clearly indicate in what way the proposed project does not comply with current Code (include maps and exhibits)

(i) variances to allow construction on slopes greater than 15%, but not to exceed 35%; (ii) cut and fill variances for the construction of a driveway, detention pond/water quality pond, access for emergency service vehicles and access to the detention pond/water quality pond, and (iii) variance to reduce a CEF buffer zone.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

As required in LDC Section 25-8-41, in order to grant a variance the Land Use Commission must make the following findings of fact:

Include an explanation with each applicable finding of fact.

Project: Spicewood Springs Plaza Office

#### Ordinance: Current Code (Section 25-8-301(A)(1))

A. Land Use Commission variance determinations from Chapter 25-8-41 of the City Code:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

**Yes** Other properties located along Spicewood Springs Road with steep topography, similar to this site, that have limited buildable area require, and are granted, construction on slope variances in order to develop. It should also be noted that this project is comparable in size and scope to other projects in the area.

This property is 5.19 acres with two (2) acres of land that consists of five noncontiguous areas with slopes less than 15%; three of these areas are only accessible by crossing slopes greater than 25%; the other two areas alone lack sufficient buildable space. It should also be noted that the property consists of numerous manmade slopes due to existing developed conditions which created the steep slopes within the area of the main driveway and the turnaround area/fire access drive.

2. The variance:

- a) is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

July 26, 2013

CH  
30

**Yes** There are two driveways proposed that will provide adequate ingress/egress to the project and for emergency service vehicles. The main driveway is required by the Transportation Criteria Manual to align with the driveway into the existing multifamily development adjacent to the site. Additionally the Austin Fire Department standards require a turnaround area/fire access drive adequate in size that meets the required slope percentages for a fire truck to maneuver safely. The location of the turnaround area/fire access drive is essentially dictated by the properties limited buildable area and the requirement to align the main driveway with the multifamily driveway. The location of the driveway and the turnaround area/fire access drive results in safer traffic circulation on a busy and substandard Spicewood Springs Road.

b) Is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

**Yes** In addition to the requirement to align the driveway with the adjacent multifamily project, which dictates its location, we determined that the other possible locations for the driveway and turnaround area/fire access drive would create a larger departure from the requirements and provide a greater impact on slopes in excess of 15%. This is evidenced by the five noncontiguous areas of land with 0-15% slopes. Three of which are only accessible by crossing slopes in excess of 25%, and in one case building a bridge to cross a steep ravine.

c). Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

**Yes** The construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction; this will also help to minimize any disturbance of vegetation along the slopes.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

**Yes** Run off from the impervious cover will be captured and routed to the detention pond and water quality pond, which is a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond.

**Ordinance: Current Code (Section 25-8-302(A)(1))**

A. Land Use Commission variance determinations from Chapter 25-8-41 of the City Code:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

C11  
1/31

**Yes** Other properties located along Spicewood Springs Road with steep topography, similar to this site, that have limited buildable area require, and are granted, construction on slope variances in order to develop. It should also be noted that this project is comparable in size and scope to other projects in the area.

The placement of the building is dictated by the sites limited buildable area due to its steep topography, noncontiguous areas of 0-15% slopes, and the location of the driveway. Consequently the building impacts slopes in excess of 25%.

**2. The variance:**

a) Is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

**Yes** As evidenced by the slope map there are only two (2) areas adjacent to Spicewood Springs Road that consist of 0-15% slopes. The area located along the eastern property line is not suitable for construction since it mainly consists of approximately 40 feet of unconsolidated fill material leftover from when Spicewood Springs Road was realigned many years ago. Additionally, to develop this area a variance to construct on slopes in excess of 35% would be required, which would result in a greater environmental impact. Consequently the only suitable area left to develop are two (2) areas located along the western property line with 0-15% slopes that are in close proximity to each other. However, this area has manmade slopes that were created when the property was developed for residential use in 1968.

b) is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

**Yes** Considering the sites steep topography the location chosen has the least impact from an environmental standpoint. The other areas of the site with 0-15% slopes are either not accessible because of steep topography, require construction of a bridge to cross a ravine, or do not have sufficient buildable area.

c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

**Yes** The construction on slopes will be structurally contained by the building which will stabilize the area of construction; this will also help to minimize any disturbance of vegetation along the slopes.

**3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.**



July 26, 2013

C11  
32

**Yes** Run off from the impervious cover will be captured and routed to the detention pond and water quality pond, which is a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond.

**Ordinance: Current Code Section 25-8-302(B)(1)**

**A. Land Use Commission variance determinations from Chapter 25-8-41 of the City Code:**

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

**Yes** Other properties located along Spicewood Springs Road with steep topography, similar to this site, that have limited buildable area require, and are granted, construction on slope variances in order to develop. It should also be noted that this project is comparable in size and scope to other projects in the area.

The placement of the building is dictated by the properties limited buildable area due to its steep topography, noncontiguous areas of 0-15% slopes, and the location of the driveway. Consequently the building impacts slopes with a gradient of 15-25%.

**2. The variance:**

- a) is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

C11/33

**Yes** The property is comprised of 1.18 acres of 15-25% slope. The City Code allows 0.12 acres of impervious cover, which is no more than 10%, of the total area of slopes greater than 15% but not more than 25%. The project proposes a total of 0.26 acres of impervious cover. As evidenced by the slope map there are only two (2) areas adjacent to Spicewood Springs Road that consist of 0-15% slopes. The area located along the eastern property line is not suitable for construction since it consists of approximately 40 feet of unconsolidated fill material leftover from when Spicewood Springs Road was realigned many years ago. Additionally, to develop this area a variance to construct on slopes in excess of 35% would be required, which would result in a larger departure from City Code and create a greater environmental impact. Consequently the only suitable area left to develop are two (2) areas located along the western property line with 0-15% slopes that are in close proximity to each other. However, this area mainly consists of manmade slopes that were created when the property was developed for residential use in 1968.

b) is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

**Yes** The extent of construction on slopes is relatively small in scope and should be considered minimum departure from slope requirements. There are only two areas that are suitable for development but yet still require a variance to allow more than 10% impervious cover on slopes more than 15% but not more than 25%. However considering the properties steep topography the location chosen has less impact from an environmental standpoint. The other areas of the site with 0-15% slopes are either not accessible because of the steep topography, require construction of a bridge to cross a ravine, or do not have sufficient buildable area.

c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

**Yes** The construction on slopes will be structurally contained by vertical retaining systems that will stabilize the area of construction; this will also help to minimize any disturbance of vegetation along the slopes.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

28

July 26, 2013

C11/34

**Yes** Run off from the impervious cover will be captured and routed to the detention pond and water quality pond, which is a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond.

**Ordinance: Current Code (Section 25-8-341(A))**

**A. Land Use Commission variance determinations from Chapter 25-8-41 of the City Code:**

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

**Yes** Other properties located along Spicewood Springs Road with steep topography, similar to this site, that have limited buildable area require, and are granted, cut and fill variances.. It should also be noted that this project is comparable in size and scope to other projects in the area.

Given the sites steep topography there are only two areas that are suitable for development, however these areas consist of numerous manmade slopes and fills due to existing developed conditions. Consequently a variance to allow 4-14 feet of cut is necessary to construct the detention pond and water quality pond, to provide a 4:1 maintenance/access ramp to the pond, and to provide safe and reasonable access for the Austin Fire Department to access the perimeter of the building in case of a fire.

**2. The variance:**

- a) Is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

**Yes** The prior landowner altered the natural terrain to create a home site which created areas of manmade fill. Consequently a variance to allow 4-14 feet of cut is necessary to provide a 4:1 maintenance/access ramp to the detention pond and water quality pond, to provide safe and reasonable access for the Austin Fire Department, and the construction of the pond. According to Austin Fire Department standards, the slope has to be no more than 4:1 thus necessitating the variance.

- b) is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

**Yes** The area of cut in excess of 4 feet in depth is relatively small, 3,733 square feet, and should be considered a minimum departure from the requirements. It

C11/35

should be noted that the pond is located in an area that has fairly flat slopes and no trees. The location of the pond, its access ramp, and access for the Austin Fire Department cannot be altered without creating a greater environmental impact.

c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

**Yes** The area of cut will be stabilized either by 3:1 slopes revegetated to City of Austin standards with temporary erosion control matting to prevent erosion while revegetation is taking place, or will be stabilized by concrete retaining systems. In addition, a Texas licensed geologist will oversee excavation for the access drive, pond, and building.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

**Yes** Run off from the impervious cover will be captured and routed to the detention pond and water quality pond, which is a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond.

**Ordinance: Current Code (Section 25-8-342(A))**

A. Land Use Commission variance determinations from Chapter 25-8-41 of the City Code:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

**Yes** Other properties located along Spicewood Springs Road with steep topography, similar to this site, that have limited buildable area require, and are granted, cut and fill variances.. It should also be noted that this project is comparable in size and scope to other projects in the area.

Given the sites steep topography there are only two areas that are suitable for development, however these areas consist of numerous manmade slopes due to existing developed conditions. Consequently a variance to 4-13 feet of fill is necessary for the construction of a driveway and a turnaround area/fire access drive adequate in size for a fire truck to maneuver.

2. The variance:

a) is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

CU  
36

**Yes** There are two driveways proposed that will provide adequate ingress/egress to the project and for emergency service vehicles. The main driveway is required by the Transportation Criteria Manual to align with the driveway into the existing multifamily development adjacent to the site. Additionally the Austin Fire Department standards require a turnaround area/fire access drive adequate in size that meets the required slope percentages for a fire truck to maneuver safely. Given the sites limited buildable area there is only one logical location to place the building, which in essence dictates the location of the turnaround area/fire access drive. Consequently because the driveway and the fire access drive are on slopes in excess of 15% a variance to exceed more than 4 feet of fill is required to meet the Austin Fire Department standards for cross slopes and driveway grades.

- b) Is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

**Yes** The area of fill in excess of 4 feet in depth is relatively small, 7,066 square feet of fill 4-13 feet, and should be considered minimum departure from the requirements. Given the sites limited buildable area due to its steep topography the construction of a driveway and a turnaround area/fire access drive cannot be altered without creating a greater environmental impact.

- c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

**Yes** The area of fill will be stabilized either by 3:1 slopes revegetated to City of Austin standards with temporary erosion control mattering to prevent erosion while revegetation is taking place, or will be stabilized by concrete retaining systems. In addition, a Texas licensed geologist will oversee construction of the fill for the driveway and turnaround area/fire access drive.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

**Yes** Run off from the impervious cover will be captured and routed to the detention pond and water quality pond, which is a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond.

**Ordinance: Current Code (Section 25-8-281(C)(1)(a))**

**A. Land Use Commission variance determinations from Chapter 25-8-41 of the City Code:**

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege or the safety of property given to owners of other similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development.

C11/37

**Yes** it is common for property located in the Bull Creek Watershed with steep topography to have geological conditions that warrant critical environmental features ("CEF") such as rimrock. It is also common for properties with limited buildable area because of topographic conditions which have known CEF's to receive a variance to reduce the required 150 foot buffer zone from the CEF. In this case there two canyon rimrocks located on the site (i) 56 foot rimrock located in the central portions of the property, and (ii) 81 foot rimrock located in the western portion of the property. A portion of the building and detention/water quality pond are located within the required 150 foot buffer zone from both CEF's.

**2. The variance:**

- a) is not based on a condition caused by the method chosen by the applicant to develop the property, unless the development method provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

**Yes** The property has two (2) acres of land that consist of five noncontiguous areas with slopes less than 15%, as a result there are only two areas that are suitable for development; however this is also the area where the canyon rimrocks are found. Other site constraints that affect the placement of the building and detention/water quality pond are (i) a requirement for the primary access point to align with the adjacent multifamily development, and (ii) the eastern portion of the property is not suitable for construction since it consist of approximately 40 feet of unconsolidated fill material leftover from when Spicewood Springs Road was realigned main years ago. Because of the site constraints, a portion of the building and detention/water quality pond are located within 8 feet and 15 feet from the closest point of the rimrock located in the western portion of the site. As for the rimrock located in the central portion of the site, the building and water quality facilities are located no closer than 50 feet from the nearest point of the CEF.

- b) is the minimum change necessary to avoid the deprivation of a privilege given to other property owners and to allow a reasonable use of the property;

**Yes** Given the sites limited buildable area due to its steep topography and the location of the driveway, the placement of the building and detention/water quality pond cannot be altered without creating a greater environmental impact.

- c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences; and

C4/38

**Yes** in the case of canyon rimrocks, the preservation method consist of minimizing concentrated flows from the development across the feature. For this development the applicant proposes that during construction water filled traffic barriers will be placed along the limits of construction ("LOC") to divert the majority of the storm water runoff away from the CEF's. In addition it is proposed that a filter sock will be placed on the downstream side of the water filled traffic barriers in the event small amounts of runoff percolate under the barriers. Any slopes steeper than 4:1 upstream of the CEF's will be overlain with erosion control matting to assist in the revegetation process to minimize erosion. After construction is complete the drainage areas to the CEF's will consist of very small non-impervious sheet flow areas due to the fact the entire developed area will be captured by the roof drains and inlets which will then be diverted to the water quality facility. Discharge from the water quality facility will be at a location that is not upstream of the CEF's.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

**Yes** Run off from the impervious cover will be captured and routed to the detention pond and water quality pond, which is a partial sedimentation bio-filtration pond. It should be noted that the pond will be discharged at an area of flatter slopes and will not contribute run to the CEF's.



SPICEWOOD SPRINGS  
PLAZA OFFICE

SP-2013-0018


CITY OF AUSTIN ENVIRONMENTAL  
BOARD VARIANCE REQUESTS

C11  
39



CU 10

# VICINITY MAP





# AERIAL PHOTO



11/11



# AERIAL PHOTO




24/11



C11/43

## Site Photos



#1



#2



#3



#4

- 1 - Natural slope from the lower half of the site
- 2 - Start of fill area
- 3 - Rock retaining wall for fill
- 4 - Rock retaining wall for fill



C11/44

# Site Photos



#5



#6



#7



#8

- 5 - Profile of fill
- 6 - Profile of fill for road
- 7 - Profile of fill
- 8 - Fill on the east tract



# Site Photos



#9



#10



#11



#12

- 9 - Fill on the east tract
- 10 - Fill in the ROW
- 11 - Project's required driveway alignment with multifamily complex
- 12 - Fill on the east tract

CL  
45




# Site Photos



#13



#14



#15

- 13 - Fill on the east tract
- 14 - Fill on the east tract
- 15 - Fill on the east tract

C11  
46



Site Photos



Central Rimrock



Western Rimrock

C11  
47