CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board Decision Sheet

DATE: Monday, October 14, 2013	CASE NUMBER: C15-2013-0084
Jeff Jack Michael Von Ohlen Nora Salinas Bryan King Fred McGhee Melissa Hawthorne Stuart Hampton - Sallie Burchett(OUT	T)
APPLICANT: Robert J. & Elizabeth O. Layne	
OWNER: Jeff Layne	
ADDRESS: 1312 MERIDEN LN	
VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has garage placement requirement of Section 25 facing carport for a single-family residence in Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (West Audevelopment Code states that a parking structure front yard may not be closer to the front lot in	-2-1604 (C) (1) in order to erect a front n an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence – Istin Neighborhood Plan) The Land Icture with an entrance that faces the
BOARD'S DECISION: POSTPONED TO Septe no quorum. Oct 14, 2013 POSTPONED TO DEC	
FINDING:	
The Zoning regulations applicable to the probecause:	perty do not allow for a reasonable use
2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is re-	quested is unique to the property in that:
(b) The hardship is not general to the area in	which the property is located because:
3. The variance will not alter the character of the impair the use of adjacent conforming prope the regulations of the zoning district in which the character of the impair the use of adjacent conforming properties. A supplied to the character of the impair the use of adjacent conforming properties.	rty, and will not impair the purpose of
Susan Walker	Jeπ Jack \\ \

Chairman

Executive Liaison

Septemeber 11, 2013

Susan Walker City of Austin 505 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704

RE: Board of Adjustment Postponement Request for C15-2013-0084 1312 Meriden Lane

Ms. Walker:

Please allow this letter to serve as my formal request to postpone the above referenced case to the Board of Adjustment meeting scheduled in December 2013. This request comes in response for additional information requested by the West Austin Neighborhood Group as well as neighbors. Granting this postponement will us additional time to work with all interested parties.

Thank you for your assistance with this request.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeff Layne

Walker, Susan

From:

Kari Blachly

Sent:

Wednesday, September 11, 2013 3:32 PM

To:

Walker, Susan

Subject:

1312 Meriden lane variance

Hi Susan,

Hope you are having a good week so far. My clients are researching their options on the carport and would like to postpone to the December meeting if possible. I told them I thought that would be the latest that they might be able to postpone it without having to withdraw and lose the fees that were paid. Do you think that's possible?

Please let me know what you think when you get a chance.

Thanks!

Kari

Walker, Susan

U5-2013-2084

From:

Kari Blachly Kariblachly Carachicanoperistriction Friday, August 09, 2013 1:24 PM Walker, Susan

Sent:

To:

Subject:

1312 Meriden BOA postponement request Attachment-1; ATT00001.txt

Attachments:

Hi,

Please let me know if you need any additional information for this pp request.

Thanks doll!

Kari

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
 and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.ci.austin.tx.us/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

Case Number: C15-2013-0084 – 1312 Meriden Lane
Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202
Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, August 12th, 2013

Petrus J. (Pete) Wassdorf

Your Name (please print)

2305 Quarry Road, Austin, TX 78703

Your address(es) affected by this application

09/02/2013

Signature

Daytime Telephone: 512-480-8282

Comments: I object to a variance because properties in the neighborhood generally comply with the set-back contained in the deed restrictions. To allow carports to extend closer to the street than

neighborhood generally comply with the set-back contained in the deed restrictions. To allow carports to extend closer to the street than the building facade, will encroach on the field of vision of surrounding houses. This applicant essentially gutted his existing structure and had ample opportunity to provide for covered parking in his building plans but chose not to. He applied for one variance for which the neighborhood did not object. He did not seek this variance until

construction was complete because he expected it to be opposed.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor

Susan Walker P. O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767-1088

CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board Decision Sheet

Decision 5	Sheet
DATE: Monday, August 12, 2013	CASE NUMBER: C15-2013-0082
Jeff Jack Will Schnier - Michael Von Ohlen (out) Nora Salinas	
Stuart Hampton - Bryan King (out) Fred McGhee Melissa Hawthorne Sallie Burchett Cathy French (SRB only)	
APPLICANT: Robert J. & Elizabeth O. Layne	
OWNER: Jeff Layne	
ADDRESS: 1312 MERIDEN LN	
VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has regarage placement requirement of Section 25-2-facing carport for a single-family residence in a Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (West Aust Development Code states that a parking struct front yard may not be closer to the front lot line BOARD'S DECISION, pograme	an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence – in Neighborhood Plan) The Land ure with an entrance that faces the
BOARD'S DECISION: POSTPONED TO September FINDING:	er 16, 2013
The Zoning regulations applicable to the propert because:	y do not allow for a reasonable use
2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is reques	sted is unique to the property in that
(b) The hardship is not general to the area in whi	ch the property is located because
 The variance will not alter the character of the are impair the use of adjacent conforming property, a the regulations of the zoning district in which the 	ea adjacent to the property, will
	Λ·-

Susan Walker Executive Liaison

Jeπ Jack Chairman August 8, 2013

Susan Walker
City of Austin
505 Barton Springs Road
Austin, TX 78704

RE: Board of Adjustment Postponement Rec

Ms. Walker:

Please allow this letter to serve as my formal real Board of Adjustment meeting scheduled for Sepfor additional information requested by the West

2

CASE # <u>C15-2013-0084</u> ROW-10980086 TP-0112050512

CITY OF AUSTIN APPLICATION TO BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT GENERAL VARIANCE/PARKING VARIANCE

WARNING: Filing of this appeal stops all affected construction activity.

PLEASE: APPLICATION MUST BE TYPED WITH ALL REQUESTED INFORMATION COMPLETED.

STREET ADDR	ESS: 131	2 Meriden Lane		
LEGAL DESCR	IPTION: Subo	livision – <u>C8</u>	<u>I-2013-0068</u>	
Lot(s)	Block	Outlot	Division	
I/We Jeff Layne		on behalf of myse	elf/ourselves as autho	orized agent for
	affirm tha	t on June 27		
hereby apply for	a hearing befo	ore the Board of A	Adjustment for consid	deration to:
ERECT – ATTA	ACH – COMPI	LETE – REMOD	EL – MAINTAIN	
			driveway that does	not comply with when it was adopted.
<u>DDO Section 25</u>	2 100 : 11100			
in a <u>SF-3-NP</u> (zoning dis		district.		

The Austin Electric Utility Department (Austin Energy) enforces electric easements and the setback requirements set forth in the Austin Utility Code, Electric Criteria Manual and National Electric Safety Code. The Board of Adjustment considers variance to the Land Development Code, and a variance granted by the Board of Adjustment does not waive the requirements enforced by Austin Energy. Please contact Lena Lund with Austin Energy at 322-6587 before filing your application with the Board of Adjustment if your request is for a reduction in setbacks or height limits.

NOTE: The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional support documents.

VARIANCE FINDINGS: I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings (see page 5 of application for explanation of findings):

REASONABLE USE:

1. The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:

The placement of the carport is severely restricted by the existing conditions on this lot. The lot is very narrow and the existing house extends almost all the way across. The existing curb cut and driveway approach along with a new driveway and a back up space that would require that a 24" and a 21" pine trees would have to be removed as well as the majority of an existing stone retaining wall which this property shares with its neighboring property to the north. Demolition of this old stone retaining wall would be detrimental not only to the existing property, but to the unique, historic feel of the street overall. To comply with section 25-2-1604 requiring a side approach will also adversely affect the site by creating more impervious cover and jeopardizing existing established trees.

HARDSHIP:

- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:

 This is a very narrow lot that the house spans across most of it. There are protected trees that would have to be removed along with a section of an existing wall to allow for a new curb cut and driveway approach as well as a new driveway and back up space.
 - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: This lot has limited availability on which to locate a covered parking structure.

AREA CHARACTER:

3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

This is a single family use located on a street that is residential use only. The proposed placement of this modest, low profile, architecturally designed carport would be located over an existing parking pad in front of the house creating less of an impact to the overall site and maintaining the existing character. The carport is architecturally designed to complement the remodeled house and the neighborhood.

PARKING: (Additional criteria for parking variances only.)

Request for a parking variance requires the Board to make additional findings. The Board may grant a variance to a regulation prescribed Section 479 of Chapter 25-6 with

respect to the number of off-street parking spaces or loading facilities required if it makes findings of fact that the following additional circumstances also apply: 1. Neither present nor anticipated future traffic volumes generated by the use of the site or the uses of sites in the vicinity reasonable require strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specific regulation because:					
2. The granting of this va public streets in such a streets because:	ariance will not result in the	parking or loadir h the free flow of	ng of vehicles on traffic of the		
	ariance will not create a saf		other condition		
4. The variance will run the site because:	with the use or uses to whi	ch it pertains and	shall not run with		
privilege not enjoy	grant a variance that would pi ed by others similarly situated ATE – I affirm that my staten	or potentially simila	arly situated.		
application are true and co	orrect to the best of my kno	owledge and belief	f.		
·	Mail Ac				
City, State & Zip	to, TX 78	703			
Printed R. Jeffrey L	ayne Phone 499.	- 87 dd Date	6 28 13		
OWNERS CERTIFICAT	E – I affirm that my statemen est of my knowledge and beli	ts contained in the			
Signed	Mail A	ddress			
City, State & Zip			· .		
Printed	Phone	Date			







SUBJECT TRACT

ZONING BOUNDARY

CASE#: C15-2013-0084 LOCATION: 1312 Meriden Lane

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.

This product has been produced by the Planning and Development Review Department for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.



XI , METRUA BUAL MECHENI SISI MANAGE STATES OF LIVE JUB SHT ROFILEGOMER GNA NOTTIGGA NA 131S WEKIDEN TYNE **®** SALE OF THE SALE O 16-6-20 aritis Potrano (10 dal comento) 1) hear comenta surmano invesa, considerado (10 dal comento) 2) surse comento e estam sobre de 2010 deceso (10 de acustes 2) i 1/2 - senesta e formación consumina mecanico de acusas. MOTES CITICS CONCRETE TO MESSER TO DOWNERS AREAS OF HEIR NOOF TRAMING AND NOOF MATURAL. Q, MACHETICA 1) PROFILEZIONE CHEMICANIA 1) ROY CONTROLLE CHEMICANIA C | ☑ ❷ Ø ▼ △ Ø Ø Ø × of provided projects before allow the tracking their and Companies, Statistical Ordenses Mandathan on Advant or The Statistics of the Louis Dandagement Orde. The Corn From Jen at man, from it manused as the new confined after It the states were 100 X No control to control to control market control who are which to he for of 1000s. As period for the are on white own from the foreign and the hei way oos hot nouth blunk remedens dad wit is earsed in the local cordand with associate. BEARING BASIS (8 85'872'38) (65'57'90'E 208.00' 4 66 TOT 1 TW 209,00 SHOOM WELL OF SHOOM WELL SHOOM WELL SOME SHO () DENOTE ACCOR MEDIUM 10 COCCACIO 10 CO Q Edeling Sq. Pl. Herr/Added Sq. Pt. of a M. comed by helding or meled over he decide prince had paring, independently one and building to seek the formers and building to be the formers of the formers and building to be the formers of the formers and building to be the formers of the formers and building to be the formers of 10 UF SE 32 THE STATE OF STATE AND THE STATE OF THE STAT 10 as - 1200 at F.

27-4" HOST WED STRUCK 5-4" SECOND STRUCK 15-4" SHEET SOCKHO STRUCK 15-4" NEGONO STRUCK

CIT OF ALSTM ZORNO SF-J

THE LANK RESIDENCE 1512 METERN LANE 1512 METERN LANE

COSMIC METROUS COURT BULDING AND STE AREA

Mishing region 2, second market being and region of the second region region region of the second region of the second region of the second region of the second region re

(3)

A-100

(181E AND BOOF PLAN

20100 HEH L2' 1 -

(0)

0

150 E

TAN BED'NEW)

2 TO - 78

