

CASE:

C14-2014-0035 ·

Adams House Rezoning

P.C. DATE:

May 13, 2014

April 22, 2014 March 25, 2014

ADDRESS:

4300 Avenue G

AREA:

6,871 square feet

OWNER:

Sidney Lock

AGENT:

McHone Real Estate (Mike McHone)

FROM:

SF-3-HD-NCCD-NP

TO:

SF-3-HD-NCCD-NP, to change a condition of zoning

NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AREA: Hyde Park

TIA: N/A

WATERSHED: Waller Creek

SCENIC ROADWAY: No

CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR: No

DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE: Yes

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the proposed rezoning request to increase the maximum floor-to-area-ratio (FAR) for property located at 4300 Avenue G from .4 to 1 to .6 to 1.

No other modification of the existing family residence-historic district-neighborhood conservation combining district-neighborhood plan (SF-3-HD-NCCD-NP) combining district zoning is proposed.

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

May 13, 2014:

April 22, 2014: TO GRANT POSTPONEMENT TO MAY 13, 2014, AS REQUESTED BY APPLICANT, ON CONSENT. 9-0 (ANDERSON-1ST, J. STEVENS-2ND)

March 25, 2014: TO GRANT POSTPONEMENT TO APRIL 22, 2014, AS REQUESTED BY STAFF, ON CONSENT. 8-0-1 (J. STEVENS- 1ST, D. CHIMENTI-2ND, M. SMITH- ABSENT)

ISSUES:

The proposed rezoning seeks to resolve a situation where a residential building permit was issued in error.

The property is currently zoned SF-3-HD-NCCD-NP, and is located in the Hyde Park NCCD, Neighborhood Plan area and National Register Historic District. It is used for Bed and breakfast (Group 1), and was recently issued a building permit for an addition above an existing carport/bungalow. Prior to the issuance of the building permit, the site had a floor-to-area-ratio (FAR) of 0.49 to 1, exceeding the allowable 0.4 to 1 maximum. During construction Code Compliance was contacted, and it was discovered that the building addition increased the degree of non-compliance to 0.578 to 1 FAR. It was also determined that the improvements do not qualify for a site plan exemption, and a site plan would be required. The addition has not been completed, and a site plan is also under City review at this time. Please see Exhibit A (As-Built Survey).

Based on guidance from City Staff and Planning Commission, the Applicant is also pursuing a resolution of the FAR issue through the Board of Adjustment (BOA) process. The issue was scheduled for consideration at the



Page 2 of 3

C4/2

April 14, 2014, but was postponed due to notification issues. A special-called BOA meeting was held April 30, 2014, at which time the Board decided to postpone the item to May 14, 2014, because the Board wanted the Planning Commission to consider this rezoning request prior to any action by the Board. The Board also stated that the case may not need to return to BOA, depending on Planning Commission action.

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The subject property is occupied by the Adams House Bed & Breakfast, and is located at the northwest corner of Avenue G and 43rd Street. The property is occupied by a two story residence and a detached carport/bungalow, where the second story addition was permitted. The property is zoned SF-3-HD-NCCD-NP, as are all of the adjacent properties. Surrounding properties include single-family residences and some duplexes. *Please refer to Exhibits B and C (Zoning Map and Aerial View)*.

<u>Hyde Park NCCD Overview.</u> Neighborhood Conservation Combining Districts (NCCDs) are intended to preserve and protect older neighborhoods by modifying regulations such as setbacks, parking, and FAR, based on a neighborhood plan for development and conservation. These modified regulations are incorporated into a customized NCCD zoning ordinances.

Hyde Park NCCD is divided into six subdistricts—Residential, Speedway, Duval, West 38th Street, Guadalupe, and Hyde Park Civic. The six subdistricts primarily establish corridors and hubs for certain land uses and their design standards. In addition to six main districts, several smaller areas are called out, generally identifying development regulations for areas that do not correspond directly to the subdistricts. For example, in the Guadalupe subdistrict, allowable building heights differ north and south of 40th Street (40 feet and 60 feet, respectively). Finally, specific properties are identified for distinctive site development and land use requirements. For example, 4300 and 4307 Speedway are subject to a variety of customized development standards and regulations related to parking, yards, curb cuts, and land uses. Other specified properties are also identified in the ordinance for special land use considerations.

Ordinance and Amendment History. The Hyde Park NCCD Ordinance was originally adopted on January 31, 2002 (Ordinance No. 020131-20). At that time, the Hyde Park NCCD did not address FAR limitations for the residential subdistrict and/or residential properties in the NCCD. FAR limits were established for multifamily and commercial properties, but the NCCD zoning ordinance was silent regarding FAR for residential properties. FAR limits for residential properties were not addressed directly by the NCCD until an amendment in 2012.

Four amendments to the Hyde Park NCCD have been approved by the City of Austin.

- Ordinance No. 20080522-044 Approved May 22, 2008 Added a vertical mixed use building combining district to the Hyde Park NCCD, including primarily parts of Guadalupe Street.
- Ordinance No. 20080522-061 Approved May 22, 2008 Added a vertical mixed use building combining district at 4000 Avenue B to the Hyde Park NCCD.
- Ordinance No. 20080605-062 Approved June 5, 2008 This rezoned the Kocurek building at 511 West 41st Street from SF-3-NCCD to NO-H-NCCD.
- Ordinance No. 20120112-086 Approved January 12, 2012 This amendment specifically addressed the
 residential FAR issue, establishing a limit of .4 to 1 for properties within the residential subdistrict, except
 for the property located at 511 W. 41st Street. The NCCD ordinance does not specify the permitted FAR
 for the property at 511 W. 41st.

<u>Correspondence.</u> Staff has received letters and other information showing support and opposition to the proposed modification of the FAR for the Adams House property. In lieu of including of all correspondence, Staff has included a map and lists of approximately 200 support letters. Correspondence from the Neighborhood Association Steering Committee (in support), as well as correspondence in opposition to the request is also included. *Please see Exhibit D (Correspondence)*.



STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the proposed rezoning request to increase the maximum floor-to-area-ratio (FAR) for property located at 4300 Avenue G from .4 to 1 to .6 to 1.

The proposed rezoning should satisfy a real public need and not provide special privilege to the owner.

The Hyde Park NCCD is a plan that addressed the overall character of the historic neighborhood while recognizing that some properties of the neighborhood required different regulations. This resulted in the creation of the subdistricts, sub-areas, as well as property-specific regulations. Additionally, the NCCD has been amended four times previous to the current rezoning request, including one amendment that specifically granted a higher FAR to a NCCD property. Based on this history, property-specific regulations and NCCD amendments are appropriate tools for addressing the permit issues facing 4300 Avenue G.

EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

	ZONING	LAND USES				
Site	SF-3-HD-NCCD-NP	Single family residences				
North	SF-3-HD-NCCD-NP	Single family residences				
South	SF-3-HD-NCCD-NP	Duplexes, Single family residences				
East	SF-3-HD-NCCD-NP	Single family residences				
West	SF-3-HD-NCCD-NP	Single family residences				

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:


Hyde Park Neighborhood Plan Contact Team
North Austin Neighborhood Alliance
Austin Neighborhoods Council
45th Street Concerned Citizens
Central Austin Community Development Corporation
Preservation Austin
Hyde Park Neighborhood Association
Friends of the Emma Barrientos MACC

CITY COUNCIL DATE/ACTION:

ORDINANCE READINGS: 1st 2nd 3rd ORDINANCE NUMBER:


CASE MANAGER: Heather Chaffin PHONE: 974-2122

e-mail: heather.chaffin@austintexas.gov



ON

EXHIBIT A AS-BUILT SURVEY





ZONING CASE#: C14-2014-0035



This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes in does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.

1 " = 200 '


This product has been produced by CTM for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.





MAP OF SUPPORTERS IN HISTORIC HYDE PARK







ADAMS HOUSE LETTERS OF SUPPORT HYDE PARK 500 FEET RADIUS FROM 4300 AVENUE G

Albinide, Teddy

Anderson, Jane

Bowen, David

Brown, Don

Brown, Sharon

Burton, Amon

Burton, Carol

Corral, Abe

Corral, Elaine

Deinert, Mark

Dudney, John

Durr, Robin

Durr, Ken

George, Mary Carolyn

Hendrickse, Nhalia

Horowitz, Michael

Horne, Sarah

Kerr, John

Lavinghouse, Preston

Low, Karen

Low, Stephen

Matthis, David

Maxson, Peter Flagg

McMillan, Robin

Mitchell, Michael

Moore, Antoinette

Pruner, Todd

Pumarejo, Kate

Pumarejo, Ricardo

Saadeh, Karen

Sackmary, David

Sawyer, Sara

Strapper, Brock

Taylor, Jack

Webb. Mike

Wilson, Adam


Xanthopoulos, Drew

Yetman, Kiki



ADAMS HOUSE LETTERS OF SUPPORT HYDE PARK HISTORIC HYDE PARK

Williams, Elizabeth Thomas, H. Grant Margot, Thomas Bednar, Deaton Gilg, Larry Gilg, Susan Mackinnon, Richard French, Scott Duke, Virginia Goldmann, Brittany Martinson, Erin Martinson, Jason Kivel, David Dickson, Herbert Dickson, Sandra Hamm, Sharyn Metzger, Erik Grimes, Carolyn Madsen, Shelley Fries, Mark Kennedy, Sara Anderson, Libby Sheffer-Bracha, Tammy Welder, Carol Grieshaber, Michele Klug, Michael Jackson, Greg Jackson, Carol Harris, Lisa Anderson, David Anderson, Linda Kurth, Brian Grace, Margo Paulson, Carl Hardeman, Shelley Stewart, Carol Stewart, John Herzele, Charlotte Heard, Chase Heard, Helen Spence, John



ADAMS HOUSE LETTERS OF SUPPORT HYDE PARK NORTH HYDE PARK AND SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOODS

Biechlin, Michael Burch, Mark Butchart, Hailey Cazalas, Kelly Davidson, Jared Davies, Penelope Fuller, Anthony Hamblen, Betsy Hambien, William Holland, Julie Huse, Justin Marchand, Jennifer McDaniel, Mark Melancon, Rebecca O'Brien, Vincent Page, Stephanie Reiffel, Karen Russell, Megan Tucker, Cary Vega, Heather Vilmot, Emily



ADAMS HOUSE LETTERS OF SUPPORT HYDE PARK HYDE PARK BUSINESSES

Alexander, Cameron Antonelli, Kendall & John

Avery, Thad Berry, Rose

Bontempo, Gregory

Fox, Emmett Lucero, Estella

Lyons, Tim

Warnken, Jeffrey & Sandra

Mother's Cafe and Garden Antonelli's Cheese Shop Woodside Development Blue Moon Glassworks

NeWorld Deli ASTI Trattoria

Julio's

Fresh Plus Market 38th Street Pharmacy



ADAMS HOUSE LETTERS OF SUPPORT HYDE PARK AUSTIN and BEYOND

Adams, Tom Anderson, Alyson Barron, Emily Barth, Suzanne Baldwin, Elizabeth Baldwin, Robert Belisle, Laura Bennett, Bill Bennett, Gloria Bergstrom, Alan Bergstrom, Blake Blake, Devin Bledsoe, Richard Borgelt, Roger Bradford, Robin Brooks, Debra DeCannie, Nicholas Dominguez, Andy Eklund, Richard Engel, William Evans, Betsy Evans, Richard Fernandez, Christine Fleming, Nathan Flores, Monica Forbes, Caroline Ford, Chandler Ford, Jordana Fulwiler, William James Gannaway, Jackie Garrett, Myndi Garza, Devin Gooch, Don Goodwin, Stephen Grosskopf, Eric Hartsough, Jeffrey Hendricks, Kate Henson, Weldon Hill, Lee Hughes, Cassandra Hughes, Ellen Hughes, Irving Hughes, Shawn

Jackson, S. Megan Jaworski, Joe

Former Galveston Mayor

ADAMS HOUSE LETTERS OF SUPPORT



HYDE PARK AUSTIN and BEYOND continued

Jaworski, Rebecca Johnson, Eric Jones, Rachel Kaderka, Daniel Katzberg, Gene Kincade, Edward Lambeth, J. Eric Landa, Amanda Landon, Vlera Lee, Emily

Lock, Julia

Mann, Michele

Merritt, John

Moore, Kenneth

Moore, Marcy

Moore, Robin

O'Brien, Vincent

Page, Billy Page, Lolla

Phlieger, Jennifer

Prater, Terry

Ramirez, Beatrice

Ray, Kristen

Reed, Janet

Rhoades, Chris

Roberts, Susan

Rogers, Kathryn

Ross, Lucy

Sanchez, Mary

Schultz, Richard

Schultz, Marcia

Seargeant, Tommy

Sikes, Jev

Sikes, Sydnor

Sucherman, Todd

Tipton, Todd

Trask, Ann

Truong, Christian

Truong, Tara

Virr, Tom

Voelzel, Linda

Weaver, Jacob

Weterl, David

Wickland, David

Williams, Josh

Yost, Linda

Dean at St. Edward's University

President/CEO Greater Texas Federal Credit Union

Subject: Re: Copy of Steering Committee Recommendation

From: Kevin Heyburn & mheyburn @gmail.com

Date: 3/27/2014 12:16 PM

To: "Adams House B&B" <reservations@theadamshouse.com>

Liz,

HPNA STEERING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION (ORIGINAL)

Below is the language from the resolution we voted on in the Steering Committee. It is not an official resolution of the HPNA until there is a vote by the members at the April 7th HPNA meeting, which will take place at 7:00 p.m. at Hyde Park Methodist Church. Also some members may propose amendments to the resolution.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Kevin

"The Hyde Park Neighborhood Association is unopposed to the Adams House's specific request for a variance and recognizes that the Adams House is a valued member of our community. We request that that the Board of Adjustment consider this lot as it is now with the permitted development."

On Wed, Mar 26, 2014 at 4:10 PM, Adams House B&B < reservations@theadamshouse.com > wrote:

Hi Kevin,

I hope all is well with you. I have a question that I was hoping that you could help me out with.

We just met with our mediator to review the Board of Adjustments upcoming meeting. Mike (our mediator) will be out of town and has asked us to submit the book to the BOA by April 2nd. If we receive the member vote from the Hyde Park Neighborhood Association on April 7th then we can add this late.

Could we receive a copy of the official verbage now of the recommendation from the Steering Committee to the HPNA for our book? Let me know your thoughts.

Thank you,

Heidenfels, Leane

From:

Adams House B&B

Sent:

Tuesday, April 08, 2014 10:35 AM

To: Subject:

Mike Mchone: Heldenfels, Leane

Attachments:

One more revision for Adams House BOA Packet

Steering Committee Verbage.doc

HPNA

STEERING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

(REVISED)

Mike and Leane,

Last night we spoke at the Hyde Park Neighborhood Association and asked for a member vote. There were two amendments that were made to the Steering Committee's recommended verbiage. The new recommendation to the Board of Adjustments is attached.

The vote was:

29 For, 3 Against, 3 Abstained

If you would like a formal copy from HPNA I think the best person to contact would be Co-President Kevin Heyburn who ran the meeting and the vote. His Information is

Thank you,

Liz

Liz Lock and Eric C. Hughes Adams House B&B www.theadamshouse.com 512-453-7696

Find us on Facebook, Pinterest, Linkedin, or Biogger

RECOMBENDATION



Resolution voted by the <u>Hyde</u> <u>Park Steering Committee</u>

Recommendation to HPNA for Member Vote:

"The Hyde Park Neighborhood Association is unopposed to the Adams House's specific request for a variance and recognizes that the Adams House is a valued member of our community. We request that the Board of Adjustment consider this lot as it is now with the permitted development."

CY

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
 nd;
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
 is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property
- or proposed development; or
 is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that
 has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of
- the subject property or proposed development.

 A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

		 					
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/1st Floor Leane Heldenfels P. O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767-1088		Comments: see attached litter	Signature Daytime Telephone: 572-300-2228	Some Weidlich april 7, 2014	Your address(es) affected by this application	Your Name (please print)	Case Number: C15-2014-0056, 4300 Avenue G Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202 Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, April 14th, 2014



To the Board of Adjustment members:

I am writing with regard to the case involving the Adams House Bed and Breakfast. I own a house two blocks from the Adams House. For years, I have both supported efforts to put in place protections for my neighborhood and worked to put those protections in place. I was chair of the Hyde Park Local Historic District project.

I am sure by now you know the facts of the case: the City of Austin made the mistake of treating this property as commercial rather than residential, although it states 3 or 4 times in City Code that a bed and breakfast is a residential use. As a result, it approved FAR that is greatly in excess of what is allowable under the McMansion ordinance.

We are all upset that the city has failed to enforce its code correctly and we all sympathize with the owners. However, there is no hardship inherent in this lot to allow for the amount of FAR that the owners are requesting. The limit is .4:1 and they are asking for .6:1 - 50% more FAR than their neighbors can build. Approving this amount of FAR punishes the neighborhood for the mistakes of the city.

I am asking you to deny the request. The owners need to seek recompense for their situation by a suit against the architect, who should have known better, and against the city, which failed to enforce its own code.

Lorre Weidlich Former Chair, Hyde Park Local Historic District

4313 Avenue F Austin, TX 78751 512-300-2228

C 10

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

This zoning/rezoning request will be reviewed and acted upon at two public hearings: before the Land Use Commission and the City Council. Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During its public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or may evaluate the City staff's recommendation and public input forwarding its own recommendation to the City Council. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

During its public hearing, the City Council may grant or deny a zoning request, or rezone the land to a less intensive zoning than requested but in no case will it grant a more intensive zoning.

However, in order to allow for mixed use development, the Council may add the MIXED USE (MU) COMBINING DISTRICT to certain commercial districts. The MU Combining District simply allows residential uses in addition to those uses already allowed in the seven commercial zoning districts. As a result, the MU Combining District allows the combination of office, retail, commercial, and residential uses within a single development.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our website:

Planning & Development Review Department

City of Austin

Austin, TX 78767-8810

Heather Chaffin P. O. Box 1088

www.austintexas.gov

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the board or commission's name, the scheduled date of the public hearing, and the Case Number and the contact person listed on the notice.

Chaffin, Heather

<u>0</u>

From: Guernsey, Grea

Sent: Tuesday, April 22, 2014 8:18 AM

To: Karen McGraw; Heldenfels, Leane; Chaffin, Heather; Johnson, Christopher [PDRD]
Cc: McDonald, John; Word, Daniel; Rusthoven, Jerry; Adams, George; Linseisen, Andrew;

Sadowsky, Steve; Rhoades, Glenn

Subject: RE: 4300 Avenue G

HI Karen:

The site plan requirement is found in Chapter 25-5-2 of the Land Development Code and was created when Mayor Watson was here (see below). The existing B&B owners at the time fought to have restrictions in the Code that would not create too much competition and citizens raised concerns over businesses being permitted in single family neighborhoods. Here is a link to the B&B regulations that addresses unique requirements to B&B, parking, advertising, number of rooms and other site requirements:

http://www.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll?f=jumplink\$jumplink_x=Advanced\$jumplink_vpc=first\$jumplink_xsl=querylink.xsl\$jumplink_sel=title;path;content-type;home-title;item-bookmark\$jumplink_d=texas(austin)\$jumplink_q=[field_folio-destination-name:'25-2-781']\$jumplink_md=target-id=JD_25-2-781

I'll copy my staff working on the zoning case, the BOA case and the site plan case regarding your concerns.

Greg

§ 25-5-2 SITE PLAN EXEMPTIONS.

- (A) The director shall determine whether a project is exempt under this section from the site plan requirement of Section 25-5-1(Site Plan Required). The director may require that the applicant submit information necessary to make a determination under this subsection. The director may require an applicant to revise a previously approved site plan under Section 25-5-61(Revisions To Released Site Plans).
 - (B) A site plan is not required for the following development:
- (1) construction or alteration of a single-family residential, single-family attached residential, duplex residential, two-family residential, or secondary apartment special use structure, or an accessory structure, if:
 - (a) not more than one principal residential structure is constructed on a legal lot or tract; and
- (b) a proposed improvement is not located in the 100 year flood plain, or the director determines that the proposed improvement will have an insignificant effect on the waterway;
 - (2) removal of a tree not protected by this title;
- (3) interior alteration of an existing building that does not increase the square footage, area, or height of the building;
 - (4) construction of a fence that does not obstruct the flow of water;
- (5) clearing an area up to 15 feet wide for surveying and testing, unless a tree more than eight inches in diameter is to be removed;
 - (6) restoration of a damaged building that begins within 12 months of the date of the damage;
- (7) relocation or demolition of a structure or foundation covering not more than 10,000 square feet of site area under a City demolition permit, if trees larger than eight inches in diameter are not disturbed and the site is not cleared;
 - (8) development in the extraterritorial jurisdiction that is exempt from all water quality requirements of this title; or
- (9) placement of a commercial portable building on existing impervious cover if the building does not impede or divert drainage and the site complies with the landscaping requirements of this title; and

- (10) construction or alteration of a townhouse in the Mueller Planned Unit Development or the area identified in Section 1.2.5.B (Conflicting Provisions) of the Regulating Plan for the Lamar Blvd./Justin Lane Transit Oriented Development.
- (C) Except for a change of use to an adult oriented business, a site plan is not required for a change of use if the new use complies with the off-street parking requirements of this title.
- (D) Except for an adult oriented business, a site plan is not required for construction that complies with the requirements of this subsection.
- (1) The construction may not exceed 1,000 square feet, and the limits of construction may not exceed 3,000 square feet, except for the following:
 - (a) enclosure of an existing staircase or porch;
 - (b) a carport for fewer than ten cars placed over existing parking spaces;
 - (c) a wooden ground level deck up to 5,000 square feet in size that is for open space use;
 - (d) replacement of a roof that does not increase the building height by more than six feet;
- (e) remodeling of an exterior facade if construction is limited to the addition of columns or awnings for windows or entrance ways;
 - (f) a canopy over an existing gas pump or paved driveway;
 - (g) a sidewalk constructed on existing impervious cover;
- (h) replacement of up to 3,000 square feet of building or parking area lost through condemnation, if the director determines that there is an insignificant effect on drainage or a waterway; or
- (i) modification of up to 3,000 square feet of a building or impervious cover on a developed site if the modification provides accessible facilities for persons with disabilities.
 - (2) The construction may not increase the extent to which the development is noncomplying.
- (3) The construction may not be for a new drive-in service or additional lanes for an existing drive-in service, unless the director determines that it will have an insignificant effect on traffic circulation and surrounding land uses.
 - (4) A tree larger than eight inches in diameter may not be removed.
- (5) The construction may not be located in the 100 year flood plain, unless the director determines that it would have an insignificant effect on the waterway.
- (E) A site plan is not required for minor site development, minor construction, or a change of use that the director determines is similar to that described in Subsections (B), (C), and (D) of this section.
- (!) The exemptions provided by Subsections (C) and (D) do not apply to a bed and breakfast residential use established after October 1, 1994.

Source: Section 13-1-603; Ord. 990225-70; Ord. 990520-38; Ord. 000302-36; Ord. 000831-65; Ord. 031120-40; Ord. 031211-11; Ord. 20101209-075; Ord. 20110210-018; Ord. 20130328-032.

From: Karen McGraw

Sent: Monday, April 21, 2014 6:18 PM

To: Guernsey, Greg **Subject:** 4300 Avenue G

Greg,

Regarding the Adams House B&B at 4300 Avenue G.

I am concerned that in efforts to correct this remarkable error, city staff is not addressing this comprehensively and the case, even if the FAR is approved, will still be out of compliance and the record will remain very confused.

I am unclear on how a house that is licensed to be a B&B can require a site plan? The LDC seems crystal clear that this is a residential use, McMansion applies to this use and the IRC also applies. What is the Site Plan requirement?

The Site Plan indicates the zoning as SF3-H-NCCD when it is actually SF3-H-HD-NCCD.

The zoning case indicates the zoning as SF3-NCCD-NP. The NP was not enacted with the NCCD and appears to have been added to maps by the city at some point but without any notice or hearing as far as I am aware. The current zoning includes H and HD that are not noted.

It seems a Change of Use from a single family house to a B&B might be in order to check number of bedrooms, parking, etc.. However, the city granted the B&B use to this lot many years ago. So this is an alteration, not a change of use unless the second dwelling is considered a change of use.

The consultant's letter states that the effort is to create private living quarters for the owners. The owners have mentioned this many times. The lot is less than 7,000 SF so the property is not eligible for two-family use. So can a B&B also have a two-family use? Is this combination allowed? Is this part of the variance request? zoning? I'm not seeing it listed directly, just noted in a letter.

Why is a Site Plan in review by the DAC instead of Site Plan staff? Why is there no information regarding the number of bedrooms, parking requirements, proposed two-family plus B&B use? Aren't the zoning requirements the subject of a Site Plan?

Why is there no mention of the NCCD parking requirement? This needs to be reviewed and a variance is likely required. A 200 SF addition triggers compliance with all parking regulations including a prohibition on street yard parking.

Who is going to review this project for residential zoning (since it hasn't been done in the SP), NCCD, McMansion and building code? It went through the HLC months ago with no zoning review so those commissioners were not told that it was almost 50% beyond allowable FAR and likely violates parking regulations. The order of the process seems to be an area of great confusion with city staff. How is that being addressed?

My neighbors generally believe that the city is completely at fault for this and that the owners should get the permit back that they got in error. I sympathize with the owners, but I am also concerned that this situation has placed the neighbors in a difficult situation of having to choose between supporting a likable neighbor whose consultants along with city staff created a serious problem, and upholding the protections that the neighborhood association has worked for and the city has enacted over decades to protect the neighborhood.

Are you undertaking any changes to prevent this type of situation in the future?

Thanks,

Karen McGraw AIA 512-917-1761