



CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting
April 14, 2014, 5:00pm
City Hall, Room 1033
301 Willie Nelson Boulevard, Austin, TX 78701

Committee Members in Attendance:

Richard Hatfield
Stephen Oliver
Jeff Jack, Ex Officio
Jean Stevens representing the Neighborhood Plan Subcommittee

MINUTES

Members of the public in attendance:

Frank Harren
Lisa Hinely
Nancy McDonald
Yvonne Taylor
Diana Zuniga

Members of staff in attendance:

Paul DiGiuseppe (Planning & Development Review Department)
Rebecca Giello (Neighborhood Housing & Community Development)
Erica Leak (Neighborhood Housing & Community Development)
Teresa Lutes (Austin Water)
Daryl Slusher (Austin Water)
Jonathan Tomko (Neighborhood Housing & Community Development)
Mark Walters (Planning & Development Review Department)

Commissioner Hatfield called the meeting to order at 5:03 pm.

1. CITIZEN COMMUNICATION: GENERAL

NA

2. APPROVAL OF MARCH 10, 2014 MINUTES

Commissioner Oliver moved to approve the minutes; Commissioner Hatfield seconded. The vote was unanimous.

3. STAFF BRIEFINGS

- a) Mr. Slusher discussed current water supply issues. The City is seeking to strengthen the water management plan and are considering other water supply alternatives. On May 22, 2014, Austin Water will reveal these alternatives to a Austin Water Resource Planning Task Force. Another concern is declining revenue. While consumption has dropped significantly, so have Austin Water's revenues due to the reduced consumption.
- b) Ms. Giello provided a presentation regarding household affordability. One of the main points of the discussion is to have dialogue about the importance of affordable housing. There is a need to diversify housing types across the community including attached housing. When reviewing cases, it is important for Planning Commission to identify their most important questions.
- c) Mr. Walters provided a presentation about future small planning area initiatives. There was a discussion about public involvement. Commissioner Oliver stated there must be criteria for updating small area plans and for connecting to CodeNEXT.
- d) This included an extensive discussion about developing new small area plans and updating existing plans. Clear expectations must be set to avoid confusion. A process must be set so that plans can benefit CodeNEXT and public concerns. The public should also be aware the plans can change. There was discussion concerning updating current neighborhood plans and implementing new neighborhood plans during the CodeNEXT process. There was also a discussion of analytic tools to measure growth.
- e) Postponed

4. OLD BUSINESS

- a) Postponed.
- b) Postponed.
- c) Postponed.
- d) Postponed.

5. NEW BUSINESS

- a) Postponed.

6. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

- a) The May 12, 2014 is scheduled to include a discussion about park acreage.

The meeting adjourned at 7:57 pm