

CASE#	
PLAN REVIEW #	

APPLICATION TO THE RESIDENTIAL DESIGN AND COMPATIBILITY COMMISSION (RDCC)

GENERAL MODIFICATION WAIVER

APPLICANT: Ginny Catania
AUTHORIZED AGENT (if applicable): David Cancialosi
AUTHORIZED AGENT (It applicable).
STREET ADDRESS: 903 Ethel St
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Subdivision - Grizzard Resub
Lot(s) D Block Outlot Division
ZONING DISTRICT AND NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN: SF-3 NA Zilker
LAND STATUS DETERMINATION CASE NUMBER (if applicable) N/A
REQUEST: up to 25% increase in one or more of the following:
• Maximum Floor to area ratio .4 or gross floor area >2300 sq ft.
Maximum Linear feet of Gables protruding from setback plane
Maximum Linear feet of Dormers protruding from the setback plane
Waive or modify the side wall articulation requirement of Section 2.7.
Side Wall Length Articulation
Please briefly and thoroughly
The prior owner/builder permitted the site via BP-2013-042477 as new construction. The COA permit application described a carport on the scope of work page.
On the FAR page, a garage was described and the 450 SF FAR credit was calculated. COA staff approved the permit and passed inspections.
The prior owner / builder proceeded to build a carport per COA definitions by maintaining at least 80% of each wall (2 walls) open.
The carport is allowed a 200 SF FAR credit. When correctly calculated, the 250 SF overage equals 2,679 SF, or 44% FAR on-site.

Note: Certificate of Appropriateness: H (Historic) or HD (Historic Designation) – case goes to RDCC first. National Register Historical District (NRHD) Overlay: without H or HD - case goes to Historic Landmark Commission first.



APPLICATION TO THE RESIDENTIAL DESIGN AND COMPATIBILITY COMMISSION (RDCC)

GENERAL MODIFICATION WAIVER

DESIGN GUIDELINE CONSIDERATIONS:

Does the development:
a. Comply with the neighborhood design guidelines, if any Yes, there are no specific garage / carport guidelines in the Zilker NA plan.
b. Provide consistency with the streetscape of the properties in the vicinity
Several homes on Ethel Street have front loading garages similar to the subject site's layout.
Throughout the neighborhood there is a mixture of carports, garages, and uncovered parking areas.
c. Provide consistency with the massing, scale and proximity of structures located on either side behind the development
The current design is consistent with the adjacent properties. The size and scale is in keeping with sites that have been recently remodeled
The house is compliant with all facets of zoning and Subchapter F regulations, with the exception of the COA oversight re: FAR calculations
The house maintains a front 25' setback, 5' side setbacks and 10' rear setbacks. It has ribbon driveway access



d. Imp	pact the privacy of adjacent rear yards
The	e current design does not impact any adjacent rear yards.
	
a Im	pact the topography or lot shape
_	pact the topography of for shape rent design does not impact the topography or the lot shape, however, since the house is built there is no practical solution to later it's FAR.
The cur	rent design does not impact the topography or the lot shape, however, since the nodes is sometime to the population
. For a	development of an entire block, will the development have a negative impact on the adjace
IIIIS	is not applicable.

APPLICATION TO THE RESIDENTIAL DESIGN AND COMPATIBILITY COMMISSION

GENERAL MODIFICATION WAIVER



APPLICANT CERTIFICATE – I affirm that my statements contained in the complete application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Mailing Address

City, State

Austin Texas

City, State

Phone

512-799-2401

Printed Name

Date

Date

OWNER'S CERTIFICATE – I affirm that my statements contained in the complete application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Mailing Address

903 Ethel Street

City, State

Austin Texas

City, State

Austin Texas

City, State

Austin Texas

Printed Name

Ginny Catania

Date

21/04/2014

GENERAL INFORMATION FOR SUBMITTAL OF A MODICATION REQUEST TO THE RESIDENTIAL DESIGN AND COMPATIBILITY COMMISSION

82

From the office of
PERMIT PARTNERS, LLC
105 W. Riverside Dr. Suite 225
Austin, Texas 78704
David C. Cancialosi
512.799.2401 c.
512.494.4561 f.
david@permit-partners.com

City of Austin Planning and Development Review One Texas Center 505 Barton Springs Rd Austin, Texas 78704 Attention: RDCC Staff Coordinator

RE: RDCC application for 903 Ethel St.

To Whom It May Concern:

Attached is an application for a waiver to subchapter F section 2.1, *Maximum Development Permitted*, in order to maintain 44% FAR on the aforementioned property. The current owner requests this waiver in order to continue utilizing the existing covered parking area that appears to be approved via city of Austin permit, but in conflict with applicable subchapter F regulations.

The request is necessary to correct an issue created by a prior owner who was also the contractor. The finished project is over the allowable 40% FAR due to a covered parking area.

In May 2013, the city approved permit application 2013–042477. This application proposed a single-family home with a covered parking area. The covered parking area is accessed via concrete ribbon strips. There is no formal parking area on these strips. The only parking area on site is within the as-built covered parking structure.

In this application, the applicant references both a garage and carport at various times. The FAR calculations page describes a *garage* while the scope of work on the application's first page lists a *carport*. The FAR calculation sheet continues to count the parking area as a *garage* and proposes the 450 ft.² FAR credit in keeping with subchapter F 3.3.2 which allows FAR credits for various components of the home.

Although designed as a carport (per approved plans), it appears the builder was attempting to capture the 450 ft.² FAR credit associated with section 3.3.2(a) that states (paraphrased):

"A 450 square-foot FAR credit... is allowed... for a parking structure that is open on two sides if....the open sides are clear and unobstructed for at least 80% of the area measured below the top of the wall plate to the finished floor of the carport."

The builder built the parking area with an open face garage entry; the north facing wall to the left is at least 80% open. The opposite walls are fully enclosed, and the east facing wall is attached to the home providing interior entry into the home. Please attached pictures.



Shortly after purchasing the property the current owner added a retractable overhead garage door to the main covered parking entry. At some point after installation of this nonstructural garage door system, the owner was contacted by the city code compliance department. Code Inspector Barbara Boswell stated that the site exceeds the allowable FAR as a result of enclosing the parking area with a retractable overhead door. The owner was also notified that a building permit is required for installation of this type of overhead door. It should be noted that no structural modification to the building has been made to accommodate the garage door installation.

After review of the specific code sections, we agree that a building permit is required and that the addition of a retractable garage door does increase the FAR when the specific letter of the law is applied. However, the full intent is not compromised by adding a retractable garage door as there is no increased massing.

However there appears to be a conflict regarding the allowable credit for *parking areas* as described in subchapter F. If the parking area is utilized as the <u>required</u> parking area, then section 3.3.2(b)(1) appears to conflict with the code section 3.3.2(a). Section 3.3.2(b)(1) speaks to the 200 ft.² credit used to meet the minimum parking requirements:

"... the following parking areas and structures are excluded from Gross floor area... B: up to 200 ft.²... an attached parking area if it is used to meet the minimum parking requirement... "

By enclosing the parking area, the 450 ft.² FAR credit is reduced to a 200 ft.² FAR credit. Thus the original calculation provided on the approved building permit application is not a keeping with the literal application of subchapter F.

The correct calculation should have allocated only a 200 ft.² credit towards the overall allowable FAR. This leaves a remaining 250 ft.² that needs to be applied to the overall site FAR.

When correctly calculated this increases the total FAR from 2429 ft.2 to 2679 ft.2, or 44% FAR.

Is our position that the aforementioned code sections do not provide clear guidance in cases where one attempts to gain a 450 ft.² FAR credit for a parking structure open on two sides, but the same area is used to meet the required off-street parking requirements.

The overhead garage door was installed to continue using the covered parking as a typical garage by storing and protecting her vehicle, mopeds, children's toys, and other garage related items. If the waiver is approved, the owner's intent is to continue utilizing the retractable, nonstructural overhead garage door and enclose the north facing wall (currently open 80%) for additional security. This will result in a 100% enclosed covered parking area. This will not add massing or scale to the existing structure.

Given the current building was approved by permitting staff then by field inspection staff at 40% FAR, there is no practical way to comply with the required FAR allowance. This represents a substantial hardship as the owner would need to remove some portion of the existing residence in order to comply.

We have reviewed the possibility of utilizing a 100% concrete driveway, but that is not possible. The driveway is not long enough to support two off-street parking spaces. Additionally, if it were long enough, it would result in exceeding the allowable maximum impervious coverage allowance, creating an additional issue.

From an aesthetic perspective, many homes in the neighborhood have front facing garages, carports and parking areas. The existing design is in keeping with the neighborhood aesthetics and poses no issue to respective properties. Enclosing the two walls does not add massing nor increased scale to the existing structure. Further, the existing site is fully compliant with all other bases zoning development and subchapter F regulations.

B27

For the aforementioned reasons, the owner respectfully request the commission grant the waiver to allow 44% FAR in order to maintain the existing residence in it's current condition as approved by the city of Austin.

We respectfully request to be added to the next city of Austin Residential Design and Compatibility Commission agenda.

Please find all required application materials attached to this cover letter. Should the city have any questions please contact me directly.

Sincerely,

David C. Cancialosi, Agent for owner

Cc: Ginny Catania















Property Search Results > 103227 CATANIA GINNY for Year 2014



Property

Account

Property ID:

103227

Real

Legal Description: LOT D GRIZZARD WH RESUB

Geographic ID:

0103030203

Agent Code:

Type:

Property Use Code:

Property Use Description:

SUBJECT PROPERTY

Location

Address:

903 ETHEL ST TX 78704

Mapsco:

614C

Neighborhood:

ZILKER

Map ID:

010208

Neighborhood CD:

L2000

Owner

Name:

CATANIA GINNY

Owner ID:

1597949

Mailing Address:

903 ETHEL ST AUSTIN, TX 78704

% Ownership:

100.0000000000%

Exemptions:

Values

(+) Improvement Homesite Value:	+	\$164,426	
(+) Improvement Non-Homesite Value:	+	\$0	
(+) Land Homesite Value:	+	\$285,000	
(+) Land Non-Homesite Value:	+	\$0	Ag / Timber Use Value
(+) Agricultural Market Valuation:	+	\$0	\$0
(+) Timber Market Valuation:	+	\$0	\$0
(=) Market Value:	=	\$449,426	
(-) Ag or Timber Use Value Reduction:	: –	\$0	
(=) Appraised Value:	=	\$449,426	
(-) HS Cap:	-	\$0	
(=) Assessed Value:	=	\$449,426	

Taxing Jurisdiction

Owner:

CATANIA GINNY

% Ownership: 100.0000000000%

Total Value:

\$449,426

Entit	y Description	Tax Rate A	ppraised Value	Taxable Value	Estimated Tax	
01	AUSTIN ISD	1.242000	\$449,426	, \$449,426	\$5,581.87	
02	CITY OF AUSTIN	0.502700	\$449,426	\$449,426	\$2,259.27	
03	TRAVIS COUNTY	0.494600	\$449,426	\$449,426	\$2,222.86	
0A	TRAVIS CENTRAL APP DIST	0.000000	\$449,426	\$449,426	\$0.00	
2J	TRAVIS COUNTY HEALTHCARE DISTRICT	0.129000	\$449,426	\$449,426	\$579.76	
68	AUSTIN COMM COLL DIST	0.094900	\$449,426	\$449,426	\$426.50	
	Total Tax Rate:	2.463200	gazet en zeda, indiku zere gag it entret en ennersteten til kreite og til i tillberetind.	a eta esta ante est espando engua que la pelho a em esta texe a tratte a 11 a el esta 10 a el esta 10 a el est Esta esta esta esta esta esta esta esta e	entra e de le caración de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya del la companya del la companya de la companya	
	en er er er kommen der meg det eine her hier det de dendere kommen her her her eine der 11 der eine der 15 erholt in	events and property on a 100 ft to colony 150 ft.	Taxe	s w/Current Exemptions:	\$11,070.26	-
. 4 . 54 4 4	aan ka gaalay ka ka saa sa sa sa ka ka sa ka sa ka sa sa sa sa sa sa sa ka sa sa Sa sa	kaja karanta karan da 1900 kwa kutoka da Mada A	Taxe	s w/o Exemptions:	\$11,070.26	

mprovement #1:	1 FAM DWELLING State Code:	A1 Living Area:	2322.0 sqft Value:	\$164,426
Туре	Description	Class CD Exterior Wall	Year Built	SQFT
1ST		WS - 5	2013	1768.0
2ND	2nd Floor	WS - 5	2013	554.0
011	PORCH OPEN 1ST F	* - 5	2013	70.0
011	PORCH OPEN 1ST F	* - 5	2013	112.0 26
251	BATHROOM	* _ *	2013	3.0
252	BEDROOMS	* * *	2013	3.0
061	CARPORT ATT 1ST	* - 5	2013	414.0 / 2010
095	HVAC RESIDENTIAL	*_*	2013	2322.0 4
581	STORAGE ATT	WS - 5	2013	83.0

Land

# Type	Description	Acres	Sqft	Eff Front	Eff Depth	,	I. Value
1 LAND	Land	0.1415	6162.18	58.00	105.71	\$285,000	\$0

Roll Value History

Year	Improvements	Land Market	Ag Valuation		Appraised	HS Cap	Α	ssessed
2014	\$164,426	\$285,000	European personal experience de la propue del propue de la propue de la propue de la propue de la propue de l	0	449,426	\$0		\$449,426
2013	\$34,948	\$285,000	na ann an Airge ann an Airgeann ann ann an Airgeann an Airgeann an Airgeann an Airgeann an Airgeann an Airgean	0	319,948	\$0		\$319,948
2012	\$20,000	\$206,173	and the state of t	0	226,173	\$0		\$226,173
2011	\$3,331	\$235,000	rypanyy, isaniya kiranika kiranika kiranika indonesi ya kirani indonesi indonesi indonesi indonesi indonesi in	0	238,331	\$0)	\$238,331
2010	\$4,400	\$235,000	gyar in kang yar a sagar sami a ka sakara sakkara ni 1996 - kabili di 1996 ka katika katika katika katika kati	0	239,400	\$0)	\$239,400
2009	\$4,400	\$235,000	and the second section is a second section of the second section of the second section is a second section of the second section of the second section is a second section of the second section of the second section of the second section is a second section of the section of th	0	239,400	\$0)	\$239,400

Deed History - (Last 3 Deed Transactions)

# Deed Date	Туре	Description	Grantor	Grantee	Volume	Page	Deed Number
1 2/10/2014	WD	WARRANTY DEED	MOAZAMI ENDEAVORS LLC	CATANIA GINNY			2014020368TR
2 3/20/2013	WD	WARRANTY DEED	TOLAND HOLLY BELL	MOAZAMI ENDEAVORS LLC			2013052349TR
3 3/16/1988	WD	WARRANTY DEED	TOLAND HOLLY BELL	TOLAND HOLLY BELL	10624	00554	

Questions Please Call (512) 834-9317

This site requires cookies to be enabled in your browser settings.

Website version: 1.2.2.3

Database last updated on: 5/6/2014 2:38 AM

© 2014 True Automation, Inc. All Rights Reserved. Privacy Notice

This site only supports Internet Explorer 6+, Netscape 7+ and Firefox 1.5+.