

ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

C3
1

CASE: C14-2014-0101 **Z.A.P. DATE:** September 2, 2014
13010 Harris Branch Parkway

OWNERS: Bobby Wayne Richie & Vickie Lee **ADDRESS:** 13010 Harris Branch Parkway

AGENT: Jackson Walker, LLP (James Nias)

ZONING FROM: DR **TO:** CS **AREA:** 4.584 Acres

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Staff's recommendation is to grant general commercial services-conditional overlay (CS-CO) combining district zoning.

The recommended conditional overlay would prohibit the following land uses: Alternative financial services, Automotive rentals, Automotive repair services, Automotive sales, Automotive washing (of any type), Bail bond services, Drop-off recycling collection facility, Exterminating services, Funeral services, Kennel services, Laundry services, Monument retail sales, Pawn shop services, Service station, and Vehicle storage. The conditional overlay would also require that vehicular trips be limited less than 2,000 per day.

A public Restrictive Covenant would also be required for dedication of the property's share of a required right-of-way (ROW) dedication along Gregg Lane.

The Applicant supports the Staff recommendation.

ZONING & PLATTING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

September 2, 2014:

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The subject property is located at the southwest corner of Gregg Lane and Harris Branch Parkway where Harris Branch Parkway transitions to Cameron Road. The property is undeveloped and zoned DR. Immediately west of the subject property are several similarly configured lots, which are located in the City of Austin ETJ. These properties appear to be developed with various light industrial uses, including construction sales and services, and limited warehousing. Across Gregg Lane, to the north, is undeveloped land in the City of Austin ETJ. Across Harris Branch Parkway, to the east, is undeveloped property that is part of the Harris Branch Planned Unit Development (PUD). The portion of the PUD located across from the subject tract is permitted for parkland land uses and contains a pond. Other undeveloped PUD tracts in the vicinity are designated for industrial park, limited industrial, and high-density residential land uses. South of the subject property is another undeveloped parcel; the portion immediately adjacent to the rezoning tract is zoned DR, while the remainder is unzoned (in the City ETJ). Please refer to Exhibits A and B (Zoning Map and Aerial Exhibit).

The adjacent section of Harris Branch Parkway is scheduled to be upgraded to a four-lane divided roadway, and the subject property has previously dedicated ROW for the upgrade. Plans for Gregg Lane are not scheduled, but the Transportation Plan proposes 50 to 60' of ROW at different sections of Gregg Lane. This triggers the need for ROW dedication adjacent to the tract, which may vary from 5'-10' for this tract. The amount of ROW dedication must be surveyed and dedicated by Restrictive Covenant prior to approval of any rezoning.

C3
2

EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

| | ZONING | LAND USES |
|--------------|-------------------|---|
| <i>Site</i> | DR | Undeveloped |
| <i>North</i> | Unzoned / ETJ | Undeveloped |
| <i>South</i> | DR | Undeveloped |
| <i>East</i> | Harris Branch PUD | Parkland |
| <i>West</i> | Unzoned / ETJ | Construction sales & services, Limited warehousing & distribution |

AREA STUDY: N/A

TIA: N/A

WATERSHED: Gilleland Creek

DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE: Yes

CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR: No

SCENIC ROADWAY: No

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

Harris Branch Master Association, Inc.
 Harris Branch Residential Property Owners Association
 North Growth Corridor Alliance

SCHOOLS: Manor ISD

CASE HISTORIES:

| NUMBER | REQUEST | COMMISSION | CITY COUNCIL |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| C814-89-0004 (Harris Branch PUD) | SF-2, SF-4, & SF-6 to PUD | 6/27/89: Granted with conditions. | 7/27/89: Approved PUD w/conditions (6-0); 1st reading 11/16/89: Approved PUD w/conditions (5-0); 2nd/3rd readings |

There have been no other rezonings in the vicinity of the subject tract in recent years. The Harris Branch PUD has been amended several times (rearranging land uses) since its creation in 1989; however, none of these changes are near the subject tract.

ABUTTING STREETS:

| Name | ROW | Pavement | Class | Sidewalk? | Bus Route? | Bike Route? |
|----------------------------------|---------|----------|----------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Harris Branch Pkwy./ Cameron Rd. | 140' | Varies | Major Arterial | No | No | Route # 67 |
| Gregg Lane | 50'-60' | 27' | Collector | No | No | Route # 116 |

CITY COUNCIL DATE: September 25, 2014:

ACTION:

ORDINANCE READINGS:

ORDINANCE NUMBER:

CASE MANAGER: Heather Chaffin
 e-mail: heather.chaffin@austintexas.gov

PHONE: 974-2122

OB/3

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Staff's recommendation is to grant general commercial services-conditional overlay (CS-CO) combining district zoning.

The recommended conditional overlay would prohibit the following land uses: Alternative financial services, Automotive rentals, Automotive repair services, Automotive sales, Automotive washing (of any type), Bail bond services, Drop-off recycling collection facility, Exterminating services, Funeral services, Kennel services, Laundry services, Monument retail sales, Pawn shop services, Service station, and Vehicle storage. The conditional overlay would also require that vehicular trips be limited less than 2,000 per day.

A public Restrictive Covenant would also be required for dedication of the property's share of a required right-of-way (ROW) dedication along Gregg Lane.

BASIS FOR LAND USE RECOMMENDATION (ZONING PRINCIPLES)

1. *Granting of the request should result in an equal treatment of similarly situated properties.*
The Staff recommendation of CS-CO is consistent with the zoning and land uses in the area. Although the properties to the west are unzoned, they are developed with land uses that are permitted in CS zoning. The Harris Branch PUD, to the east, is predominately comprised of commercial/industrial tracts, with some residential tracts as well. There are several light industrial land uses in the wider vicinity.

2. *The proposed zoning should be consistent with the purpose statement of the district sought.*
The proposed rezoning will allow for commercial or industrial use that has operating characteristics or traffic service requirements that are incompatible with residential environments, as specified by CS zoning. The subject property is located at the intersection of a major arterial and a collector, and the immediate area is comprised of light industrial or undeveloped properties.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Site Characteristics

The subject property is currently undeveloped, and generally flat and featureless.

Impervious Cover

For this property, watershed regulations supersede zoning regulation regarding impervious cover. Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following impervious cover limits:

| <u>Development Classification</u> | <u>% of Net Site Area</u> | <u>% with Transfers</u> |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Single-Family (minimum lot size 5750 sq. ft.) | 50% | 60% |
| Other Single-Family or Duplex | 55% | 60% |
| Multifamily | 60% | 70% |
| Commercial | 80% | 90% |

Environmental

1. The site is not located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The site is in the Gilleland Creek Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, which is classified as a Suburban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code. The site is in the Desired Development Zone.

2. For this property, watershed regulations supersede zoning regulation regarding impervious cover. Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following impervious cover limits:

| <u>Development Classification</u> | <u>% of Net Site Area</u> | <u>% with Transfers</u> |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Single-Family (minimum lot size 5750 sq. ft.) | 50% | 60% |
| Other Single-Family or Duplex | 55% | 60% |
| Multifamily | 60% | 70% |
| Commercial | 80% | 90% |

3. According to floodplain maps there is no floodplain within or adjacent to the project location.
4. Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.
5. Trees will likely be impacted with a proposed development associated with this rezoning case. Please be aware that an approved rezoning status does not eliminate a proposed development's requirements to meet the intent of the tree ordinances. If further explanation or specificity is needed, please contact the City Arborist at 512-974-1876. At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding other vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.
6. Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment requires water quality control with increased capture volume and control of the 2 year storm on site.
7. At this time, no information has been provided as to whether this property has any preexisting approvals that preempt current water quality or Code requirements.

Site Plan

SP1. Site plans will be required for any new development other than single-family or duplex residential.

SP2. Any development which occurs in an SF-6 or less restrictive zoning district which is located 540-feet or less from property in an SF-5 or more restrictive zoning district will be subject to compatibility development regulations.

Transportation

TR1: If the requested zoning is recommended for this site, 35 feet of right-of-way should be dedicated from the centerline of Gregg Lane in accordance with the Transportation Criteria Manual. LDC, 25-6-55; TCM, Tables 1-7, 1-12.

TR2. A traffic impact analysis was waived for this case because the applicant agreed to limit the intensity and uses for this development. If the zoning is granted, development should be limited through a conditional overlay to less than 2,000 vehicle trips per day. [LDC, 25-6-117]

TR3. Cameron Road is classified in the Bicycle Plan as Bike Route No. 67. Gregg Lane is classified in the Bicycle Plan as Bike Route No. 116.

TR4. Capital Metro bus service is not available along Cameron Road and Gregg Lane.

TR5. There are no existing sidewalks along Cameron Road and Gregg Lane.

TR6. Eric Dusza with the Public Works Dept. may provide additional comments about mobility enhancement for pedestrian facilities.

OB/6

Comprehensive Planning

Imagine Austin

The property is located within the boundaries of 'Neighborhood Center' and along an 'Activity Corridor', as identified on the Imagine Austin's Growth Concept Map. A Neighborhood Center is the smallest and least intense of the three types of activity centers outlined in the Growth Concept Map, with a focus on creating local businesses and services—including doctors and dentists, shops, branch libraries, dry cleaners, hair salons, coffee shops, restaurants, and other small and local businesses that generally serve the center and surrounding neighborhoods. Activity corridors are characterized by a variety of activities and types of buildings located along the roadway, and are intended to allow people to reside, work, shop, access services, people watch, recreate, and hang out without traveling far distances. The following IACP policies are also relevant to this case:

- LUT P1. Align land use and transportation planning and decision-making to achieve a compact and connected city in line with the growth concept map.
- LUT P3. Promote development in compact centers, communities, or along corridors that are connected by roads and transit that are designed to encourage walking and bicycling, and reduce health care, housing and transportation costs.
- LUT P10. Direct housing and employment growth to activity centers and corridors, and preserving and integrating existing affordable housing where possible.

Conclusion


In conclusion, based on the property being located within the boundaries of a 'Neighborhood Center' and along an 'Activity Corridor', as identified on the Imagine Austin Growth Concept Map, and the policies above, which supports commercial uses, including an office/warehouse that will provide jobs in this area, staff believes that the proposed land use complies with the Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan.




Water and Wastewater

WW1. The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water utilities and an On-Site Sewage Facility approved by the City of Austin. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing any water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extensions, utility relocations and or abandonments required by the land use. The water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility for compliance with City criteria and suitability for operation and maintenance. Depending on the development plans submitted, water and or wastewater service extension requests may be required. All water and wastewater construction must be inspected by the City of Austin. The landowner must pay the City inspection fee with the utility construction. The landowner must pay the tap and impact fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin water and wastewater utility tap permit.

FYI. Wastewater service is currently not available to the property. If service is desired or required based on development plans, a Service Extension Request will be required. For more information pertaining to the Service Extension Request process and submittal requirements contact Phillip Jaeger with the Austin Water Utility, Utility Development Services at 625 E. 10th St., 7th floor. Ph: 512-972-0232. The Austin Water Utility reserves the right to make additional comments and to establish other requirements with the Service Extension Request review.

C3/Ne



-  SUBJECT TRACT
-  PENDING CASE
-  ZONING BOUNDARY

ZONING
CASE#: C14-2014-0101



1" = 400'

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.

This product has been produced by CTM for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.



CB14-89-0004

PUD

HOWARD LN

GREGG LN

CAMERON RD

DR

CB14-89-0004
88-188RC

88-188R

PUD

SP-05-1492C
07A-07-045
07-045

I-RR

DR

CB14-89-0004
88-188RC

PUD

HARRIS BRANCH PKWY

TITANIUM ST

PUD

COLINTON AVE
LIBERTON LN

PUD

PUD

CB14-90-0003

Chaffin, Heather

To: jack Gullahorn
Cc: Patti Gullahorn; Ron Kinney; Gail & Bennie Hammett; Chiddi N'Jie; Commissioner Ron Davis; jnias@jw.com
Subject: RE: case # C14-2014-0101

Jack,

Thanks for your feedback. As we discussed, another notice will be mailed to you when the case is scheduled for

From: jack Gullahorn [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: Tuesday, July 08, 2014 7:04 PM
To: Chaffin, Heather
Cc: Patti Gullahorn; Ron Kinney; Gail & Bennie Hammett; Chiddi N'Jie; Commissioner Ron Davis; jnias@jw.com
Subject: case # C14-2014-0101
Importance: High

Ms Chaffin...

I am writing to ask for party status the the above noted case # C14-2014-0101, as I own property directly across the street from the described property. The notice I received in the mail was dated as mailing date of June 25th. The comment period due date is listed as June 26th, before I received the notice. I am obviously respectfully requesting an administrative extension for my neighbors and me, and our neighborhood association, on this matter.

As we discussed the phone last week, my concerns are not primarily with the actual zoning change, but with the safety aspects the application presents to the neighborhood and those that travel on Gregg Lane every day. However, while I hope to join with the applicant to support the zoning change, I cannot support it in the current application design status as I understand it.

This is a major health and safety concern which must be addressed satisfactorily by the City of Austin. This project and the expansion of Harris Branch Blvd on the eastern side of the subject property are still at the point where a minor adjustment can literally save lives and prevent the waste of county dollars repairing a substandard pavement on Gregg Lane for this endeavor.

To explain:

1. Gregg Lane is under County maintenance, but the proposed entrance to the subject property which is in the City of Austin is off of Gregg Lane.
2. The proposed entrance to the subject tract is very close to the corner of Gregg Lane and Harris Branch Blvd (aka as Cameron Rd). The property is only 200 feet deep, and the entrance to the next tract off Gregg lane is very close to the proposed entrance.
3. The proposed entrance would be obscured from those turning onto Gregg lane from the north or south because of high terrain blocking the view of those turning.
4. The proposed entrance would be obscured until the last seconds by those traveling east on Gregg Lane because of a "hill" before the road drops close to the point of the proposed entrance.
5. Gregg Lane has become, as we predicted, a "short cut" to and from Howard lane to Cameron rd leading to and from Pflugerville. Traffic volume increases steadily as more and more commuters are discovering it.

6. The 4 lane expansion of cameron rd is in design phase by the county and has been funded. It will become the only north south arterial from pflugerville between Dessau rd and SH 130. Traffic, and those utilizing the short cut will dramatically increase as time goes on.
7. The traffic impact analysis requirement was waived administratively. This is a big mistake under the current design plan.
8. The City of Austin is currently in the process of designing and going to bid on the expansion of Harris Branch Blvd in front of the subject property, but from what I have been told, has not provided the applicants with a curb cut to their property in this design.
9. Gregg lane was not paved with a high volume of traffic predicted. Nor was it paved to withstand immediate sharp turns by heavy commercial traffic within a short distance from the corner of the property. The road will require constant maintenance at county expense or it will become a further traffic danger if this entrance is built as designed.

03/9

I am aware that the City has finished its design work for the expansion of harris branch Blvd in front of the subject property, but the obvious answer to this problem is to reopen the design of that expansion to allow the subject property owners a curb cut to access their property off of harris branch Blvd. this will save us all a lot of time and trouble, and it will clearly prevent what is almost certain to become a site of serious danger and tragedy in the future.

Please acknowledge receipt of this comment, and let me know what the next steps I need to take to oppose the request in its current form. Please forward copies of these comments to the appropriate departments and committees.

Thank you.

Jack Gullahorn
512 751-7074