

Watershed Protection and Development Review Department P.O. Box 1088, Austin, Texas 78767 One Texas Center, 505 Barton Springs Road Telephone: (512) 974-6370 Fax: (512) 974-2423

One Texas Center, 505 Barton Springs Road Telephone: (512) 974-6370 Fax: (512) 974-2 Site Development Exempti	TX	-2013-10104
Site Development Exempti	on Requi	cst
Site Address: 3811 Kennelwood	-	miginal access
Project Name: Kennelwood Boat Dock		
Legal Description: Lot 10, Blk 4 Laurel Heights; Adjacent .684 Aca Survey	es Of Land	Out Of The Daniel Gilbert
Zoning: SF-3 Watershed: Lake Austin	•	Flood Plain? Yes N
Existing Land Use(s): Residential		
Proposed Land Use(s): Residential - Tram		
Brief /General Description of the Development being sought: Constrbution of a tram to access a residential boat dock. For more		
Attach a detailed description of the proposed development in a or survey plan that graphically indicates, but is not limited to,:	memorandu	un or letter and a site plat
> existing trees	> lim	its of construction
> buildings		e of construction
> parking areas		ation of construction
> roadways/streets		essible parking
> all areas of impervious cover levels (existing & proposed)	P acc	ess route
erosion controls (i.e.: silt fencing, tree protection)		
1 HMara Maron		do hereby certify that I am the
Owner Sowner's agent (to act as the owner's agent, written authorite described property, and in this capacity, submit this request for exempursuant to Chapter 25-5-2 of the Austin City Code.	zation from the	he owner must be provided) of thine site plan submittal requirement
Furthermore, I certify and acknowledge that: 1. Although the proposed development does not require a formal site plat site work, the approval of the subdivision or issuance of a building, rer 2. Although the proposed development complies with all applicable zon of restrictive covenants and/or deed restrictions; 3. The approval of this exemption request does not constitute authorizated cover or other applicable requirements, which includes the use or occur 4. The approval notice with paid receipt shall be clearly posted on-site and	nodel, and/or ing regulation tion to violate nancy of the i	demolition permit; ns, it does not prohibit enforcement e any provisions of the Austin Cit- improvement.
Signature of Requester		Date: 08/12/2013
Address: 100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1300 Austin Texas 78701		
Telephone: 512-435-2368		
Please indicate how you wish to receive a copy of the results of the review	v:	
Mail FAX: \(\sigma \)E-mail: \(\alpha\) amorrow@abaustin.com	11.65	
Orie 01 04 28 ac Site Development Exemption		Rev 04.07.08.yg/a

Planning & Development

AUG 18 2014

Departmental Use Only Applicant Name: Project Name:
| Lude | 1410 |
Lif Required
| Site Plan ase Number: 2013-1064 Boot Da Comments Reviewer O AFD UWA 🖸 ☐ Plumbing N O AE Approved ☐ Determined to be a ORevision ONew Project ☐ Denied Check all that apply: □ Review Fee(s) Not Required
□ Site Plan Correction/ Examption Review Fee
□ Change of Use Review Fee Building permit required? OYes ONo ON/A Smart Housing Project? OYes ONo Changes in Impervious Cover? OYes ONo ☐ Phasing Review: __ ☐ Landscape Inspection: Qualifies for exemption per Section 25-5-2(Shared Parking Review

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

100 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 1300 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701-2744 512-435-2300 FACSIMILE 512-435-2360

AMANDA MORROW (512) 435-2368 AMOSROW@IABAUSTIN.COM

September 30, 2013

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Christopher Johnson City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department 505 Barton Springs Austin, Texas 78701

> Site plan exemption for 3811 Kennelwood Road, Austin, Texas 78703 (the "Application"); DA-2013-0990

Dear Mr. Johnson:

This letter is written in response to comments received from the City of Austin on September 10, 2013 wherein the City of Austin denied Site Plan Exemption application DA-2013-0990. In response to those comments the Application and corresponding materials have been revised to provide detailed information regarding compliance with Section 25-2-963(D) of the City Code. More specifically I offer the following response:

1. Comment: "More than 2 boat slips is considered a marina. Site plan is required".

Response: The boat dock was permitted under separate permit; please see site plan

exemption DA-203-0721.

2. Comment: "The tram is a non-complying structure and is not part of boat dock and marina construction approved in 1940 city council attachment. Demonstrate how construction of tram complies with section LDC 25-2-

Response:

According to Section 25-2-963(D)(2)(a) "no more than 50 percent of the length of the shoreline access may be removed or replaced; and (b) the location, footprint, and degree of noncompliance of the structure is not altered." The existing shoreline access is 100 feet. The applicant proposes to replace 28 feet of shoreline access. The percentage of shoreline access that will be replaced is 28% of the overall shoreline access. Furthermore the new shoreline access will be located in the same

(W0595842.1)

ARMBRUST & BROWN, PLLC Page 2

location as the existing shoreline access. Thus resulting in full compliance with Section 25-2-963(D)(2).

Please let me know if you have any questions, comments or concerns.

Amanda Morrow

Land Development Consultant

Enclosures

cc: Richard T. Suttle, Jr.

Sandy Gottesman



Planning and Development Review Department

P.O. Box 1088, Austin, Texas 78767
One Texas Center, 505 Barton Springs Road
Telephone: (512) 974-6370 Fax: (512) 974-2423

Determination of a Site Development Exemption Request

Date: 9/10/2013	Number of pages including cover:	
From: Sallie Correa @ (512) 974-9747 or Andria Burt @ (512)	974_2774	
To: Amanda Morrow	Telephone: 435, 2369	
FAX:E-Mail:		
Exemption #: DA-2013-0990		
Project Name: Kennelwood Boat Dock		
Address: 3811 Kennelwood		
Review Staff Contact/Telephone:		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Review Regimes		
Your request has been Denied.		
See NOTES for additional information and/or con- RETURN BIN area of the Development Assistanc Texas Center.	ditions. Your paperwork is in the e Center on the 1st floor of One	
Der-toer as		
Site Plan Correction Review		
Landscape Inspection:		
Shared Parking Review		
Phasing Review:		
Change of Use Review		
Commercial Exemption Review		
T	OTAL COST:	

NOTES:

More than 2 boat slips is considered a marina. Site plan is required. Glenn Rhoades 974-2775

The tram is a non-complying structure and is not a part of boat dock and marina construction approved in 1940 city council attachment. Demonstrate how construction of tram complies with section LDC 25-2-963(D)

Kristin Carlton 974-6369

Confidentiality Notice: The documents accompanying this transmission is legally privileged and intended for the use of the recipient named. If received in error, please notify the City of Austin immediately via telephone to arrange for the return of the documents. You are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this information is strictly prohibited.

WPDR 04 08 06 ac

Page 1 of

Printed on 09/10/2013 at 5:04 PM

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

100 CCHGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 1300 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701-2744 512-435-2300

FACSIMILE 512-435-2360

AMANDA MORROW (512) 435-2368 AMDRROW@ABAUSTIN.COM

August 23, 2013

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Christopher Johnson City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department 505 Barton Springs Austin, Texas 78701

Re: Site plan exemption for 3811 Kennelwood Road, Austin, Texas 78703 (the "Application")

Dear Mr. Johnson:

This firm represents and this letter is written on behalf of the applicant for the above referenced Application. Enclosed please find a site plan exemption application, minutes from the January 4, 1940 City Council meeting, and architectural drawings showing the proposed modification to the existing tram located 3811 Kennelwood Drive.

Pursuant to Section 25-5-2, the Applicant hereby requests a site plan exemption to modify an existing accessory structure to a single family use structure. The Applicant proposes to maintain and modify an existing tramway that was approved and permitted by City Council on January 4, 1940 (see attached). The proposed modification will not require a variance, or city board or commission approval, and the work will not increase the existing footprint of the tramway. The construction will not exceed 1,000 square feet, and the limits of construction will not exceed 3,000 square feet. The proposed footprint is 126 square feet.

Should you have any questions regarding this Application, please do not he sitate to contact me at 512-435-2368.

181

(W0591512.1)

ARMBRUST & BROWN, PLLC Page 2

Respectfully submitted.

And Development Consultant

Enclosures

cc: Richard T. Suttle, Jr. Sandy Gottesman

(W0591512.1)

plan is herely made a part of this restlution, and further subject to the condition that all senarete surb, rang, and driveray senstruction done within the flity stronts shall be done by a bonish sidewalk unitabler under the direction and supervision of the City Regimeer of the City of Austin and in secordance with lines and grades furnished by the Engineering Department of the City of Austin.

Open rell call, the resolution was adopted by the following vote: Ayes, Ormerimen Alford, Barthelson, Oillis, Mayor Miller, and Communitan Welf; mays, home,

Depositnes Dillis introduced the following resolution, and moved its adoption:

VERRAIS, in Book 3, page 181, of the Flat Records of Stavic County, Sums, there appears a map of plat of a subdivision of land known as Monte Tisks Addition; and

FRENCE, upon sold map or plat there appears various streets and alleys, one of which alleys herein referred to is 20 feet in width and impurses Mook 3 of said Nonte Tists Addition, and being the allay 1/2 block morth of and parallel to Finder Boad and extending from Earnje Drive to Hopi Stati; and

VERNELS, the exmers of all of the property absting mid alley have petitioned the City Council of the fity of Amatin to sleep smid alley; and

NEXULE, said potition has been reviewed and sensidered by the City Soundl of the City of Austin; therefore,

· M IS MONOTAND M SHE STAR STAR SOMETH, OR AND STAR OR WINDING

TRAY that servain allay traversing Ricer B of Monte Tiste Addition, as previously referred to, be and the same is harsby permanently sleepd and massed except the City of Austin hereby relains the right to construct, mainlain, operate and central all public utilities in, upon and across the above Asserthed aller-

Wyon rail call, the resolution was adopted by the following rate: Ayes, Commilmen Alford, Raytholanav, "Gillie, Hayor Hiller, and Granellman Wolf; mays, mone,

The following resulution was introduced by Seumetium Bartholomes, who noved the adoptions No. 25 ABSOLUTE AT SIN STAT COMPOSE OF SIN STAT OF ADMINE

7223 the City Council of the City of Austia berely appreves the erection of docks and a marine fueling station on the property comed by Barry & Bannill, which property is known as 1.55 acres, and Let 10, Richt 4, Leurst Reights Addition, a subdivision within the City of Austin, Travis County, Taxas, which property abute on Lake Austin, and hereby authorizes the said Herry A. Rammill to scenarous, mintain and overate these doors and mrine funling station, subject to the same's being constructed is compliance with all the ordinances relating therete and further ordines to the foregring attached remarkions; and the Additing Inspector is boroly authorised to forms an outquesty parall for the erestion of these deals and funling station after full compliance with all the previsions of this recolution.

field permission shall be held to be granted and secopted subject to all nessenary, rescenable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, frame, in the enforcement of the proper police and fire regulations; and the right of persention is retained if, after bearing, is in found by the City Drunoil that the said Rerry A. Haumill has failed and refused, and will comtimus to fail and refuse to perfore any such auditions, regulations and ordinances.

(Recommendations of Building Temperter)

Pinatin, fame Jamery 4, 1940

Mr. Ogiton Morgan Sity Manager Amptin, Tenna

Dany Sirt

180

The undersigned has considered the opplication of Harry A. Reselli, owner of 1.2% acres and Let 10, Rical N, Laurel Beights Addition, a subdivision within the City of Austin, Travis Dounty, Temas, which property abuta Late Justin, for permission to errot a represervative and to mentacia a public gasalian years to extrict motor boats on the Lake, an the focks new located and extending out into Lake Austin

THE PARTY OF THE P



Watershed Protection and Development Review Department

P.O. Box 1088, Austin, Texas 78767

One Texas Center, 505 Barton Springs Road Telephone: (512) 974-6370 Fax: (512) 974-2423

Site Development Exemption Request

Site Address: 3811 Kennelwood	
Project Name: Kennelwood Boat Dock	
Legal Description: Lot 10, Blk 4 Laurel Heights; Adjacent .684 Ac Survey	120
	res Of Land Out Of The Daniel Gilbert
Zoning: SF-3 Watershed: Lake Austin	
Existing Land Use(s): Boat Dock	Flood Plain? Yes No
Proposed Land Use(s): Boat Dock	
Brief/General Description of the Development being sought: See attached letter.	
Attach a detailed description of the proposed development in a or survey plan that graphically indicates, but is not limited to,: > existing trees	memorandum or letter and a site plat
> buildings	▶ limits of construction
> parking areas	> type of construction
> roadways/streets	location of construction
all areas of impervious cover levels (original e	accessible parking
erosion controls (i.e.: silt fencing, tree protection)	> access route
I, Aman Ca Warm	
11/01/04	, do hereby certify that I am the
owner Sowner's agent (to act as the owner's agent, written authorizated property, and in this capacity, submit this request for exemption pursuant to Chapter 25-5-2 of the Austin City Code.	
Furthermore, I certify and acknowledge that:	
 Although the proposed development does not require a formal site plan a site work, the approval of the subdivision or issuance of a building, remo Although the proposed development complies with all applicable zoning of restrictive covenants and/or deed restrictions; The approval of this exemption request does not constitute authorization Code of other applicable requirements, which includes the use or occupant. The approval notice with paid receipt shall be clearly posted on-site and p 	g regulations, it does not prohibit enforcement n to violate any provisions of the Austin City
Hala II / mac	Date: 06/18/2013
Address: 100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1300 Austin Texas 78701	
Telephone: 512-435-2368	28.
Please indicate how you wish to receive a copy of the results of the	
Mail FAX: SE-mail: amorrow@abaustin.com	

Departmental Use Only				
Project Name: Case Number	Applicant Name:			
All Required Reviewer Date Comments	1 Amanda Many			
☐ Site Plan ☐ SPOC*	Commission of the Commission o			
	9.			
	·			
D.T.				
☐ Transport ☐ SPOC*				
□ Drainage 6-24-15 □ SPOC*				
dent Bed				
Environ NIGGO SPOC*				
Environ The Spoce				
[AFD S. STockly 6-25.2013 NA				
AWU Cory Harmon 6/20/2013				
NIA				
Plumbing Cory Harmon 6/20/2013				
N/A				
PAE 6-20-13				
NA				
SPOC – Single Point of Contact				
■ Noproved a state was I Denicited to the second state.	■ Determined to be at ● Revision ● New Project			
Duilding marmit magnined? ON-2 ONI- ONIA	Check all that apply: □ Review Fee(s) Not Required			
Building permit required? OYes ONo ON/A Smart Housing Project? OYes ONo	Site Plan Correction/ Exemption Review Fee			
	Change of Use Review Fee			
Qualifies for exemption per Section 25-5-2(☐ Phasing Review:phases			
	☐ Landscape Inspection: acres ☐ Shared Parking Review			

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

100 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 1300 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701-2744 512-435-2300

FACSIMILE 512-435-2360

AMANDA MORROW (512) 435-2368 AMORROW@ABAUSTIN.COM

June 18, 2013

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Christopher Johnson
City of Austin
Planning and Development Review Department
505 Barton Springs
Austin, Texas 78701

Re: Site plan exemption for 3811 Kennelwood Road, Austin, Texas 78703 (the

"Application")

Dear Mr. Johnson:

This firm represents and this letter is written on behalf of the applicant for the above referenced Application. On or about April 12, 2013 the City of Austin approved site plan exemption DA-2013-0178 to modify the Kennelwood Boat Dock. Since its approval the applicant has redesigned the proposed boat dock. Enclosed please find a subsequent site plan exemption application, aerial photographs, minutes from the January 4, 1940 City Council meeting, and architectural drawings showing the existing boat dock and the proposed modifications to the boat dock located 3811 Kennelwood Drive, also known as the "Kennelwood Boat Dock".

Pursuant to Section 25-5-2(M), the Applicant hereby requests a Site Plan Exemption to modify an existing accessory structure to a single family use structure. The Applicant proposes to maintain and modify an existing boat dock that was approved and permitted by the City Council on January 4, 1940 (see attached). The proposed modification will not require a variance, or city board or commission approval, and the work will not increase the existing footprint of the boat dock. This dock has been in existence and has been continuously used since its construction. The footprint of the existing structure is 16,647 square feet. In accordance with City Code, the proposed modification will reduce the overall footprint by 74.3%.

Pursuant to Section 25-2-963(D), the Applicant proposes to 1) remove 28 existing boat slips, and reconfigure the remaining 5 slips, which is an 85% reduction in the number of existing

{W0585299.1}

Page 2

boat slips, 2) relocate and reconfigure the existing storage area, 3) enclose a portion of an existing wooden deck to accommodate a gazebo, 4) remove and replace several wooden steps, 5) modify and replace the boardwalk, 6) replace the roof, deck columns and the wooden walkways with treated wood, and 7) as necessary, repair and/or modify the existing landscape retaining wall identified on the exhibits.

All provisions for modification and maintenances of a NONCOMPLYING structure shall be adhered too. The work proposed by the Application will be confined within the existing footprint and will not increase the level of nonconformity. For ease of reference, I have attached a copy of Sections 25-5-2 and 25-2-963(D).

Should you require additional information, please contact me at 512-435-2368.

Thank you.

Respectfully submitted,

Amanda Morrow

Land Development Consultant

Enclosures

cc:

Richard T. Suttle, Jr. Sandy Gottesman

25-5-2 SITE PLAN EXEMPTIONS.

- (A) The director shall determine whether a project is exempt under this section from the site plan requirement of Section <u>25-5-1</u> (Site Plan Required). The director may require that the applicant submit information necessary to make a determination under this subsection. The director may require an applicant to revise a previously approved site plan under Section <u>25-5-61</u> (Revisions To Released Site Plans).
 - (B) A site plan is not required for the following development:
- (1) construction or alteration of a single-family residential, single-family attached residential, duplex residential, two-family residential, or secondary apartment special use structure, or an accessory structure, if:
- (a) not more than one principal residential structure is constructed on a legal lot or tract; and
- (b) a proposed improvement is not located in the 100 year flood plain, or the director determines that the proposed improvement will have an insignificant effect on the waterway;
 - (2) removal of a tree not protected by this title;
- (3) interior alteration of an existing building that does not increase the square footage, area, or height of the building;
 - (4) construction of a fence that does not obstruct the flow of water;
- (5) clearing an area up to 15 feet wide for surveying and testing, unless a tree more than eight inches in diameter is to be removed;
- (6) restoration of a damaged building that begins within 12 months of the date of the damage;
- (7) relocation or demolition of a structure or foundation covering not more than 10,000 square feet of site area under a City demolition permit, if trees larger than eight inches in diameter are not disturbed and the site is not cleared;
- (8) development in the extraterritorial jurisdiction that is exempt from all water quality requirements of this title; or
- (9) placement of a commercial portable building on existing impervious cover if the building does not impede or divert drainage and the site complies with the landscaping requirements of this title.
- (C) Except for a change of use to an adult oriented business, a site plan is not required for a change of use if the new use complies with the off-street parking requirements of this title.
- (D) Except for an adult oriented business, a site plan is not required for construction that complies with the requirements of this subsection.

- (1) The construction may not exceed 1,000 square feet, and the limits of construction may not exceed 3,000 square feet, except for the following:
 (a) enclosure of an existing staircase or porch;
 (b) a carport for fewer than ten cars placed over existing parking spaces;
 (c) a wooden ground level deck up to 5,000 square feet in size that is for open space use;
 (d) replacement of a roof that does not increase the building height by more than six feet;
 (e) remodeling of an exterior facade if construction is limited to the addition of columns or awnings for windows or entrance ways:
 - (f) a canopy over an existing gas pump or paved driveway;
 - (g) a sidewalk constructed on existing impervious cover;
- (h) replacement of up to 3,000 square feet of building or parking area lost through condemnation, if the director determines that there is an insignificant effect on drainage or a waterway; or
- (i) modification of up to 3,000 square feet of a building or impervious cover on a developed site if the modification provides accessible facilities for persons with disabilities.
- (2) The construction may not increase the extent to which the development is noncomplying.
- (3) The construction may not be for a new drive-in service or additional lanes for an existing drive-in service, unless the director determines that it will have an insignificant effect on traffic circulation and surrounding land uses.
 - (4) A tree larger than eight inches in diameter may not be removed.
- (5) The construction may not be located in the 100 year flood plain, unless the director determines that it would have an insignificant effect on the waterway.
- (E) A site plan is not required for minor site development, minor construction, or a change of use that the director determines is similar to that described in Subsections (B), (C), and (D) of this section.
- (F) A site plan is not required for construction of additional facilities at an existing public primary educational facility or public secondary educational facility in the zoning jurisdiction or in a municipal utility district in which City building permits are required.
- (G) A site plan is not required for construction of a new public primary educational facility or public secondary educational facility in the zoning jurisdiction.

- (H) A site plan is not required for the construction of subdivision infrastructure in accordance with approved subdivision construction plans.
- (I) The exemptions provided by Subsections (C) and (D) do not apply to a bed and breakfast residential use established after October 1, 1994.
- (J) The exemptions provided by this section do not apply to a telecommunications tower described in Subsection <u>25-2-839(F)</u> or (G) (Telecommunication Towers).
- (K) A site plan is not required for development of a site solely for a community garden use if the director determines that the overall plan does not exceed the exceptions described in subsections (B), (C) or (D).
- (L) The exemptions provided by this section do not apply to the construction of a dock, bulkhead, or shoreline access as described in Chapter 25-2, Article 13.



- (M) A site plan is not required to repair, reinforce, or maintain a dock, bulkhead, or shoreline access, or to modify a dock under the following conditions:
- (1) the existing dock, bulkhead, or shoreline access was legally constructed; provided that simple re-decking will be allowed for all docks;
 - no variance from City Code is required;

- no city board or commission approval is required;
- (4) there will be no increase in the existing footprint of the dock, bulkhead, or shoreline access; and
- (5) the work is authorized under Section <u>25-2-963</u> (Modification and Maintenance of Noncomplying Structures) or Section <u>25-2-964</u> (Restoration and Use of Damaged or Destroyed Noncomplying Structures).

Source: Section 13-1-603; Ord. 990225-70; Ord. 990520-38; Ord. 000302-36; Ord. 000831-65; Ord. 031120-40; Ord. 031211-11; Ord. 20101209-075; Ord. 20110210-018

25-2-963 MODIFICATION AND MAINTENANCE OF NONCOMPLYING STRUCTURES.

- (A) Except as provided in Subsections (B), (C), and (D) of this section, a person may modify or maintain a noncomplying structure.
- (B) The following requirements must be met in order to modify, maintain, or alter a non-complying residential structure:
 - (1) Demolition or removal of walls must comply with the following requirements:
- (a) No more than fifty percent of exterior walls and supporting structural elements of the existing structure may be demolished or removed, including load bearing masonry walls, and

in wood construction, studs, sole plate, and top plate. For purposes of this subsection, exterior walls and supporting structural elements are measured in linear feet and do not include the roof of the structure or interior or exterior finishes.

- (b) Replacement or repair of structural elements, including framing, is permitted if required by the building official to meet minimum health and safety requirements.
- (2) Replacement or alteration of an original foundation may not change the finished floor elevation by more than one foot vertically, in either direction.
- (3) For any residential use other than a single-family use in an SF-3 or more restrictive zoning district, the following requirements must be met in order to add square footage or convert accessory space into conditioned or habitable space:
- (a) If the lot is non-complying with current lot size or lot width requirements, the cost of improvements may not exceed 20 percent of the value of the structure before the improvements.
 - (b) Compliance with current parking and occupancy regulations is required.
- (4) If a noncomplying portion of a structure is demolished, it loses its noncomplying status and may only be rebuilt in compliance with current code.
- (C) Except as provided in Subsections (E) and (F), a person may not modify or maintain a noncomplying structure in a manner that increases the degree to which the structure violates a requirement that caused the structure to be noncomplying.
- (D) The following requirements must be met in order to repair, reinforce, or maintain a non-complying dock, bulkhead, or shoreline access as defined in Section <u>25-2-1172</u> (*Definitions*), or to modify a noncomplying dock:
 - (1) Modification of a dock must comply with the following requirements:
 - (a) the dock must be an accessory to a single-family residence;
 - (b) the alteration must be confined within the existing footprint;
 - (c) the total footprint of the dock must be reduced by 50%;
 - (d) the number of boat slips on the dock is reduced by 50%; and
- (e) the alternation may not increase the degree to which the structure violates a requirement that caused the structure to be noncomplying.
- (2) Repair, reinforcing, or maintaining a noncomplying dock, bulkhead, or shoreline access must comply with the following requirements:

- (a) no more than 50 percent of existing piles, pilings, or sheet pile or no more than 50 percent of the length of the dock, bulkhead, or shoreline access may be removed or replaced; and
- (b) the location, footprint, and degree of noncompliance of the structure is not altered;
 - (3) Demolition is subject to the limitation in Subsection (B)(4) of this Section.
- (E) A person may increase the height of a building that is a noncomplying structure based on a height requirement of this title if:
 - (1) the increase is made to a portion of the building that:

- (a) does not exceed the existing maximum height of the building; and
- (b) complies with the yard setback requirements of this title;
- (2) the increase does not exceed 15 percent of the existing maximum height of the building; and
- (3) after modification, the height of the modified portion of the building does not exceed the existing maximum height of the building.
- (F) A person may modify a building that is a noncomplying structure based on a yard setback requirement of this title if:
 - (1) the modified portion of the building:
- (a) does not extend further into the required yard setback than the existing noncomplying portion of the building, except for a vertical change in finished floor elevation allowed under Subsection (B)(2) of this section;
- (b) unless located in a street side yard, is not greater in height than the existing noncomplying portion of the building, except for a vertical change in finished floor elevation allowed under Subsection (B)(2) of this section; and
 - (c) complies with the height requirements of this title; and
- (2) the additional length of a modified portion of the building does not exceed the lesser of 50 percent of the length of the noncomplying portion of the building or 25 feet measured from the existing building and parallel to the lot line.
- (G) Subsection (F) applies to each yard setback requirement with which the existing building does not comply.
- (H) A person may modify a noncomplying building once under Subsection (E) and once under Subsection (F). This section does not prohibit a person from modifying a building along more than one yard setback as part of a single project.

Source: Sections 13-2-820 and 13-2-823; Ord. 990225-70; Ord. 031211-11; Ord. 20060216-043; Ord. 20060309-058; Ord. 20060622-022; Ord. 20060928-022; Ord. 20100624-149; Ord. 20101209-075.

August 26, 2011

City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department 505 Barton Springs Road, 1st Floor Austin, Texas 78704

Re:

Authorization Letter for Lot 10, Block 4 Laurel Heights; Adjacent .684 Acres, of land out of the Daniel Gilbert Survey in Austin, Travis County, Texas; TCAD No. 0117090617

Dear City of Austin:

Please accept this letter appointing Armbrust & Brown, PLLC. as authorized agent for the undersigned in connection with the approval of a site plan exemption for the remodel of a residential boat dock for the above-referenced property. This appointment includes complete authority for Armbrust & Brown, PLLC to handle all aspects of the entitlements for the property. All correspondence and contact should be directed thereto. Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

KENNELWOOD, INC.,

a Texas corporation

Name: Sanford L. Gottesman

Title: President

428326-1 08/26/2011

plan is hereby made a part of this resolution, and further subject to the condition that all concrete ours, rang, and driveray opertunation done within the dity streets shall be done by a bonded sidewalk contractor unjer the direction and supervision of the City Engineer of the City of Austin and in apportungs with lines and grades furnished by the Magineering Repartment of the City of Austin-

Upon roll call, the resolution was adopted by the following votes Ayes, Councilian Alford, Bartholomes. Gillia, Mayor Millar, and Connollmen Wolfs maye, money,

Connections Dillie introduced the following resolution, and moved its adoptions

Ministell, in Book 3, page 161, of the Flat Records of Sparie County, Same, there appears a map or plat of a sublivision of land brown as Nonte Tieta Additions and

Vinnels, upon this map or plat there appears revious etreets and allers, one of which allers herein referred to in 20 feet in width and traverses Block 3 of said Houte Vista Addition, and being the aller 1/2 blook north of and parallel to Vindsor Road and extending from Navajo Drive to Hope Statis and

. Without the owners of all of the property shatting said alley have patitioned the Dity Council of the fifty of Ametin to close mid affers and

Minurial, said petition has been reviewed and considered by the City Council of the City of Austin: therefore.

· In the majorable are state order posterprior, and state out the vicinities

Fild that cortain alley traversing Monk 3 of Monto Fieta Addition, as previously poterred to, be and the same is hereby permanently closed and unested except the City of Austin berely retains the right to construct, mintain, operate and control all public utilities is, upon and surces the above described allere

Upon well call, the resolution was adopted by the following vote: Ayes, Sometimen Alford, Bartholomes, Gillis, Mayor Hiller, and Connollers Wolfs mays, home.

The following resolution was introduced by douncilmen hardholomes, who moved its adoptions THE 29 MINOR YED BY THE STEP COMMON OF THE COLD OF ADMITTE

That the dity demail of the City of Austin berely approves the exection of docks and a market fushing ristion on the property ounce by Henry & Hamill, which property is known as 1.68 aures. and Lot 10, Month & Laurel Brights Addition, a subdivision within the City of Austin, Travia County, Texas, which property abute or lake Austin, and hereby sutherises the said Herry A. Hemill to constrant, istorrismo pried since off of foright andres prilars exists but also beed eterop has nighten in compliance with all the ordinances relating therefor and further subject to the foregoing attacked recommendations; and the Building Improtor is hereby authorized to issue an company partit for the erockies of those dooks and fasting station after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution.

Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all messessity, recountle and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Tomas, in the suforcement of the proper police and fire regulations; and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the Gity Council that the said Borry A. Handil has failed and refused, and will contime to fail and refuse to perfore our such conditions, regulations and ordinances.

(Incommendations of Building Inspector)

James 4, 1940

r. Guiton Moreno

Dan Sire

The universigned has considered the application of Herry A. Hemill, owner of 1.2% sores and lot 10, Block &, Laurel Heights Addition, a subdivision within the City of Austin, Travia County, Temes, which properly abute later Autile, for possibation to erect a superstructure and to maintain a public generates pump to service motor bests on the Leks, on the doors now located and extending out into Laks Austin

on this property.

- I recommend that Herry A. Hammill be granted paralisation to construct this superstructure and to operate a public gasoline pump on mild docks, subject to the following conditions:
- (1) That the superstructure be constructed in three separate and distinct divisions in order to reduce the size is conformity with the request of Mr. McDonnogh, General Manager of the Lower Colorado Siver Authority, that no business such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, and so living quarters of any character shall be erected on these doctors.
- (B) that the said docks he equipped with proper lights which show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other wraings for daylight uses.
- (3) That the installation of all purps and tenin meet the requirements of the Rational Jourt of Fire Underwitters regulations for Marine Cil Terminals used enclusively as geneline first stations for small crafts and must bear the Extinual Board of Fire Underwriters labels.
- (b) Sint signs marked "To Sacking" shall be presidently displayed near say machine equipment at all times. That at least one Entired Board of Fire Underwriters approved type of fire extinguisher shall be provided.

Buring filling operations, amoing about also be prohibited aboard vessels being fusied. During fusing a sharp match should be kept for leaks.

The motor of the vessel being faciel should be shut down before and furing facing. In vessel being open light or first should be promitted to cope along side or close to the gasoline station during facing operations.

Respectfully submitted;

(Signal) J. C. Tokurb Building Impustor.

Upon roll call, the foregring resolution was edopted by the following votes, Ayes, Commilmen Alford, Darrholasse, Gillia, Neyer Hiller, and Councilmen Walf; maye, membe

Upon motion of Commiliant Alford, a teriod driver's parelt was granted William Engane Polorson, 100 Nest Notice Street, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Manager, by the following votes Ayes, Commilian Alford, Empheloser, Cillia, Neger Miller, and Councilian Welf; mayo, mone.

Hayer Miller subsisted to the Council a cartificate, signed by him, cortifying the responsionant of R. R. Percy and J. R. Pitteford as Consissioners of the Sensing Authority of the City of Austin for a turn of two years, beginning December 23, 1939, in accordance with the Swaring Authorities Law of the State of Texas a slop that of A. V. Mirts.

The following resolution was introduced by Councilman Sartholomes, who moved its adoptions my 17 Appellynd my type offer countries of the offer of Address.

EMN the Olty Manager be and he to besety authorized and directed to assent, on behalf of the Olty of Austin, to the torms of a revealed license for the retention in their present locations of the senere mand by the Olty of Austin, Same, which severe encrosed on the old United States Post Office site in the Olty of Austin; and the Olty Manager is authorized to indicate such assent by enforcement and statement of much assent us the printed "Conditions Governing Reposable Licenses" on the form attached to this resolution, and for all purposes made a part hereof.

(Frinted Form attached)

Township and the second second

PRINCELL WORLD AGREET

MINISTER SUPPLIES AND TRANSPORT

Mashington, D. Co.

Ametin, Tomas P. C. (D14) X File: Privileges

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

COMMITTEENS SOVERWIED REPORTED LICENSES

By the Pederal Works Agency for the Use of Property of the United States under its control.

Saure of le Mat, as the Rederal Forks Agency is without suthority to grant an encount in the Parmission. United States properly mentioned in its attached inther, but is supersed, in proper cases, to give linease, revenable at the will of the Federal Marks Administrator, for the use of such United States property, the purisation granted by said letter shall be considered to be, and treated as, only such a revenable licease, subject to the terms and conditions sat forth here and (if any) in said attached letter; and that said licease shall be constituted by these printed conditions, and attached letter and any other thing specified by said attached letter as furning a part of said licease.

Compliance. 2, That the location, construction, installation, use, maintenance, repeir, and removal



