

DiGiuseppe, Paul

From: CNU Central Texas Chapter <info=centraltexaschnu.org@cmail2.com> on behalf of CNU Central Texas Chapter <info@centraltexaschnu.org>
Sent: Tuesday, December 09, 2014 7:01 PM
To: DiGiuseppe, Paul
Subject: CNU-CTX opposes proposed revision to Priority Program 8

[Web Version](#) | [Update preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

[Like](#) [Tweet](#) [Forward](#)

Congress for the New Urbanism Central Texas Chapter



CNU-CTX Opposes Revision to Imagine Austin Priority Program 8

The Austin City Council is considering a proposal to make a major substantive change in Imagine Austin's Priority Program #8, the rewriting of our development code. This proposal is being offered "to address concerns and perceptions that the [rewrite] is too focused on the compact and connected principle." CNU is adamantly opposed to this suggested revision from both a process and a content standpoint and has sent a letter to Austin City Council to urge them to vote against this revision to Priority Program 8. [Read the full text of the letter here.](#)

You're encouraged to share your views on this issue by writing or calling City Council Members, or attending the December 11th Austin City Council Meeting, where this item is being considered ([agenda](#)).

Integrating Nature into the City Event Wednesday

December 10, 7 - 9 p.m. (doors at 6:30)
Joe C Thompson Conference Center,
2405 Robert Dedman Drive, Austin

The next Imagine Austin Speaker Series event is "Integrating Nature into the City", which will explore why integrating nature into the city is important, the value of green infrastructure, Austin's history and challenges on this topic, and innovative approaches used in other cities. The





CONGRESS
FOR THE NEW
URBANISM
CENTRAL TEXAS
CHAPTER

CHAPTER OFFICE
P.O. Box 685261
Austin, TX 78768
info@centraltexascnu.org
www.centraltexascnu.org

BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Cid Galindo
President

Mike Clark-Madison
Vice-President

Leah Bojo
Treasurer

Katherine Gregor
Secretary

Sarah Andre

Lee Einsweiler

Sean Garretson

Allen Green

Frank Harren

Mike Krusec

Matthew Lewis

Michele Lynch

Gabriel Montemayor

Pam Power

Erika Ragsdale

Alix Scarborough

Garner Stoll

Laura Touns

Sinclair Black
Director Emeritus

NATIONAL OFFICE
140 S. Dearborn St.
Suite 404
Chicago, IL 60603
Tel: 312-551-7300
Fax: 312-346-3323
cnuinfo@cnu.org
www.cnu.org

December 8, 2014

Honorable Mayor and Members of the Austin City Council
301 W. Second Street
Austin, Texas 78701

Re: Proposal to revise Priority Program #8 of Imagine Austin

Dear Honorable Mayor and Council:

Item 189 on your December 11, 2014 agenda contains a proposal to make a major substantive change in Imagine Austin's Priority Program #8, the rewriting of our development code. This proposal is being offered "to address concerns and perceptions that the [rewrite] is too focused on the compact and connected principle." CNU is adamantly opposed to this suggested revision for two reasons:

Reason #1: Content

Imagine Austin has six core principles, the very first of which is to "Grow as a Compact and Connected City" (Imagine Austin, p. 10). The section of Imagine Austin that deals specifically with the rewrite of the code (Imagine Austin, pp. 207-210) was very deliberately discussed, negotiated and crafted to describe exactly how the code rewrite should help us accomplish that objective. It lists specific vision components, specific related policies and related actions, specific goals to be accomplished, and metrics to be used in evaluating the rewritten code. It sets out a list of both short-term and long-term steps to be taken under this priority program, and describes in detail what was intended with respect to this goal's relationship with other priority programs. The revision suggested in item 189 would undermine this very specific section of Imagine Austin, in that it would replace these carefully drafted and specific provisions with a single direction that the rewrite should simply "promote . . . the adopted policies and goals of Imagine Austin." This change would disregard the three-year public process that created the structure and content of the plan document. Such a revision would, in effect, give any group or individual that opposes compact and connected development an opening to argue that the rewrite should attempt to accomplish any "cherry-picked" goal, policy or objective for which that group or individual advocates, no matter the context and no matter the relative importance of that goal, policy or objective.

Reason #2: Process

Even if we did not disagree with the content of the change, the manner of the change remains problematic. By nature, a comprehensive plan, in catalyzing a **broad and representative** citizenry to face complex trade-offs, involves difficult decisions to form its vision. In the case of Imagine Austin, those trade-offs included many complex and interrelated problems and solutions, and contemplated many contexts across the community. Furthermore, the effort effectively engaged thousands of citizens via a variety of input mechanisms -- from group discussions, house meetings, speaker's bureaus, public talks and working groups all across the city in this once-in-a-generation effort. A significant amendment to the vision, without a comparable representative public input process, weakens the voice of the public that worked so hard on this effort. And the timing of this substantive change, coming at the end of one Council organizational model, does not seem appropriate either. Any proposal to change Imagine Austin should follow a more holistic process with significant public input, and should not be pursued piecemeal.

We urge you in the strongest terms to vote against this revision.

Respectfully yours,

Cid Galindo, President
Congress for the New Urbanism, Central Texas Chapter