



CASE#: C15-2015-0039 Address: 2910 RIO GRANDE

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.



C15-2015-0039 ROW (12913302-BOARD 0217021505

CITY OF AUSTIN APPLICATION TO BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT INTERPRETATIONS PART I: APPLICANT'S STATEMENT (Please type)

STREET ADDRESS: 2910 Rio Grande, Austin 78705
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Subdivision – Brown Leander Addn
Lot (s) 6 Block 3 Outlot 72 Division D
ZONING DISTRICT: SF-3
I/WE_Betsy Greenbergon behalf of myself/ourselves as authorized
Agent for Heritage Neighborhood Association affirm that on 6th
Day of <u>February</u> , 20 <u>15</u> , hereby apply for an interpretation hearing before the Board of
Adjustment.

Planning and Development Review Department interpretation is:

The garage that encroaches on 2908 and 2910 Rio Grande Street qualifies for an Exception from Compliance under Section 25-1-365. JMM 1-22-2015**** Exception for garage encroachment into the side yard setback (southwest corner). Ordinance Number 20130822-126.

On closer review, Director Guernsey determined that a BOA variance was not required in this case. His decision is supported by City Code § 25-2-961 (Noncomplying Defined) & -963 (Modification & Maintenance of Noncomplying Structures). Under these sections, a structure which pre-dates applicable site development regulations may remain in its current location and additional development on the site may occur if it does not increase the degree of noncompliance.

I feel the correct interpretation is:

Section 25-1-365 does not apply because this property has had a Certificate of Occupancy since 1983. Section 25-2-961/963 does not apply as there is no proof that the garage existed lawfully in its current location prior to the applicable setback regulations. Further, Section 25-2-961/963 applies to the non-complying structure and does not address questions of additional development on the site.

NOTE: The board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable findings statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional support documents.

1. There is a reasonable doubt of difference of interpretation as to the specific intent of the regulations or map in that:

Staff's residential zoning review on 7/10/2014 stated "Major issue with this submitted Plan Review and site: You have an existing encroachment of a neighbor's garage (appears to be less than 200sf in size) or shed into your property. No new construction will be allowed and no new plan reviews will be approved until this structure is relocated off of your property/site. Adjacent property owner will need to move this structure – so that none of it is encroaching into your property." Paul Yadro further explained (1/28/2015) This plan review was submitted as a Vacant Lot – they were starting from scratch with proposed new construction of a new S-F residence. This means that everything is new "New S-F Residence is the Principal Use" and everything must be complying – all structures and the site must be complying for all applicable development standards– its "New Construction." Staff has not provided consistent interpretations.

2. An appeal of use provisions could permit a use which is in character with the uses enumerated for the various zones and with the objectives of the zone in question because:

The Board of Adjustment could consider this case and grant a variance or special exception. In considering a variance or special exception, the BOA can consider whether the proposed use is a permitted in a SF-3 zoning district, whether granting the variance will alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, impair the use of adjacent conforming properties, or create parking problems that interfere with the free flow of traffic.

3. The interpretation will not grant a special privilege to one property inconsistent with other properties or uses similarly situation in that:

All properties are required to comply with the same standards or have a Board of Adjustment hearing for exceptions and variances.

in the complete application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.					
Signed Hilly Picture up	Printed Betsy Greenberg				
Mailing Address 3009 Washington Sq					
City, State & Zip_Austin, TX 78705	Phone 512-477-1288				

NOTICE OF APPEAL INFORMATION

Austin City Code ARTICLE 7. APPEALS, VARIANCES, SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS, AND ADJUSTMENTS.

Division 1. Appeals

(see page 2 of 2 for appeal process)



Planning and Development Review Department

P20 NO ED 143		5	12.00		
Address of Property in Ques	tion			Permit Number	
2910 Rio Grande	, Austin	, TX 787	05	015-008394	:
Appellant Filing Appeal			Relationship to Property		
Betsy Greenberg					
Appellant's status as Interes	ted Party				
Treasurer of He	ritage N	eighborho	od Association		
Appellant Contact Information			Permit Holder Contact Information		
Name Betsy Greenberg			Name Charles Martin Homes LLC		
Street 3009 Washingto			Street 5615-B Clay A	ve.	
City Austin,	State TX	Zip 78705	City Austin,	State TX	Zip 78756
Telephone 512-689-7538			Telephone 512-914-7256		
E-Mail betsy.greenber	ra@amail	COM	E-Mail		
Date of Decision Being Appealed:			Date Appeal is Filed:		*************************************
	1/23/20	015	2/4	/2015	
Decision being appealed: (us					
Issuance of b	ullaing	permit			
Reason the appellant believe	s the decision	does not comply	with the requirements of t	he Land Development C	ode (Title 25)
			Development Co	•	,
			ructed SF hous		lot.
			should come b		
Adjustment		,	Biloura come b	crore the boa	14 01
Adjustment	•				
		BELOW FOR	R CITY USE ONLY		
Hearing Date:		······································	ommission:		***************************************
Action on Appeal:				Date of Action	
			101 Page 1 of 2		
The applicant must c	ompete page	e 2 of 2 and si	gn before this applicat	ion of appeal is cor	nplete. The

The applicant must compete page 2 of 2 and sign before this application of appeal is complete. The application will not be processed unless the applicant reads and signs page 2 of 2.

Page 2 of 2

Appeal Process

You may appeal by following the Land Development Code requirements below. You must complete the form with all required information.

ARTICLE 7. APPEALS, VARIANCES, SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS, AND ADJUSTMENTS.

Division 1. Appeals.

§ 25-1-181 STANDING TO APPEAL.

- (A) A person has standing to appeal a decision if:
 - (1) the person is an interested party; and
 - (2) a provision of this title identifies the decision as one that may be appealed by that person.
- (B) A body holding a public hearing on an appeal shall determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

Source: Section 13-1-250; Ord. 990225-70; Ord. 030828-65; Ord. 031211-11.

§ 25-1-182 INITIATING AN APPEAL.

An interested party may initiate an appeal by filing a notice of appeal with the responsible director or building official, as applicable, not later than:

- (1) the 14th day after the date of the decision of a board or commission; or
- (2) the 20th day after an administrative decision.

Source: Section 13-1-251(a); Ord. 990225-70; Ord. 031211-11.

§ 25-1-183 INFORMATION REQUIRED IN NOTICE OF APPEAL.

The notice of appeal must be on a form prescribed by the responsible director or building official and must include:

- (1) the name, address, and telephone number of the appellant;
- (2) the name of the applicant, if the appellant is not the applicant;
- (3) the decision being appealed;
- (4) the date of the decision;
- (5) a description of the appellant's status as an interested party; and
- (6) the reasons the appellant believes the decision does not comply with the requirements of this title.

Source: Section 13-1-251(a); Ord. 990225-70; Ord. 010329-18; Ord. 031211-11.

By signing this document, I attest to having read and understand my rights as granted by the Land Development Code for the process of appealing an administrative decision by the building official or the responsible director.