## ORDINANCE AMENDMENT REVIEW SHEET

September 11, 2015
Amendment: C20-2014-026 construction and demolition material diversion
Description: Consider an ordinance amending City Code Title 25, City Code Chapter 15-6, and Austin Resource Recovery administrative rules regarding recycling and reuse of materials generated by building and demolition projects.

Proposed Language: See attached draft ordinance.

## Summary of proposed code changes:

1. Key amendments to Title $\mathbf{2 5}$ include:

Affected projects:
a. Initially, building projects with 5,000 square feet or more
b. Initially, commercial or multifamily demolition projects
c. Beginning in 2020, add building projects with 1,000 square feet or more
d. Beginning in 2020, add residential demolition projects
2. Key amendments to Chapter 15-6 include:
a. Diversion requirements for affected properties:
i. Initially, $50 \%$ diversion
ii. Beginning in 2020, increase to $75 \%$
iii. Beginning in 2030, increase to $95 \%$
b. Reporting requirement - Affected Projects report amounts diverted and disposed to determine compliance
c. Enforcement - Not reporting or meeting diversion requirements is a Class C misdemeanor
d. Qualified processors
i. The city will register processors that validate their facility's average diversion rate
ii. Affected projects that send materials to Qualified Processors have simpler reporting requirements
e. Private haulers - report tons of construction materials hauled separately from other materials hauled

Background: Initiated by Council Resolution 20141211-128
In September 2010, the City Council adopted Resolution 20100930-023 directing staff to investigate requiring construction and demolition (C\&D) sites to recycle or salvage material. Recognizing the need to cross coordinate with several departments and C\&D recycling related organizations, staff released a memo to the City Council explaining the challenges and committed to return with a proposed ordinance in the future.

From December 2013 to November 2014, the Zero Waste Advisory Commission's (ZWAC) Construction \& Demolition Recycling Committee and Austin Resource

Recovery (ARR) staff researched ordinances in other communities, conducted public meetings, and met with stakeholders to develop the C\&D ordinance. Stakeholders included private haulers; material processors; landfill operators; waste consultants; architects; historic preservation representatives; home builders; construction, demolition, and deconstruction companies; building owners and managers; and public agencies such as the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Travis County, and the Capital Area Council of Governments. City departments included Austin Energy Green Building Program, Planning and Development Review Department, Public Works Department, Office of Sustainability, and Austin Code. Based on staff research, the development process, and feedback from stakeholders, amendments to Title 25 and Title 15 were needed.

In October, the ZWAC C\&D Committee forwarded its recommendations in the form of an intent document for consideration by the full commission. In November, the ZWAC approved the intent document for consideration by the City Council.

On December 11, 2014, the City Council adopted Resolution 20141211-128 initiating amendments to the Land Development Code and the Solid Waste Services Code that would require certain building and demolition projects to divert construction materials from disposal. The resolution included the ZWAC-approved intent document to serve as guidance in ordinance language development.

Staff Recommendation: Approve amendments to Title 25. Staff is sharing Title 15 amendments to provide the Planning Commission with the diversion and reporting requirements referenced in 25-11-39. The Planning Commission is not required to take action on Title 15.

## Board and Commission Actions

November 12, 2014: The Zero Waste Advisory Commission (ZWAC) approved a draft resolution and ordinance intent.

August 18, 2015: Codes and Ordinances Subcommittee recommended the draft ordinance to the full Planning Commission, with an amendment to clarify that the square footage called for in 25-11-39(C)(2) and 25-11-39(D)(2), end the lines with "square feet of new, added, or remodeled floor area."

August 25, 2015: The Planning Commission referred the draft ordinance to the Codes and Ordinances Subcommittee for further consideration.

September 2, 2015: ARR staff briefed the Environmental Commission on the draft ordinance.

September 15, 2015: Codes and Ordinances Subcommittee is scheduled to reconsider the draft ordinance.

October 14, 2015: ZWAC is scheduled to consider the draft ordinance.

## Council Action

Initiating resolution called for presenting this ordinance to Council in October 2015.
A public hearing has not been set.
Ordinance Number: NA
City Staff: Jessica King Phone: 974-7678 Email: jessica.king@austintexas.gov

## ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CITY CODE CHAPTERS 15-6 (SOLID WASTE SERVICES) AND 25-11 (BUILDING, DEMOLITION, AND RELOCATION PERMITS; SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR HISTORIC STRUCTURES) RELATING TO DIVERSION AND DISPOSAL OF CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION MATERIALS.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. City Code Section 15-6-1 (Definitions) is amended to add new definitions to read as follows.
§ 15-6-1 DEFINITIONS.
(1) Average Diversion Rate means the percentage of all inbound commingled material over a period of time that a facility diverts for beneficial use.
(2) Austin Metro Area means the five-county metropolitan area that surrounds the City of Austin.
(3) Beneficial Use means productive use of materials reclaimed through separation, processing, deconstruction, or other means and made available for recycling or reuse but does not include placement in a disposal facility, used as daily cover in a disposal facility, or used for energy recovery.
(4) Building Components includes, but is not limited to, doors, windows, fixtures, structural members, architectural parts, masonry, and similar items.
(5) Deconstruction means dismantling or extracting reusable materials from a project prior to or instead of traditional demolition.
(6) Project means activities described in Subsections 25-11-39(C) and (D) (Construction and Demolition Materials Diversion Required).
(7) Project Disposal Rate means the total pounds of material generated by a project and disposed per square foot of the Project.
(8) Project Diversion Rate means the percentage of the materials generated by the project and diverted for beneficial use onsite or offsite.
(9) Qualified Processor means a facility that meets the qualifications in Division 2 of this Article.

PART 2. City Code Chapter 15-6 (Solid Waste Services) is amended to add a new Article 9 (Construction and Demolition Materials Diversion Program) to read as follows.

## DIVISION 1 PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS.

## § 15-6-150 COMPLIANCE REQUIRED.

(A) Except as provided in Section 15-6-156 (Waiver), a permittee subject to Section 25-11-39 shall comply with this Article.
(B) A permittee complies with this Article if the permittee meets or exceeds the requirements material disposal or diversion rates in Section 15-6-151 (Project Disposal Rates) or Section 15-6-152 (Project Diversion Rates).
(C) In this chapter, materials means non-hazardous materials directly or indirectly by-products of a construction or demolition project including, but not limited to, building components, concrete, corrugated cartons, gypsum wallboard, metal, paper, paving, plastics, and wood; but does not include excavated soil, stone, land-clearing debris and similar items

## § 15-6-151 PROJECT DISPOSAL RATES.

(A) Beginning October 1, 2016, a permittee or its agents may not dispose more than 2.5 pounds of materials per square foot of the project.
(B) Beginning October 1, 2020, a permittee or its agents may not dispose more than 1.5 pounds of materials per square foot of the project.
(C) Beginning October 1, 2030, a permittee or its agents may not dispose more than .5 pounds of materials per square foot of the project

## § 15-6-152 PROJECT DIVERSION RATES.

(A) Beginning October 1, 2016, a permittee or its agents shall divert a minimum of 50 percent of materials generated by the project for beneficial use.
(B) Beginning October 1, 2020, a permittee or its agents shall divert a minimum of 75 percent of materials generated by the project for beneficial use.
(C) Beginning October 1, 2030, a permittee or its agents shall divert a minimum of 95 percent of materials generated by the project for beneficial use

## § 15-6-153 PROJECT DISPOSAL AND DIVERSION REPORT.

(A) A permittee shall provide the department the report required by this Section at the same time it requests final inspections to complete the building or demolition permit requirements.
(B) A report must include
(1) the amounts of materials generated by the project and put to beneficial use onsite;
(2) the amounts of materials delivered to a qualified processor;
(3) the amounts delivered to a processor or end-user and diverted for beneficial use;
(4) the amounts of materials delivered to a processor or end-user and disposed;
(5) the amounts of materials delivered directly to a disposal facility; and
(6) any other information required by the department

## § 15-6-154 CALCULATIONS.

The department will establish by rule the calculations for project disposal and diversion rates.

## § 15-6-155 QUALIFIED PROCESSOR.

A permittee may deliver materials to a qualified processor to meet the rates established in Section 15-6-151 (Project Disposal Rates) or Section 15-6-152 (Project Diversion Rates)

## § 15-6-156 WAIVER.

(A) A permittee may request a waiver from the disposal rates in Section 15-6-151 (Project Disposal Rates) and the diversion rates in Section 15-6-152 (Project Diversion Rates) for a project subject to this Chapter.
(B) A request for a waiver must be in writing on a form approved by the director.
(C) The director may grant a waiver if the permittee shows a good faith effort to divert construction and demolition materials generated by the project but that
disposal or diversion rates could not be met because of the nature of the construction and demolition materials.

## DIVISION 2 QUALFIED PROCESSORS.

## § 15-6-160 REGISTRATION REQUIRED.

(A) A facility that meets the requirements in Section 15-6-161 (Qualified Processor Requirements) may be registered by the department as a qualified processor.
(B) A registration is effective for two years.
(C) 90 days before the expiration of the registration, the facility owner must submit a renewal application.

## § 15-6-161 QUALIFIED PROCESSOR REQUIREMENTS.

(A) A facility is a qualified processor if it meets the following criteria:
(1) complies with all federal, state, and local regulations, including any permit requirements;
(2) weighs the items described in Subsection (B);
(3) provides the information described in Subsection (C);
(4) is located in the Austin Metro Area;
(5) authorizes an inspection of its facility by the department; and
(6) agrees to the requirements described in Section 15-6-163 (Audit Requirements).
(B) A qualified processor must be able to weigh:
(1) inbound mixed materials;
(2) materials reclaimed for use from mixed materials; and
(3) residual material disposed.
(C) A qualified processor must be able to provide customers with documentation that shows the dates, the amounts, and disposition of each load of materials, mixed or separated, received from projects subject to this Article. Amounts may be calculated based on tons or in a manner provided for in the Rules.
(D) A qualified processor must submit a report each six months to the director that includes the average diversion rate, the types of materials recovered, and the beneficial uses for the materials. The report is due within 30 days after each six month period.
(E) A qualified processor must have its average diversion rate validated in accordance with Section 15-6-163 (Average Division Rate Calculation and Validation).

## § 15-6-162 AVERAGE DIVERSION RATE CALCULATION AND VALIDATION.

(A) A qualified processor's average diversion rate is calculated as the tons of materials reclaimed divided by the tons materials processed and multiplied by 100 percent.
(B) An entity or individual is authorized to validate a qualified processor's average diversion rate if the entity or individual:
(1) has operational or consulting experience in waste management, building design, construction, demolition, renovation, environmental protection, or accounting;
(2) if required by the director, completes City-approved training on construction and diversion material recycling, Zero Waste, or other related topics; and
(3) is approved by director.
(C) An entity or individual qualified under this Section to validate average diversion rate may not charge fees contingent upon a City registering a facility as a qualified processor.
(D) An employee, owner, or other agent of the qualified processor may not validate the average diversion rate for the qualified processor.

## § 15-6-163 AUDIT REQUIREMENTS.

(A) A qualified processor shall make its records available for audit by the department during regular business hours.
(B) Failure to comply with Subsection (A) is cause to suspend the qualified processor's registration

## § 15-6-164 SUSPENSION.

(A) The department may suspend a qualified processor's registration if the qualified processor fails to comply with the requirements in this division.
(B) Prior to suspending a registration, a written notice of proposed suspension must be sent to the facility owner and operator.
(C) The notice described in Subsection (B) will include the violation and a time period to correct the violation.
(D) If the facility does not correct the violation within the time period stated in the notice, the department will notify the facility owner and operator that it is no longer a qualified processor.
(E) A facility owner or operator may appeal the decision to suspend the qualified processor's registration. An appeal must be filed with the director no later than the 20th day following the date the registration was suspended. The appeal must identify each alleged point of error, facts and evidence supporting the appeal, reasons why the suspension should be set aside, and must be signed by the facility owner or operator. The director must provide a written response to the appeal within 30 days from the date the appeal is received by the director

## DIVISION 3. ENFORCEMENT

## § 15-6-170 ENFORCEMENT.

(A) A permittee who fails to submit the report required in Section 15-6-153 (Project Disposal and Diversion Rate Report) commits an offense punishable as described in Section 1-1-99 (Offenses; General Penalty).
(B) A permittee who fails to submit a substantially complete report required in Section 15-6-153 (Project Disposal and Diversion Rate Report) commits an offense punishable as described in Section 1-1-99 (Offenses; General Penalty).
(C) A permittee who fails to meet the requirements in Section 15-6-151 (Project Disposal Rates) or Section 15-6-152 (Project Diversion Rates) commits an offense punishable as described in Section 1-1-99 (Offenses; General Penalty).
(D) A culpable mental state is not required, and need not be proved.
(E) Each day a permittee fails to submit a report is a separate offense.

PART 3. City Code Section 15-6-44 (Reporting Requirements) is amended to read as follows.

## § 15-6-44 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

(A) A licensee shall maintain a list of the containers used for the collection, storage, or disposal of solid waste that are owned or serviced by the licensee, with the customer number and the location of each container.
(B) A licensee shall file a quarterly report of the number of containers it services in the city. A licensee shall submit the container fee required by this article with a report filed under this section.
(C) A licensee who provides solid waste collection service under Article 3 (Private Solid Waste Collection Service) or recycling service under Article 5 (Universal Recycling) shall file a report with the Austin Code Department [Compliance]. The report shall be on a form provided by the Austin Code Department [Compliance]. The report shall be filed with the Austin Code Department [Compliance] semi-annually on or before the last business day in January and July of each calendar year. The report shall contain the following information:
(1) the amount in tons of solid waste, recyclables, and organic materials (but excluding construction and demolition materials) [each of the following types of materiat] hauled to:
(a) landfills [solid waste];
(b) recycling facilities [recyclables]; and
(c) organic materials processing facilities [eollected and transported to a receiving facility];
(2) the amount in tons of construction and demolition materials hauled directly to:
(a) landfills;
(b) recycling facilities; and
(c) organic materials processing facilities; and
(3) other information required by the Austin Code Department [Compliance].

PART 4. City Code Chapter 25-11 (Building, Demolition, and Relocation Permits; Special Requirements for Historic Structures) is amended to add a new Section 25-11-39 (Construction and Demolition Materials Diversion Required) to read as follows.

## § 25-11-39 CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION MATERIALS DIVERSION REQUIRED.

(A) Except as provided in Subsection (E), each person that applies for a building permit or demolition permit for activities described in Subsections (C) and (D) must acknowledge the person's need to comply with this Section and Chapter 15-6, Article 9 (Construction and Demolition Materials Diversion Program) before a building or demolition permit is issued.
(B) This section is applicable in the City's zoning jurisdiction.
(C) Except as provided in Subsection (E), beginning January 1, 2016, construction and demolition materials diversion is required for
(1) commercial and multifamily projects that require a demolition permit; and (2) construction projects that exceed 5,000 square feet.
(D) Except as provided in Subsection (E), beginning January 1, 2020, construction and demolition materials diversion is required for
(1) commercial, multifamily, and residential projects that require a demolition permit; and
(2) construction projects that exceed 1,000 square feet.
(E) Construction and demolition materials diversion is not required for the following activities:
(1) projects for which only mechanical, electrical, or plumbing permits are required or
(2) work for which a building or demolition permit is not required.

PART 5. This ordinance takes effect on October 1, 2016.

|  |
| :--- |
|  | $2015 \quad$| $\S$ |
| :---: |
| $\S$ |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

APPROVED: $\qquad$
Anne L. Morgan Interim City Attorney

## ATTEST:

Jannette S. Goodall City Clerk

## ORDINANCE DEVELOPMENT TIMELINE Construction and Demolition Material Diversion Ordinance

| 12/9/13 | ZWAC C\&D Committee | - ARR presented process for developing ordinance; <br> - AEGB summarized green building construction material recycling requirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/9/14 | ZWAC C\&D Committee | - ARR reported on other municipal C\&D diversion policies and ordinances |
| 8/8/14 | Stakeholders |  |
| 8/14/14 | Stakeholders |  |
| 8/28/14 | ZWAC C\&D Committee | - Recommended staff-proposed ordinance intent to ZWAC |
| 10/8/14 | ZWAC | - Accepted Committee recommendation, added: <br> - Affected Parties, beginning 2020: <br> - Construction >1000 sq ft <br> - Residential demolition <br> - Higher diversion requirements for 2017, 2020, and 2030 |
| 11/3/14 | ZWAC C\&D Committee | - Deleted 2017 diversion requirements <br> - Added staff reports to Council before 2020 and 2030 |
| 11/12/14 | ZWAC | - Accepted Committee recommendations |
| 12/11/14 | City Council | - Adopted resolution initiating ordinance, using ZWAC recommendation |
| 2/27/15 | ZWAC C\&D Committee | - Considered amendments to ARR Administrative Rules |
| 5/11/15 | ZWAC C\&D Committee | - Accepted staff-proposed ordinance and rules concept, which included Waiver option |
| 8/18/15 | PC/CO Committee | - Recommended draft ordinance to PC |
| 8/25/15 | Planning Commission | - Referred draft ordinance to CO |

## 8/28/14: ZWAC C\&D COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

## Affected Projects

| Construction (sq ft) | 5,000 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Commercial Demolition | All |

Project Requirements, either:

| $\S 15-6-151$. Disposal Rate (lbs/sq ft) | $<2.5$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\S 15-6-152$. Diversion Rate | $50 \%$ |

## 10/8/14: ZWAC RECOMMENDATION

| Affected Projects | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction (sq ft) | 5,000 | 1,000 |
| Commercial Demolition | All | All |
| Residential Demolition | None | All |


| Project Requirements, either: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| § 15-6-151. Disposal Rate (lbs/sq ft) | $<2.5$ | $<2.0$ | $<1.5$ | $<0.5$ |
| § 15-6-152. Diversion Rate | $50 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $95 \%$ |

## 11/3/14: ZWAC C\&D COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

| Affected Projects | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction (sq ft) | 5,000 | 1,000 |
| Commercial Demolition | All | All |
| Residential Demolition | None | All |


| Project Requirements, either: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\S$ 15-6-151. Disposal Rate (lbs/sq ft) | $<2.5$ | $<1.5$ | $<0.5$ |
| $\S 15-6-152$. Diversion Rate | $50 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $95 \%$ |

Plus, prior to 2020 and 2030, City staff will provide the City Council with:

- Economic impact statement for household affordability
- Economic assessment of the markets for construction and demolition materials

11/12/14: ZWAC accepted Committee recommendation

12/11/14: Council adopted resolution to develop ordinance using ZWAC recommendation

## IMPACT of C\&D RECYCLING on HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

This proposed ordinance is based on green building requirements to divert construction materials from landfilling. In particular, this ordinance closely resembles the Construction Waste Management requirements for commercial, multifamily and Two Star single-family ratings from the Austin Energy Green Building Program (AEGB). In addition, LEED credits include similar construction material management standards. Projects currently subject to this requirement through the AEGB Program include those built Downtown (CBD/DMU), in Mueller or UNO, certified as SMART Housing, subject to PUD or Downtown Density Bonus standards.

The following Basic Requirement for AEGB Multifamily Ratings is similar to a Basic Requirement for AEGB commercial projects and Two Star rated single-family projects:

## 13. Construction Waste Management

## Intent

To reduce construction and demolition waste destined for the landfill or incineration facilities by reusing or recycling material thus, extending the life of the landfills, and saving energy, resources, and costs

## Requirement (Residential and Non-Residential Uses)

Recycle and/or salvage at least $50 \%$ (by weight) of non-hazardous construction and demolition waste, excluding excavated soil, stone, and land clearing debris. Diverted material must include at least four material streams (i.e. concrete, metal, wood, gypsum wallboard, paper and cardboard, plastic).

## Required Verification

CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

- Specifications for Construction Waste Management in the contract documents
- Construction Waste Management Plan. Plan must address at minimum:
- Anticipated waste streams
- Four materials to be diverted from landfill
- Hauler and landfill/recycler location for each material stream


## FINAL APPROVAL

- Calculations from the AEGB Construction Waste Calculator. An updated calculator must be provided to AEGB quarterly reflecting the project's current status
- Weight tickets for all of the waste recycled, salvaged, or sent to the landfill, as requested

Because the following provisions of the Land Development Code require meeting or exceeding AEGB minimum requirements, many building projects are already diverting construction materials:

- § 25-1. Article 15. S.M.A.R.T. Housing
- § 25-2-586. Downtown Density Bonus
- § 25-2-593. Downtown (CBD/DMU)
- § 25-2-754. University Neighborhood Overlay
- § 25-2 B. 2. 5. Planning Unit Development
- Mueller

These green projects provide insight on the impact of requiring construction material diversion.

In some cases, reaching a high level of diversion requires having separate containers at the job site for landfill trash and each of the materials to recover. Most job sites, however, don't have the extra space for additional containers. In addition, keeping materials separate can require changes in material handling at the job site.

To address space restrictions and keep material handling simple at the job site, several area landfills and other material recovery businesses established processing systems for mixed loads of construction materials. Consequently, whether construction materials are ultimately diverted or not, construction crews don't have to change how they handle discards on the job site. It all goes into one box.

From that aspect, requiring diversion has little to no impact on the placement or usage of a debris box at the job site. From there, private haulers transport the material to either a landfill or processing center, most of which are located next to or near a landfill. Thus, whether the material is diverted or disposed, the hauling distance is about the same. So, this ordinance would not impact hauling distances for construction material debris.

Processing mixed construction materials can, however, cost more than landfilling. The following tip fees provided by landfills, processors, and private haulers illustrate the cost difference between landfilling and processing:

- Landfilling
- Range: $\$ 40$ to $\$ 50 /$ ton
- Average: \$45/ton
- Mixed C\&D Material Processing
- $\$ 325$ per roll-off box (for up to 6 tons in the box)
- Range: $\$ 55$ to $\$ 80 /$ ton (4 to 6 tons/box)
- Average: \$65/ton

These figures indicate that, on average, processing mixed construction debris can cost about $\$ 20$ more per ton than landfilling. Some contractors reduce this cost by collecting certain recyclables separately on the job site, particularly concrete, metal, and wood. In fact, many projects generate large quantities of these materials when removing an existing structure prior to construction.

But not every project has room for on-site separation. And not all projects have pre-construction demolition materials. Those projects would be the most impacted by this ordinance.

The following table presents typical material generation rates compiled by the U.S EPA and others. These figures are consistent with generation rates for fifteen recent Austin-area green building projects.

The table also presents estimates for the additional cost per square foot to process instead of dispose of construction materials, based.

| Project <br> Type | Debris Generation <br> Rates* |  | Cost per square foot |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | H, M, or L | Ibs/sq ft | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ <br> Disposal | 50\% <br> Diversion | Increased <br> Cost |
|  | Low | 5 | $\$ 0.11$ | $\$ 0.16$ | $\$ 0.05$ |
|  | Medium | 7.5 | $\$ 0.17$ | $\$ 0.24$ | $\$ 0.08$ |
|  | High | 18 | $\$ 0.41$ | $\$ 0.59$ | $\$ 0.18$ |
| Single- <br> family | Low | 3 | $\$ 0.07$ | $\$ 0.10$ | $\$ 0.03$ |
|  | Medium | 8.5 | $\$ 0.19$ | $\$ 0.28$ | $\$ 0.09$ |
|  | High | 15 | $\$ 0.34$ | $\$ 0.49$ | $\$ 0.15$ |

## * Sources

- www.stopwaste.org/recycling/business/waste-management-plans
- www.epa.gov/osw/conserve/imr/cdm/pubs/cd-meas.pdf

Essentially, these figures show that charging \$20 per ton more to process rather than dispose of construction materials adds from $\$ 0.03$ to $\$ 0.18$ per square foot to the building costs, depending on the material generation rate. The median is $\$ 0.08$ more per square foot.

The table on the next page calculates what the costs would be to divert $50 \%$ of the construction material compared to $100 \%$ disposal for fifteen recent Austin area green building projects.

ESTIMATED COSTS FOR CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL DISPOSAL AND DIVERSION FOR SEVERAL RECENT AUSTIN ENERGY GREEN BUILDING RATED PROJECTS

| Project |  |  | Actual Data |  |  |  |  | Estimated Costs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Tons |  |  | Diversion Rate | Generation (lbs/sq ft) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 100\% } \\ \text { Disposal } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% <br> Diversion | Increase |  |
| ID | Type | Area (sq ft) | Landfill | Diverted | Total |  |  |  |  | \$ | \$/sq ft |
| A | Multifamily | 91,976 | 276.4 | 745.3 | 1021.7 | 72.9\% | 22.2 | \$45,975 | \$66,408 | \$20,433 | \$0.22 |
| B |  | 177,032 | 320.8 | 741.4 | 1062.2 | 69.8\% | 12.0 | \$47,799 | \$69,043 | \$21,244 | \$0.12 |
| C |  | 241,817 | 433.5 | 987.8 | 1421.3 | 69.5\% | 11.7 | \$63,960 | \$92,387 | \$28,427 | \$0.12 |
| D |  | 234,968 | 135.5 | 743.0 | 878.5 | 84.6\% | 7.5 | \$39,531 | \$57,100 | \$17,569 | \$0.07 |
| E |  | 292,150 | 303.5 | 506.7 | 810.2 | 62.5\% | 5.6 | \$36,459 | \$52,663 | \$16,204 | \$0.06 |
| F |  | 138,873 | 277.0 | 769.5 | 1046.5 | 73.5\% | 15.1 | \$47,094 | \$68,025 | \$20,931 | \$0.15 |
| G |  | 185,710 | 352.6 | 1092.6 | 1445.2 | 75.6\% | 15.6 | \$65,034 | \$93,938 | \$28,904 | \$0.16 |
| H |  | 297,755 | 466.5 | 483.1 | 949.6 | 50.9\% | 6.4 | \$42,730 | \$61,721 | \$18,991 | \$0.06 |
| 1 |  | 391,773 | 506.4 | 1507.6 | 2014.0 | 74.9\% | 10.3 | \$90,631 | \$130,912 | \$40,281 | \$0.10 |
| MF AVERAGE |  | 228,006 | 341 | 842 | 1,183 | 71.2\% | 10.4 | \$53,246 | \$76,911 | \$23,665 | \$0.10 |


| J | High Rise Multifamily | 287,498 | 186.8 | 1117.6 | 1304.4 | 85.7\% | 9.1 | \$58,699 | \$84,787 | \$26,088 | \$0.09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K |  | 235,584 | 521.4 | 2091.4 | 2612.8 | 80.0\% | 22.2 | \$117,574 | \$169,830 | \$52,255 | \$0.22 |
| L |  | 314,263 | 456.4 | 2,238 | 2694.4 | 83.1\% | 17.2 | \$121,247 | \$175,135 | \$53,888 | \$0.17 |
| Hi Rise MF Ave. |  | 279,115 | 388 | 1,816 | 2,204 | 82.4\% | 15.8 | \$99,173 | \$143,250 | \$44,077 | \$0.16 |


| M | Singlefamily | 2,759 | 3.6 | 10.5 | 14.1 | 74.2\% | 10.2 | \$634 | \$916 | \$282 | \$0.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 3,216 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 93.4\% | 5.3 | \$386 | \$558 | \$172 | \$0.05 |
| 0 |  | 6,408 | 1.5 | 9.0 | 10.5 | 85.7\% | 3.3 | \$471 | \$681 | \$209 | \$0.03 |
| SF AVERAGE |  | 4,128 | 1.9 | 9.2 | 11.0 | 82.8\% | 5.4 | \$497 | \$718 | \$221 | \$0.05 |


| ALL | $193,452.1$ | 282.8 | 870.1 | $1,152.9$ | $75.5 \%$ | 11.9 | $\mathbf{\$ 5 1 , 8 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 7 4 , 9 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 , 0 5 9}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



|  | THEREFORE WILL NOT BE AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROPOSED CHANGES <br> IMPACTING HOUSING <br> AFFORDABILITY: | PLEASE SEE RESPONSE ABOVE |
| ALTERNATIVE LANGUAGE <br> TO MAXIMIZE <br> AFFORDABLE HOUSING <br> OPPORTUNITIES: | N/A |
| OTHER HOUSING POLICY <br> CONSIDERATIONS: |  |
| DATE PREPARED: |  |
| DIRECTOR'S SIGNATURE: |  |

## Preservation Austin Proposal

## Austin Resource \& Recovery

Construction Material Recycling Ordinance

Preservation Austin offers the following suggestions to reduce waste and recycling, and promote further incentives for adaptive reuse and renovation. Our recommendations relate directly to the Austin Resource and Recovery (ARR) Construction Material Recycling Ordinance, but they also require an expanded approach within the sustainability or green building community. It is our position that there are inherent inequities, or unintended consequences within the rating system of Austin Energy Green Building (AEGB) and United States Green Building Council (USGBC) LEED scoring matrix that incentivize demolition rather than protect existing buildings and embodied resources.

Regarding the current materials and recycling ordinance, Preservation Austin fully supports the existing directives including:

- Dedicating a portion of the recycling fee to the COA Historic Preservation Office
- Providing staff training on adaptive reuse within the recycling community.

Regarding adjustments or amendments, Preservation Austin recommends that protecting and reusing materials becomes a greater calculated value above demolition and recycling. The following incentives are recommended.

- Increase the AEGB points achieved by reusing or saving existing materials/structures to a greater number than what may be achieved by demolishing or recycling materials.
- Recalculate the ARR method of valuing the weight of a saved building to equal the value of weight of recycled materials, and then apply that projected value towards a fee waiver or tax incentive as a financial trade in value.
- Increase the cost of permits and fees for demolition above the cost of fees and permits for adaptive reuse and preservation.

Regarding the handling of recycled materials:

- Explore partnerships with educational, non-profits with existing building programs to expand their educational tools to include dismantling and recycling programs, i.e. American YouthWorks, local technical trade schools, Austin Community College, etc.
- Explore partnerships with educational, non-profits with existing building programs to possibly warehouse and resale valuable architectural components as a means of income or revenue stream.

Regarding adjustments or incentives within the broader green building community, Preservation Austin encourages ARR to promote a parallel effort in the USGBC LEED community. The following changes to the LEED scoring system would immediately reduce waste and recycling:

- Encourage the USGBC to increase the achievable points gained when reusing $95 \%$, or $75 \%$ or $50 \%$ of an existing building to a greater number than one (1) point.
- Encourage the USGBC to demand a higher percentage than the current $2.5 \%$ for renewable materials in new construction when demolishing an existing structure.
- Encourage the USGBC to develop and include a more comprehensive rating system for the embodied energy of existing buildings to equitably match the embodied-energy of renewable materials.

Preservation Austin strongly believes in the protection and management of existing materials and structures as a valuable tool for reducing waste and preserving our planet's limited resources. It is our belief that the City of Austin, and other organizations charged with developing sustainable practices must modify and expand ways to incentivize preservation. By developing more equitable methods of valuing, and quantifying renovated structures above that of replaced structures, Austin Energy Green Building and Austin Resource Recovery will lower the impact of demolition and reduce the loss of valuable historic resources.

## RESOLUTION NO. $20141211-128$

WHEREAS, in January of 2009, the City of Austin adopted the Zero Waste Strategic Plan, which included the Zero Waste goal to reduce the amount of waste Austinites send to the landfill by 90 percent by the year 2040; and

WHEREAS, according to annual landfill reports to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, construction material accounts for at least 20 percent of Austin's discards; and

WHEREAS, Resolution 20100930-023, directs the City Manager to investigate requiring all construction and demolition projects in the City to recycle or salvage a certain percentage of construction material in support of the City's Zero Waste goal; and

WHEREAS, the Austin Energy Green Building Program has required construction projects to recycle or salvage a certain percentage of construction material since 2003; and

WHEREAS, many cities nationwide are examining deconstruction policies that promote economic development by creating jobs and retail and revenue opportunities from the sale of the recycled materials; and

WHEREAS, the City of Austin promotes efficient use of resources though its Austin Materials Exchange, Austin (Re)Manufacturing Hub, and the ReMade in Austin program; NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

The City Council initiates amendments to Title 25 of the City Code and to other titles as needed in order to reduce construction and demolition disposal by:
(1) Identifying construction, renovation, and demolition projects to be subject to diversion requirements;
(2) Defining minimum diversion and reporting standards for affected projects;
(3) Quantifying the amount of construction material generated in Austin that is disposed and the amount that is diverted;
(4) Defining minimum standards for certain processors to validate their diversion rates; and
(5) Establishing a penalty for affected projects based on their diversion performance.

## BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:

The City Manager is directed to process the Code amendment and to present it to Council for consideration by October 1, 2015. In processing the Code amendment, the City Manager is directed to consider and use as a foundation the recommendations proposed by the Zero Waste Advisory Commission, affected stakeholders and staff as identified in the attached Exhibit A.

ADOPTED: $\qquad$ 2014

## EXHIBIT A

DRAFT CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE INTENT

## Ordinance Concept:

Initially, affected projects must either:

- Dispose 2.5 pounds per square foot or less, or
- Divert $50 \%$ or more of the C\&D materials generated

Note: Italicized terms are defined at the end.

## 1. Affected Projects

### 1.1. Demolition projects

1.1.1. Commercial and multifamily projects
1.1.2. By 2020, include all residential projects
1.2. New construction or renovation projects
1.2.1. Projects permitted for more than 5,000 square feet
1.2.2 By 2020 , projects permitted for more than 1,000 square feet

### 1.3. Exempted projects

1.3.1. Projects that require only a single trade (e.g., plumbing, electrical, mechanical, irrigation)
2. Requirements for Affected Projects
2.1. Permittees for affected projects shall meet one of the following requirements:
2.1.1. Project Disposal Rate - Dispose less than or equal to the following weights of $C \& D$ Material per permitted area:
2.1.1.1. 2.5 pounds per square foot
2.1.1.2. $\quad 1.5$ pounds per square foot in 2020
2.1.1.3. $\quad 0.5$ pounds per square foot in 2030
2.1.2. Project Diversion Rate - Divert to Beneficial Use greater than or equal to the following percentages by weight of the C\&D Materials generated by the project:
2.1.2.1. $50 \%$ in 2015
2.1.2.2. $75 \%$ in 2020
2.1.2.3. $95 \%$ in 2030
2.1.3. Prior to the effective dates in 2020 and 2030 for the Project Disposal Rate and Diversion Rates, City staff will provide the City Council with:
2.1.3.1. An economic impact statement for household affordability, the intent being that the cost of housing should not be significantly affected by the increased rate of diversion; and
2.1.3.2. An economic assessment of the markets for construction and demolition materials, the intent being that significant amounts of C\&D materials ought not to be stockpiled in the area with no foreseeable reuse in the short term; nor should C\&D materials be subject to long distance transport to find a market.

### 2.2. Acknowledgement/Affirmation - Permittees shall [acknowledge/affirm] on the building permit application that the project is subject to and will meet the diversion requirements in this Section 2.

### 2.3. Post-Construction Report - After the project is complete, the Permittee shall report the following to the City:

2.3.1. For Projects delivering C\&D Materials only to Qualified Processors:
2.3.1.1. Documented tons of C\&D Materials or Building Components generated by the project and delivered to Qualified Processors
2.3.1.2. Facility-Average Diversion Rate for each Qualified Processor at the time of delivery
2.3.2. For other Projects, documented quantities of each load of $C \& D$ Materials or Building Components generated by the project and handled in each of the following ways:
2.3.2.1. Onsite Beneficial Reuse
2.3.2.2. Delivered to processors or end-users and diverted for Beneficial Use
2.3.2.3. Delivered to processors or end-users and disposed
2.3.2.4. Delivered directly to disposal facilities
2.3.3. Project Disposal Rate, if the Project meets this requirement
2.3.4. Project Diversion Rate
2.3.4.1. For Projects delivering C\&D Materials only to Qualified Processors, the Project Diversion Rate is the weighted average of the Facility-Average Diversion Rates for the Qualified Processors at delivery.
2.3.4.2. For other Projects, the Project Diversion Rate is calculated as the tons of $C \& D$ Materials or Building Components diverted for Beneficial Reuse onsite or offsite divided by the total tons generated by the project
2.3.4.3. Tons of materials delivered to a Qualified Processor and diverted for Beneficial Reuse shall be calculated as the tons of materials delivered to the Qualified Processor times the Facility-Average Diversion Rate for the Qualified Processor at the time of delivery.
3. Haulers - In addition to the requirements of $\S 15-6-44$, a licensed hauler shall report the following to the City semi-annually:
3.1. Tons of material, not including C\&D Materials, that it hauls directly to each of the following:
3.1.1. Landfills
3.1.2. Recycling facilities
3.1.3. Mulching or composting facilities
3.2. Tons of C\&D Materials that it hauls directly to each of the following:
3.2.1. Landfills
3.2.2. End-users or processing facilities

## 4. Qualified Processors

4.1. Meet state permitting and reporting requirements
4.2. Report its Facility-Average Diversion Rate to the City of Austin semi-annually
4.3. Maintain certification by a city-approved third-party certifying organization (e.g., Recycling Certification Institute), which determines and validates its Facility-Average Diversion Rate
4.4. Meet a Facility-Average Diversion Rate set by the City of Austin
5. C\&D Diversion Penalty - A project that disposes more than the disposal rate requirement and diverts less than the diversion rate requirement shall pay a C\&D Diversion Penalty, which is the greater of:
5.1. \$Flat Dollar Rate for every ton of difference between the amount that the project should have diverted to meet the diversion rate requirement and the amount actually diverted
5.2. For projects with $x x$ square feet or less, $\$$ Flat Dollar Rate for every percentage point of difference between the diversion rate requirement and the percentage actually diverted
5.3. For projects with greater than $x x$ square feet, \$Flat Dollar Rate for every percentage point of difference between the diversion rate requirement and the percentage actually diverted

## Definitions (italicized in use)

- Facility-Average Diversion Rate - The percentage of all incoming material over a period of time that a processing facility diverts for Beneficial Reuse
- Beneficial Reuse
- Includes salvage, reuse, or processing into a material or product with an open market value
- Does not include use as a fuel or alternative daily landfill cover
- Building Components - Doors, windows, fixtures, structural members, architectural parts, masonry, etc.
- Construction or Demolition (C\&D) Materials
- Non-hazardous materials that are directly or indirectly the by-products of construction work or that result from demolition of buildings and other structures
- Includes, but not limited to, concrete, corrugated cartons, gypsum wallboard, metal, paper, paving, plastics, and wood
- Excludes excavated soil, stone, and land-clearing debris
- Project Disposal Rate - Total pounds of material generated by the Project and disposed by a processor or delivered directly to a disposal facility divided by the square feet of the project
- Project Diversion Rate - Percentage of the C\&D Materials and Building Components generated by the project that were diverted for Beneficial Reuse onsite or offsite
- Qualified Processor - A facility that meets certain third-party certification requirements including documenting its Facility-Average Diversion Rate
- Other terms - These may need either definitions or references to their appropriate use in City Code: alternative daily cover, commercial, multifamily, residential, demolition, renovation, new construction

