

Land Development Code Advisory Group Meeting #36 Minutes

August 4, 2015 at 4:00 pm Austin Public Library Southeast Branch 5803 Nuckols Crossing Rd, Austin, Texas 78744

Members in attendance: Jim Duncan, Jeff Jack, Will Herring, Dave Sullivan, Mandy De Mayo, Nuria Zaragoza, Stephen Delgado, Sarah Linares, Kevin Wier, Jackie Goodman, Brian Reis.

Members Absent: Melissa Neslund, Beverly Silas, Stephen Oliver.

Meeting Objective: Review of draft criteria for evaluating potential site selection based on place types representing various places around Austin to inform draft code prototyping. The Advisory Group may vote on a resolution on any agenda item.

- 1. Approval of Minutes: Approved on consent.
- 2. Update on Green Infrastructure Working Group recommendations: Erin Wood of the Watershed Protection Department gave a presentation on the concluding phase of the Green Infrastructure Working Group, and discussed draft final recommendations of the group. Discussion by advisory group members included the desire to have a quantitative component to assess affordability in the future; breaking down components that add the most cost to a project; that stormwater infrastructure issues need to be resolved regionally; and how incorporating green infrastructure affects the cost of a redevelopment or infill project.
- 3. <u>Discuss draft criteria for selecting Focus Areas to be studied during the November 2015 Code Workshop:</u> Jim Robertson explained an updated version of criteria for selecting focus areas (attached below). Discussion included: focus areas listed are purely examples and not necessarily test areas; boundaries for areas will be determined to allow for testing issues and not focused only in nodes; purpose of November workshop; places selected for focus areas have characteristics in common with other areas in town; an area with an approved neighborhood plan will be included in the selection process in order to test how policies will come together.
- 4. <u>Discuss how working group recommendations will be incorporated into the draft code:</u>
 Discussion included: final recommendations have been shared with the CodeNEXT core team and consultants. It is too early in the drafting stage to give a specific answer to each question included in final recommendations.

5. Standing Items:

- a) Discuss structure and organization of Advisory Group: none
- b) <u>Discuss work product type and goals for Advisory Group:</u> none
- c) <u>Update from members on their outreach activities:</u> Staff gave update on past and upcoming public events.
- d) Report from Working Group on Envision Tomorrow: Discussion included the scheduling of an Envision Tomorrow Working Group meeting to review the status of the Envision Tomorrow tool to-date.
- e) <u>Agenda items to consider for next meeting:</u> Quarterly public comment meeting will take place for 2.5 hours, with 30 minutes reserved at the beginning to review proposed focus area selections.
- 6. Public Comment: Comments included the hope that CodeNEXT does not homogenize the city; the advisory group is invited to take a tour or have a meeting with Tony Fisk to discuss and learn about green building and systems-based thinking; Hyde Park remains interested in being selected as a focus area; Seattle offers a menu-based approach for green infrastructure and infill; the code workshop should consider areas prone to flooding; interest in if the code will be provided to the public prior to the workshop; interest in how Community Character in a Box results, neighborhood plans, single-family neighborhoods, and non-conforming structures that exist today will be used in the workshop; gentrification is not caused by density but by the inability to accept density into our neighborhoods; invitation to advisory group members and public to attend meetings of the Austin Neighborhoods Council who feature a section on CodeNEXT during regular meetings; CodeNEXT needs to be more iterative; intent clauses are necessary in a code; motions in the advisory group should be made by all members; interest in how the code workshop will measure Imagine Austin priority programs; Imagine Austin is at the top of every advisory group agenda and provides the indicators that we should be using.
- 7. <u>CodeNEXT Team response to public comment:</u> The code workshop will look at both Euclidian and form-based districts. It will be looking at all elements of the land development code and assess how they are working together.

Meeting adjourned at 6:15 pm