






SUBJECT TRACT



ZONING BOUNDARY

CASE#: C15-2015-0115 Address: 101 W 32ND ST

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries



## CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet

14

DATE: Monday, November 9,  Y Brooke Bailey  - Michael Benaglio of William Burkhardt Y Eric Goff Y Melissa Hawthorne Y Don Leighton-Burw Melissa Neslund Y James Valadez	ut	CASE NUMBER: C15-2015-0115
YMichael Von Ohlen YKelly Blume	Motion to PP to Dec	14, 2015
OWNER/APPLICANT: David I ADDRESS: 101 32ND ST	Michael Biven	
six feet or a maximum height of line; and to B. Ordinance #040826-58, F a front yard higher than four fee	nces as Accessory Use f seven feet for a solid f Part 6, General Provision et and more solid than 1 II as 9 feet along the pro- Neighborhood Conser	es) to exceed the average height of ence constructed along a property ns, 10. Fences, to locate a fence in 1 to 1.5 ratio operty line of this lot in an "SF-3-
motion to Postpone to September vote; POSTPONED TO SEPTEM 2015 AT THE APPLICANT'S RE Member Melissa Neslund motion t second on a 8-0 vote; POSTPO	14, 2015, Board Membe IBER 14, 2015; SEPT 14 QUEST; OCT 12, 2015 T o Postpone to November 9 ONED TO November 9 OARD MEMBER MIC	Board Member Michael Von Ohlen r Melissa Hawthorne second on a 8-0 , 2015 POSTPONED TO October 12, he public hearing was closed on Board 9, 2015, Board Member James Valadez 9, 2015; Nov 9 POSTPONED TO CHAEL VON OHLEN, MELISSA
<ul><li>2. (a) The hardship for which the</li><li>(b) The hardship is not general</li><li>3. The variance will not alter the</li></ul>	variance is requested is to the area in which the character of the area adjact property, and will not in property is located beca	property is located because: acent to the property, will not impair apair the purpose of the regulations of

Chairman

**Executive Liaison** 

## Heldenfels, Leane

## 015-2015-0115

From:

david biven

Sent:

Tuesday, October 20, 2015 9:55 AM

To:

Heldenfels, Leane

Subject:

Re: Monday 10/12 Board of Adjustment Meeting,

Meeting opin

thank you, Leane!

I may need more time on this one. I'm hoping to put a plan together with the two concerned neighbors and have something we all agree on.

for this reason i'd like to request a postponement until 12/14.

thank you!

-Dave

On Oct 20, 2015, at 9:47 AM, Heldenfels, Leane < Leane. Heldenfels@austintexas.gov> wrote:

Out 11/9 packet deadline is next Mon 10/26 if you want to put anything in the advance packet. Or if you need to postpone to 12/14 meeting you can request a postponement, then the 12/14 packet deadline is 11/30. I'm working on my notices for 11/9 currently so may not be able to call until tomm. If you're uncertain of what they wanted, the video of the meeting is up on our website, so you can listen again:

## http://www.austintexas.gov/cityclerk/boards commissions/meetings/15 1.htm

You can skip over straight to your case and then toggle the bar over to the end if you just want to be sure you're capturing the info they requested and not have to listen to all the testimony, etc. again.

I'll still call tomm – but wanted to provide this info to you in the interim –

Leane

From: david biven [mailto:david bi mailtean]

Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2015 9:12 AM

To: Heldenfels, Leane

Subject: Re: Monday 10/12 Board of Adjustment Meeting, Wed 10/21 Environmental Board Meeting 6pm

Hey Leane,

Just wanted to follow up after our last BOA hearing. I just left you a voicemail, but i'd like to discuss the next meeting on Nov 9. Let me know a good time and i'll give you a call or you can reach me at 5122844640.

Thanks as always for your help with this.

-Dave

On Oct 7, 2015, at 4:03 PM, Heldenfels, Leane < Leane. Heldenfels@austintexas.gov> wrote:

Greetings 10/12 Board of Adjustment Applicants:

## CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet

4

DATE: Monday, October 12, 2015	CASE NUMBER: C15-2015-0115
Y Brooke BaileyY Michael BenaglioY William Burkhardtn/a Eric GoffY_ Vincent HardingY_ Melissa HawthorneY_ Don Leighton-BurwellY_ Melissa Neslund Motion to PP to 11-9-1Y_ James Valadez 2 <sup>nd</sup> the MotionoutMichael Von Ohlen	5
OWNER/APPLICANT: David Michael Biven	
ADDRESS: 101 32ND ST	
VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has reque A. Section 25-2-899 (D) (Fences as Accessory six feet or a maximum height of seven feet for a so line; and to B. Ordinance #040826-58, Part 6, General Prova a front yard higher than four feet and more solid the in order to maintain a wall as tall as 9 feet along the NCCD-NP", Family Residence – Neighborhood Con Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (North University	v Uses) to exceed the average height of clid fence constructed along a property visions, 10. Fences, to locate a fence in the nan 1 to 1.5 ratio the property line of this lot in an "SF-3-nservation Combining District —
BOARD'S DECISION: The public hearing was closed of motion to Postpone to September 14, 2015, Board Member 19, 2015, Postpone TO SEPTEMBER 14, 2015; SEPT 2015 AT THE APPLICANT'S REQUEST; OCT 12, 2011 Member Melissa Neslund motion to Postpone to November 9, 2011 on a 8-0 vote; POSTPONED TO November 9, 2011	per Melissa Hawthorne second on a 8-0 14, 2015 POSTPONED TO October 12, 15 The public hearing was closed on Board ber 9, 2015, Board Member James Valadez
<b>\</b>	d is unique to the property in that: the property is located because: adjacent to the property, will not impair ot impair the purpose of the regulations of

## C15-2015-0115

### Heldenfels, Leane

From:

David Biven

Sent:

Friday, September 04, 2015 12:26 PM

To:

Heldenfels, Leane

Subject:

Re: Monday August 10th Board of Adjustment Meeting

14.

Hey Leane,

thanks as always for your quick reply and helpful information. As discussed, can you please move my next BOA meeting to October 12? I have a conflict at work and won't be able to make the 9/14 date.

Thank you!

-Dave

On Aug 7, 2015, at 4:11 PM, Heldenfels, Leane < Leane. Heldenfels@austintexas.gov > wrote:

Oops – I forgot to mention that if you provided color or large format sets of information, we did slip those into the packet before delivery – sorry for forgetting to mention that – Leane

From: Alberto Rodriguez [


**Sent:** Friday, August 07, 2015 3:50 PM


To: Heldenfels, Leane

Subject: Re: Monday August 10th Board of Adjustment Meeting

Thanks for the info Leane. I just want to make sure that the board will receive the color drawing set that I submitted to you.

Thanks, Alberto






On Fri, Aug 7, 2015 at 3:33 PM, Heldenfels, Leane < Leane. Heldenfels@austintexas.gov > wrote: Greetings 8/10 Board of Adjustment Applicants:

## **CITY OF AUSTIN** Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet

l	4
	4

DATE: Monday, September 14, 2015	CASE NUMBER: C15-2015-0115
Brooke Bailey Michael Benaglio William Burkhardt In/a Eric Goff Vincent Harding (Chair) Melissa Hawthorne (Vice-Chair) Don Leighton-Burwell Out Melissa Neslund James Valadez Michael Von Ohlen	
OWNER/APPLICANT: David Michael Biven	
ADDRESS: 101 32ND ST	
VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has read.  A. Section 25-2-899 (D) (Fences as Access height of six feet or a maximum height of several along a property line; and to B. Ordinance #040826-58, Part 6, General fence in a front yard higher than four feet and in order to maintain a wall as tall as 9 feet alon "SF-3-NCCD-NP", Family Residence — Neighb District — Neighborhood Plan zoning district.	sory Uses) to exceed the average en feet for a solid fence constructed Provisions, 10. Fences, to locate a more solid than 1 to 1.5 ratio ng the property line of this lot in an orhood Conservation Combining
BOARD'S DECISION: The public hearing was Ohlen motion to Postpone to September 14, 201 second on a 8-0 vote; POSTPONED TO SEI POSTPONED TO October 12, 2015 AT THE APPL	15, Board Member Melissa Hawthorne PTEMBER 14, 2015; SEPT 14, 2015
\\	uested is unique to the property in that: which the property is located because: area adjacent to the property, will not y, and will not hope and will not be purpose of




## CITY OF AUSTIN TO APPLICATION TO BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT GENERAL VARIANCE/PARKING VARIANCE

WARNING: Filing of this appeal stops all affected construction activity.

PLEASE: APPLICATION MUST BE TYPED WITH ALL REQUESTED INFORMATION COMPLETED. STREET ADDRESS: 101 WEST 32ND ST. AUSTIN TEXAS 78705 LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Subdivision - <u>UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS</u> Lot(s) 1 Block\_1 Outlot 74 Division D I/We DAVID BIVEN on behalf of myself/ourselves as authorized agent for affirm that on MAY 31, 2015, hereby apply for a hearing before the Board of Adjustment for consideration to: (check appropriate items below and state what portion of the Land Development Code you are seeking a variance from) ERECT \_\_\_ ATTACH \_\_\_ COMPLETE \_\_\_ REMODEL X MAINTAIN MAINTAIN A PRIVACY WALL WHICH CURRENTLY BORDERS THE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY LISTED ABOVE AND SPEEDWAY AVENUE. WE SEEK A VARIANCE OF CODE 25-2-899 BECAUSE PORTIONS OF THE WALL EXCEED THE HEIGHT REQUIREMENTS IN THIS CODE SECTION. in a SF-3-NCCD-NP district. ( NOTH UNIVERSE (zoning district)

NOTE: The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional support documents.



VARIANCE FINDINGS: I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings (see page 5 of application for explanation of findings):

### **REASONABLE USE:**

The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use

A HEIGHT LIMIT FOR THE PRIVACY FENCE OF 6 TO 8 WOULD NOT ALLOW THE PROPERTY TO MAINTAIN PRIVACY IN OUR HOME. THE HOME SITS ATOP A HILL AND THE YARD DROPS APPROXIMATELY 4 FEET FROM THE BEGINNING OF FENCE LINE TO THE END. A HEIGHT OF  $6\,$ FEET AT THE BACK OF THE HOME WOULD GIVE PASSERBYS ON A BUSY STREET AND SIDEWALK VIEWS INTO THE WINDOWS AND YARD OF THE HOME. ADDITIONALLY THE YARD SLOPES DOWN TO THE SIDEWALK FROM THE SIDE OF THE PROPERTY MEANING THAT THE HEIGHT OF THE FENCE FROM THE INTERIOR YARD IS SHORTER THAN THE HEIGHT MEASURED FROM THE EXTERIOR SIDE. IN ORDER FOR THE FENCE TO STAY LEVEL FROM THE EXTERIOR SIDE. ALONG THE STEEP GRADIENT, THE FENCE HEIGHT MUST GO UP IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE PROPERTY SLOPE.

### HARDSHIP:

2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:

THIS HARDSHIP IS UNIQUE TO THIS PROPERTY BECAUSE OF THE UNIQUE PHYSICAL

- CHARACTERISTICS OF THE LOT AND THE PROXIMITY TO BUSY STREETS:

  1. THE PROPERTY SITS ATOP A HILL AND THE YARD DROPS APPROXIMATELY 4 FEET FROM THE BEGINNING OF FENCE LINE TO THE END. SINCE OUR PROPERTY GRADING CHANGES 4-5FT FROM THE FRONT YARD TO THE BACK, THE FIRST FLOOR BEDROOM WINDOWS ARE LEVEL WITH THE FRONT YARD AND ~4FT ABOVE GROUND IN THE BACKARD. THIS ROOM TAKES NOT ONLY OR FEDURAL BUT THE WALL. FACES NOT ONLY SPEEDWAY BUT ALSO ONCOMING TRAFFIC FROM 32ND ST. THE WALL OFFERS PRIVACY FROM ONCOMING HEADLIGHTS AND TRAFFIC NOISE FROM SPEEDWAY
- AND 32ND EAST OF SPEEDWAY.

  2. BEING ON THE CORNER OF SPEEDWAY AND 32ND, PUTS THE PROPERTY AT A UNIQUE DISADVANTAGE OF HAVING TO MAINTAIN PRIVACY NOT JUST FROM A SINGLE NEIGHBOR. BUT ALL TRAFFIC (PEDESTRIAN, AUTO, BIKE) RUNNING NORTH/SOUTH ON SPEEDWAY AND TRAFFIC MOVING WEST TOWARDS SPEEDWAY ON 32ND ST.
  - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
- 1. THIS PROPERTY IS THE ONLY PROPERTY SITTING AT THIS HEIGHT AND SLOPING DOWN AT SUCH A STEEP ANGLE ALONG THE SPEEDWAY CURVE.
- 2. THIS PROPERTY IS A CORNER LOT WITH MEANINGFUL TRAFFIC ALONG THE PROPERTY



3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

U.


THE CURRENT WALL IS ONE OF SEVERAL WALLS CURRENTLY BUILT FOR THE PROPERTIES IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD ALONG SPEEDWAY. IN FACT, THE CURRENT WALL SEEMS TO FLOW SEAMLESSLY SOUTH ON SPEEDWAY WITH ANOTHER WALL RUNNING ALONG THE PROPERTY SOUTH OF OUR PROPERTY. ONLY A SMALL PORTION OF THE WALL IS OVER THE HEIGHT REQUIREMENT AND DROPPING THE HEIGHT DOWN AT JUST THAT SECTION WILL ACTUALLY DIMINISH THE BEAUTY OF THE CURRENT STRUCTURE WHICH IN ITS CURRENT STATE IS LEVEL AND SMOOTH, DROPPING DOWN SLOWLY ALONG THE CURVE OF THE PROPERTY SLOPE. THE WALL SEAMLESSLY ABUTS THE LIMESTONE ENTRANCES INTO THE NEIGHBORHOOD. THE WALL SITS ATOP THE ORIGINAL FENCE LINE FROM WHEN THE PROPERTY WAS PURCHASED; AND WILL EVENTUALLY BE COVERED IN IVY SIMILAR TO OTHER WALLS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD. AFTER CONSTRUCTING THE WALL, SEVERAL NEIGHBORS EXPRESSED THEIR APPRECIATION THAT THE OLD DILAPIDATED FENCE AND WALL WERE REMOVED AND THE NEW WALL WAS ERECTING, GIVING PRIVACY TO NOT ONLY OUR PROPERTY BUT ALSO TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD FROM THE CONSTANT FLOW OF TRAFFIC, BIKERS AND WALKERS ALONG SPEEDWAY.


The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated.


**OWNERS CERTIFICATE** – I affirm that my statements contained in the complete application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed Jours 7	M Oun_ Mail Address	
City, State & Zip		-

NOTE:









# 101 West 32nd Street

David Biven - Owner

5110-90e-SD





Mr. & Mrs. David Biven 101 West 32nd Street Austin, Texas 78705

September 4, 2015

Dear Neighbor:

We are applying for a General Variance with the City of Austin Board of Adjustment. This variance is needed to maintain the current height of the wall bordering our property and Speedway Avenue.

Enclosed is a copy of our presentation materials, which we submitted to the Board of Adjustment, for you to review. Our request was heard at their August 10, 2015 meeting and is scheduled for final review at their October 12, 2015 meeting.

Since your property is close to ours, we are asking for your support. If you do not have any objections to our request, we would appreciate your signing at the bottom of this letter and returning it to us.

We are also planning to discuss this issue to the North University Neighborhood Association on September 9 at 6pm at the First English Lutheran Church. We would be more than happy to answer any questions you may have at this meeting or any other time by contacting us at 512-284-4640 or david.biven@gmail.com.


Sincerely,

Christina and David Biven

Yes, we support this application.

Signed: Carol Mackay Date: 5 Sept. 2015

Address: 100 Laurel Ln, autin TX 18705



11

September 4, 2015

Dear Neighbor:

We are applying for a General Variance with the City of Austin Board of Adjustment. This variance is needed to maintain the current height of the wall bordering our property and Speedway Avenue.

Enclosed is a copy of our presentation materials, which we submitted to the Board of Adjustment, for you to review. Our request was heard at their August 10, 2015 meeting and is scheduled for final review at their October 12, 2015 meeting.

Since your property is close to ours, we are asking for your support. If you do not have any objections to our request, we would appreciate your signing at the bottom of this letter and returning it to us.

We are also planning to discuss this issue to the North University Neighborhood Association on September 9 at 6pm at the First English Lutheran Church. We would be more than happy to answer any questions you may have at this meeting or any other time by contacting us at 512-284-4640 or david.biven@gmail.com.

Sincerely,

Christina and David Biven

Yes, we suppor	t this applica	itloη.		
	/			
Signed:		<b>u</b> .	Date:	9-6.15
			A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	_
Address:	106	W 32nd	TI	78705



Mr. & Mrs. David Biven 101 West 32nd Street Austin, Texas 78705

September 4, 2015

Dear Neighbor:

We are applying for a General Variance with the City of Austin Board of Adjustment. This variance is needed to maintain the current height of the wall bordering our property and Speedway Avenue.

Enclosed is a copy of our presentation materials, which we submitted to the Board of Adjustment, for you to review. Our request was heard at their August 10, 2015 meeting and is scheduled for final review at their October 12, 2015 meeting.

Since your property is close to ours, we are asking for your support. If you do not have any objections to our request, we would appreciate your signing at the bottom of this letter and returning it to us.

We are also planning to discuss this issue to the North University Neighborhood Association on September 9 at 6pm at the First English Lutheran Church. We would be more than happy to answer any questions you may have at this meeting or any other time by contacting us at 512-284-4640 or david.biven@gmail.com.

Sincerely,

Christina and David Biven

Yes, we support this application.		
Signed: Day Mowa	Date:	×
Address: 103 W. 32 <sup>NA</sup> S4		+



Mr. & Mrs. David Biven 101 West 32nd Street Austin, Texas 78705

September 4, 2015

Dear Neighbor:

We are applying for a General Variance with the City of Austin Board of Adjustment. This variance is needed to maintain the current height of the wall bordering our property and Speedway Avenue.

Enclosed is a copy of our presentation materials, which we submitted to the Board of Adjustment, for you to review. Our request was heard at their August 10, 2015 meeting and is scheduled for final review at their October 12, 2015 meeting.


Since your property is close to ours, we are asking for your support. If you do not have any objections to our request, we would appreciate your signing at the bottom of this letter and returning it to us.

We are also planning to discuss this issue to the North University Neighborhood Association on September 9 at 6pm at the First English Lutheran Church. We would be more than happy to answer any questions you may have at this meeting or any other time by contacting us at 512-284-4640 or david.biven@gmail.com

Sincerely,

Christina and David Biven

Yes, we support this application.	
Signed: (1974), Park (4)	Date: 9-8-75
Address: 105 W. 32ml St	
\$ \$4	



# 01 West 32nd Street

David Biven - Owner/Occupier

20

## Details

Mailing Date: July 30, 2015

Case Number: C15-2015-0115

Please be advised that the City of Austin has received an application for a variance from the Land Development

Applicant: Owner: Address:	David Michael Biven, (512) 284-4640  Same 101 W 32ND ST
----------------------------	---

Variance Request(s):

The applicant has requested a variance(s) from:


- Section 25-2-899 (D) (Fences as Accessory Uses) to exceed the average height of six feet or a maximum height of seven feet for a solid fence constructed along a property line; and to
- fence in a front yard higher than four feet and more solid than 1 to 1.5 ratio Ordinance #040826-58, Part 6, General Provisions, 10. Fences, to locate a m

in order to construct a wall as tall as 9 feet along the property line of this lot Combining District – Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (North University) in an "SF-3-NCCD-NP", Family Residence - Neighborhood Conservation



## Location

Property is located on the SW corner of West 32nd Street and Speedway



## Location


Corner lot within close proximity to UT, capitol, downtown, etc

This consistent traffic along Speedway, as well as, the intersection at corner of 32nd and Speedway is unique to this property

## History

- We purchased this house on 9/21/2013
- The first renovation projects completed was a new privacy wall along Speedway
- This wall was built on the existing location of a wood fence with a limestone base
- This previous fence was not level and was also starting to fail in some areas
- much needed privacy along one of the main thoroughfare's in the neighborhood The new wall upgraded the aesthetic appeal of the property and also provided
- The wall was designed to match the look and feel of the neighborhood, specifically the wall on the adjacent property to the south
- Although we were unaware of the height limitation for this project, the wall was designed to effectively obstruct traffic views into the house while also staying level across the property to enhance the aesthetic appeal





## lans Submitted

Succession		INSTALL CINDER BLOCK WALL	FT HIVE AT START, M	TO DEMAIN LEVEL OF HOUSE BACK SOLTH END IR "9 FT LOOK BUIL BUIL ON TOP OF OPIGINAL FEAVE LINE
Dervess A4	The Pades	SECUSION TO TO		

# Original from Speedway





image from google maps


- · Corner lot is along Speedway, a main north south thoroughfare to the university
- Property grading drops 4ft from north side of fence to south side
- Original fence did not take into account the lot grading, was in need of repair, was not level, did not provide appropriate privacy, and was also much taller in the front yard than the new wall

# Jpdated from Speedway




better fits the look of the neighborhood (matching the adjacent neighbor and provides much needed privacy in the living rooms and bed rooms and also · New wall is built on existing fence line but is level across the entire yard, allowing for better view of front yard and 32nd street)








# Uneven Lot





- Lot slopes downward ~4ft from the north to south end of the wall
- · Keep the wall level enhances the aesthetics and maintains privacy in the back of the house
- ullet The house is built level to the front yard so back of house is ~4ft higher than backyard















# Aesthetics



New wall is built on new solid footing, is level across the entire yard; provides much needed privacy in the living rooms and bed rooms, and also better fits the look of the neighborhood (matching the adjacent neighbor and allowing for better view of front yard and 32nd street)



# Key Points

- Reasonable Use:
- $\alpha$ privacy for residents; this corner lot, with 4ft of grading change, alongside The 6ft fence height restriction generally offers enough height to provide busy street, does not allow for privacy with a 6ft fence
- Hardship:
- Corner lot with 4 feet of height difference along fence line from north to south side of property
- Busy street / Intersection / STOP sign in line sight of bedroom window
- Area Character
- Matches neighbors wall along Speedway
- Enables privacy in house



## Summary

- house while also staying level across the property to enhance the Although we were unaware of the height limitation for this project, the wall was designed to effectively obstruct traffic views into the aesthetic appeal
- This project and the many other renovations done to the property have all been upgrades improving not only the property, but also Aldridge Place as a whole
- feedback and believe we have the support of the broader Aldridge Place community and North University Neighborhood Association Since completion we have received overwhelmingly positive
- We sincerely appreciate your time and consideration



## PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental your neighborhood.

or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the Mostar ☐ I am in favor Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, Jeane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov 31-01-6 Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments ☐ I object Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, August 10th, 2015 Also the wall is attached to co Case Number: C15-2015-0115, 101 West 32nd St. received will become part of the public record of this case. 512-469-9304 Your address(es) affected by this application the neighborhood fit in with the Elgan is too Stoine 200 W. 32nd St Signatur Janice Moyle Nistorie 11-13:33 Your Name (please print) Daytime Telephone:\_ Comments:

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned until noon the day of the hearing (comments received after noon may not be seen by the Board at this hearing) to:

City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor

Leane Heldenfels

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-1088

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Scan & Email to: leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Conneil; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case. Case Number: C15-2015-0115, 101 West 32<sup>nd</sup> St. Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leane, heldenfels@austimexas.gov Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, August 10th, 2015 ROBER BINKLEY Ham in favor Your Name (please print) Mobject 105 W. 33RD ST, PUSIW, TX 78705 Your address(es) affected by this application Signature Daytime Telephone: 512-445-4400 Comments: 1 OBJET ON MULTIPLE LEVELO: THE WALL IS NOT A FILES Q) THE WALL IS AT LEAST BOUR FORT HIGHER THAN THE SIX FOOT CODE PHI VARRIEURI SHOULD NOT FOLLOW THE CONSTRUCTION, IT SHOULD PRICED IT (1) IT SENDS A HARSH MESSAGE TO NEIGHBORD AND PASSERS BY, If you use this form to comment, it may be returned until noon the day of the hearing (comments received after noon may not be seen by the Board at this hearing) to: City of Austin Development Services Department/ 1st Floor Leane Heldenfels P. O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767-1088 Fax: (512) 974-6305

Scan & Email to: leane.heldenfels@austimexas.gov

