

Minutes
PARKS AND RECREATION BOARD
February 27, 1979

The Parks and Recreation Board met for its regular meeting at 7:30 p.m., Tuesday, February 27, 1979, at the Austin Parks and Recreation Department. Present were Mr. Garrison, Chairman; Mr. Hall, Vice Chairman; Mr. Bray, Secretary; and members Mrs. Arnold, Mr. Britton, Mr. Coffee, Miss Dominguez, Mrs. Isely, Mr. Nalle, Mr. Ramos, Mr. Rose, Mr. Shaw and Ms. Stockard. There were no members absent. Member Emeritus present was Mr. Coates and Member Emeritus absent was Mrs. Crenshaw. Staff members present were Leonard Ehrler, Mike Segrest, Roy Guerrero, Dorothy Nan McLean, Bob Delaney, Preston Wheeler, Jo Bright and Louise Nivison. Visitors included Mr. Jack Bowen; Mr. Johnny Johns, President Austin Branch American Society of Civil Engineers; Mr. Michael Guzik, Hammer Engineers; David Cornwall and Gordon Hall, U. T. Water Ski Team; Bill Panick, Supervisor of General Inspection, Building Inspection Department; John Corry, Austin Crew Team; Bill Martin, President of the Balcones Civic Association; Mr. John Harris, Attorney; Mr. Robert Youens, State National Archery Champion; and Mr. Jerry Hammick.

The meeting was called to order at 7:30 p.m., by Mr. Garrison, Chairman.

Mr. Garrison asked for approval of the minutes of January 23, 1979. It was moved by Mrs. Isely and seconded by Mr. Coffee that the minutes be approved as printed. The motion carried unanimously.

ITEMS FROM BOARD MEMBERS

Mr. Coffee stated that he was concerned about the types of concession buildings being requested for construction on park land by various organizations. Mr. Coffee stated that in order to secure and maintain a high quality of design for buildings to be constructed in Austin parks by private concessionaires, he would like to move that:

"Buildings proposed by concessionaires or others desiring to construct buildings or other facilities on City park property will conform to the following criteria:

A. Buildings Designed by the Applicant:

1. Buildings will be designed and sealed by an architect registered in the State of Texas or designed and signed by a building designer - recognized by the American Institute of Building Design.
2. Landscape plans will be designed and sealed by a registered Landscape Architect.
3. Engineering projects will be designed and sealed by a registered Engineer.
4. The designer of the project will be present at the presentation to the Parks and Recreation Board.
5. Final designs will be approved by the Parks and Recreation Board.

B. Prototype Park Structures:

1. The concessionaire or applicant may construct a desired building or park structure that conforms to "Prototype" park structure plans previously approved as suitable by the Parks and Recreation Department staff.
2. Final approval will be by the Parks and Recreation Board."

Mr. Coffee explained that prototype park structures could be structures that the Parks and Recreation Department staff approves as suitable for parks. He also explained that he had a large book of National Recreation and Park Association plans that can be used. These plans are supposed to be the best all over the country that are sent to N.R.P.A. Mr. Coffee stated that these plans could be furnished and the organizations could just duplicate them. Mr. Garrison stated that since we want to encourage private enterprise to help and endeavor to participate in capital expenditures, would this hinder by expense, any projected plans that might be planned. Mr. Coffee stated that he would venture to say that many architects do plans for Little League by donating their services, and he did not feel that it would increase costs. Under the prototype plans the organizations could use the N.R.P.A. plans and that way buildings would be constructed that we want in the parks. Ms. Stockard asked if an organization did not have an architect could they go to the Parks and Recreation Department. Mr. Ehrler stated that they would not come to the Parks and Recreation Department as we do not have engineers or architects on staff. The Parks and Recreation Department does have Landscape Architects and anything designed by them has the landscape architectural seal stamped on the plans. The Construction Management Department does not tell the Parks and Recreation Department what to build, but they make sure that what we build is based on sound designs. After further discussion, it was moved by Mr. Bray and seconded by Mr. Hall that the item be referred to the Parks and Recreation Department staff for recommendation and placed as an item for action on the agenda for the next night meeting. The motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Garrison appointed Mr. Rose as Chairman of the Elisabet Ney Museum Renovation Planning Advisory Committee, and Mr. Hall as Chairman of the Tennis Center Planning Advisory Committee. It was moved by Mr. Bray and seconded by Ms. Stockard that these appointments be approved. The motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Garrison welcomed Mr. Coates to the meeting.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS WATER SKI TEAM

Mr. Gordon Hall stated that the University of Texas Water Ski Team were requesting permission to anchor a regulation ski jump on the extreme north section of Lake Austin. After talking with Mr. Preston Wheeler, Supervisor of the Park Rangers, they realized they could not comply with the lighting standards for Lake Austin and would like to change their request to Lake Long. There is no night boating on Lake Long and this would eliminate the need for lighting the ski jump as there is a section set aside there for water skiing only. Mr. Bray asked if the Parks and Recreation Department staff has reviewed the alternate site. Mr. Ehrler stated that they have not. Mr. Wheeler

stated that he could foresee no problems with Lake Long. The problem with Lake Austin was that the area they wanted is one of the most dangerous spots on the lake. Mr. Garrison asked if the ski jump would be removed at the end of May. Mr. Gordon Hall stated that they would like to leave the ski jump in the lake all the time if possible. Mr. Nalle asked that the Board consider this request only for the calendar year of 1979. They could come back again for their 1980 request. Mr. Wheeler stated that barring any legal problems he had no problem with the request. Mr. Rose stated that he felt consideration should be given to liability insurance for the City in case of an accident. After further discussion, it was moved by Mr. Nalle and seconded by Mr. Bray, that the Parks and Recreation Board recommend to City Council approval of the request from the University of Texas Water Ski Team to place a temporary ski jump in Lake Long for the calendar year 1979, on a site mutually agreeable with the Park Rangers, and subject to approval by the Legal Department. There was discussion concerning public use of the ski jump. Mr. Bray stated that ski clinics could be held but he did not feel the general public should use the ski jump. Mr. Gordon Hall stated that they would be glad to have ski clinics on weekends. After further discussion, Mr. Coffee moved the amendment that ski clinics for the public be provided by the club. Mr. Garrison asked for vote on the following motion: That the Parks and Recreation Board recommend to City Council approval of the request from the University of Texas Water Ski Team to place a temporary ski jump in Lake Long for the calendar year 1979, on a site mutually agreeable with the Park Rangers, subject to approval by the Legal Department, and the provision of ski clinics by the University of Texas Water Ski Team for the public. The motion carried with Mr. Coffee, Mr. Nalle, Mr. Hall, Mr. Bray, Ms. Stockard, Mrs. Arnold, Mr. Britton and Mr. Ramos voting yes; Mr. Rose, Miss Dominguez and Mrs. Isely voting no, and Mr. Shaw abstaining.

AUSTIN CREW TEAM

Mr. Garrison stated that the next item on the agenda was consideration of approval of a motorboat on Town Lake by the Austin Crew Team. Mr. John Curry stated that he represented the Austin Crew Team. They were asking for permission to place a collapsible rubber boat with a 15 h.p. motor on Town Lake for safety and coaching reasons from March 11 through 23, 1979. The area on Town Lake would be between Lamar Boulevard and Congress Avenue Bridges. The Wichita State Crew Team would also be practicing and racing. Mr. Nalle explained the Navigation Board had previously approved this request and the only requirement would be that the rubber boat have life preservers and comply with the Texas Water Safety Act. Mr. Garrison asked if the Parks and Recreation Department staff had any objections. Mr. Ehrler stated that they had none but would like to ask Mr. Curry to publish their practice and racing times so the public would be able to watch their performance. It was moved by Mr. Hall and seconded by Mrs. Arnold that the Parks and Recreation Board recommend to City Council that the request of the Austin Crew Team to place a motorboat on Town Lake, between Lamar Boulevard and Congress Avenue Bridges, from March 11 through 23, 1979, for safety and coaching reasons, be approved. The motion carried unanimously.

MOTORBOAT IN TOWN LAKE LAGOON FOR CONCRETE CANOE RACES

Mr. Garrison stated that the next item on the agenda was consideration of recommendation for approval of a motorboat in the Town Lake Lagoon at Fiesta Gardens for concrete canoe races. Mr. Johnny Johns, President of the Austin Branch, American Society of Civil Engineers stated that the Texas Section of the American Society of Civil Engineers will be holding its spring meeting in Austin in March, 1979. One of the events of the meeting will be a concrete canoe race in which students from the various A.S.C.E. Chapters of the major universities in Texas will compete. The location for the race is set at Fiesta Gardens. The event will be held on Saturday, March 31, 1979 from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon and they would like approval to use a rescue boat with a 7 h.p. motor for safety purposes, in the Town Lake lagoon. Mr. Johns explained that the students make the concrete canoes in the labs and they weigh approximately 250 to 300 pounds. Mrs. Arnold asked who would be responsible for removing the canoes should they sink. Mr. Johns stated that it would be their responsibility. Mr. Ehrler stated that the Parks and Recreation Department had no problems with the request. It was moved by Mrs. Arnold and seconded by Mr. Coffee that the Parks and Recreation Board recommend to the City Council approval of the request of the Texas Section of the American Society of Civil Engineers to place a 7 h.p. rescue motorboat in the Town Lake lagoon in connection with concrete canoe races on March 31, 1979, from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon. The motion carried unanimously.

BOW FISHING

Mr. Garrison stated that the next item was consideration of recommendation for approval of re-enactment of enabling ordinances for bow fishing for rough fish in Town Lake and Lake Austin. Mr. John Harris was present to speak on this item. Mr. Harris stated that Section 29.6 of the City of Austin Code of Ordinances was inadvertently repealed in 1972 when the Council acted in response to certain State legislation pre-empting these areas. Bow fishing is a recreational sport. Bow fishermen use small boats with not more than a 5 h.p. electric motor and bow fishing lights on the boats. They shoot only rough fish, such as carp and buffalo along the bank, from 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. January, February, and March are the best months for bow fishing, however, it is done all year round. All fish caught in this manner will be removed from the lake. In checking the ordinance before starting bow fishing, he discovered that Section 29.6 had been repealed and bow fishing was not legal. If the ordinance could be reinstated, he would like to see Mansfield Dam substituted for Tom Miller Dam, to allow bow fishing in Lake Austin. Mr. Coffee stated that he could not believe that bow fishing needed to be done along Town Lake. Mr. Harris explained that rough fish eat bass eggs and there were a great number of rough fish in Town Lake. Mr. Harris stated that the Austin Tomorrow Ongoing Goals Committee recommended the highest use of recreation facilities in the city, and bow fishing in Town Lake was recreational. Mr. Coffee stated that the City's recreation facilities were certainly being used. Mr. Harris stated that they were during the day. In the past they had had no problems with bow fishing as this is done at night, and they do not go around boat docks. Town Lake has some really large rough fish and they felt they were providing a service by removing the fish. Mr. Garrison asked what the Parks and Recreation Department staff recommended.

Mr. Segrest stated that up to the time that the ordinance was apparently inadvertently repealed, bow fishing was allowed and there had never been any problems. Mr. Harris stated that he was an honest, law abiding citizen and this was the reason that he was bringing the item to the Parks and Recreation Board. In all probability, bow fishing was still going on but he did want to do the right thing by having the ordinance corrected to once again include bow fishing.

Mr. Rose asked if the Parks and Recreation Department staff had any problems with the request. Mr. Ehrler stated the department had none. Ms. Stockard stated that bow fishing has been illegal for 7 years and where did they bow fish before that. Mr. Harris stated that they bow fished in Lake Travis, Lake Austin and Town Lake, but Lake Austin and Town Lake were the best for this recreational sport. Mr. Nalle stated that the motors were not a problem, but the lights on the boats were on Lake Austin. The bow fishing lights reflect off the water and on to other boats. The secondary issue was whether or not the Parks and Recreation Board want people fishing at night in these areas. He felt the bow fishermen were really doing a service by taking out the rough fish as they were really hard on the game fish. He felt the lights on their boats offended him on Lake Austin, but he had no objection to Town Lake. Ms. Stockard asked about the safety aspect. Mr. Garrison stated that the bow fishermen fish right along the bank. He asked if an arrow could ricochet off the water. Mr. Harris stated that this has never happened. The arrows are attached to a line which acts as a stabilizer, and you take very short shots. Mr. Harris addressed Mr. Nalle and asked if he would still have objections to the lights on Lake Austin if they agreed to turn off the bow fishing lights when not along the shore, and when crossing the lake. The Water Safety Act required that they have running lights and these could be used when not along the shore. Mr. Nalle stated that even when they were along the shore that their lights did shine out into the main stream and he still had a problem with the lights. Mr. Garrison asked Preston Wheeler, Supervisor of Park Rangers, if he had any problem with the request as far as water safety. Mr. Wheeler stated that he had no problems at all, and there would still be people fishing at night for bass with conventional fishing equipment. Mr. Harris stated that they would work with everyone in any way they could. They keep the bow fishing lights shining down because if they shine out it scares the fish before you can get them in range. Mr. Rose stated that prior to 1972 bow fishing was legal and in 1972 the ordinance was inadvertently changed. Apparently there had never been any problems.

It was moved by Mr. Rose and seconded by Mrs. Arnold, that the Parks and Recreation Board recommend approval of the re-enactment of enabling ordinances for bow fishing for rough fish in Town Lake and Lake Austin. Mr. Rose stated that he did not feel the Board could turn down a group with a reasonable request. The group were interested in pursuing the recreational sport of bow fishing legally and he did not feel this was an unreasonable request. He felt they have demonstrated their public responsibility by the fact that they asked to appear before the Parks and Recreation Board with their request. Ms. Stockard asked if Mr. Rose would consider an amendment to just Lake Austin. She did not like Town Lake to be included. Mr. Rose stated that considering the fact that they only wanted to use the areas at night, he did not feel the request was unreasonable for the time they were requesting. He felt we have the resources to monitor the activity and he still felt it was a reasonable request. Ms. Stockard stated that for 7 years it has been illegal and she

felt they were opening up the matter again, and during the 7 years people did pursue the recreational sport on Lake Travis. Mr. Nalle stated that the sport has been pursued by some even though it has been illegal.

Mr. Harris stated that they would be more than willing, if their request was approved, to have the recreational sport reviewed for one year to see if there were any problems or complaints. If there should be a problem for the City of Austin from this sport, then he would want the bow fishermen causing trouble off the lakes, as their actions would reflect on the others. Mr. Britton expressed concern about injuries from the sport. Preston Wheeler stated that there have never been any injuries to his knowledge. Mr. Britton stated that he was worried about danger and not lights. Ms. Stockard asked what Mr. Wheeler based his remarks on. Mr. Wheeler stated that looking at the records kept by the Park Ranger Division from the time it was first organized, there have never been any injuries caused from bow fishing on Town Lake or Lake Austin. Mr. Harris stated that he recently received a Parks and Wildlife Department report and there was not one archery accident or injury in the State of Texas during 1978-1979. There were many gun injuries from hunting. Mr. Garrison asked for a vote on the motion. The motion passed with Mr. Rose, Miss Dominguez, Mr. Shaw, Mr. Hall, Mr. Bray, Mrs. Arnold and Mr. Britton voting yes; and Mr. Nalle, Mr. Coffee, Mrs. Isely, Ms. Stockard and Mr. Ramos voting no. Mr. Garrison did not vote.

Mr. Ehrler stated that this would have to go to City Council as an item from the Parks and Recreation Board, but he would recommend that Mr. Harris make his presentation to the Council as he has to the Board, and that the Board action be a part of his presentation. Mr. Harris stated that he would like to say to the Board members voting no, that bow fishermen would do everything they could to prove this was recreation, will not hurt anyone, would cause no problems and they would police themselves. If there should be any problems, he would like to know about them as soon as possible. Mr. Garrison thanked Mr. Harris. Ms. Stockard asked that the minutes on this request be sent in along with the Board recommendation as showing the concerns of those voting no.

FEE WAIVER

Mr. Garrison stated the next item on the agenda was consideration of recommendation of approval of a fee waiver at Walter E. Long Metropolitan Park for disabled veterans. Mr. Jack Bowen stated that he was a totally and permanently disabled veteran and in addition had leukemia. He personally found great peace of mind in going out to Lake Long and he did go out every day that the weather was permissible. He felt it was healthy for him and he also felt it would be healthy for the disabled veteran segment of society. Mr. Bowen stated that if a department or person was totally dependent on the fees charged to go into Lake Long he would not make such a request. The Texas Legislature last year passed legislation to allow disabled veterans to enter State parks free of charge. Ms. Stockard asked what the fees were for Lake Long. Mike Segrest stated that the fees were \$1 per car or 50¢ per person if you walk in, for all day use. Ms. Stockard stated that a few weeks ago she argued for exceptions for those who could not afford the \$3.50 charge recommended for the learn-to-swim program. She was not opposed to disabled veterans but felt that if exceptions were made it should be across the board for everyone who was disabled, absolutely unable to pay, etc. Mr. Coffee stated that he did not recall the board recommending that no exceptions be made. He felt one of the concepts the Board would be looking at are ways to make exceptions to allow requests like this. Mr. Garrison stated that the City Council did

speaking to that point and questioned he and Mr. Ehrler about the problem. Mr. Garrison stated that he had an employee in his office who was a 100% disabled veteran and this man had told him that he was compensated monetarily by the Federal Government. Of course this does not compensate for the loss of limbs, etc., and certainly did not compensate for their loyalty to our country, but in talking to this veteran the man felt he did receive enough compensation. Ms. Stockard stated that she still felt they should make an exclusion for everyone who had a problem in paying fees for Parks and Recreation Department programs. Mr. Shaw stated that he thought Mr. Bowen did not have so much in mind waiving a fee but recognition of the disabled veteran. Mr. Shaw stated that he has been in Veterans Hospitals and seen these people who have lost arms and legs, and he personally felt it would be a tremendous way to honor those who have been so loyal to their country. He felt this would be a small way to honor the people who were disabled to the point of not being able to go out and make a living for themselves. It was moved by Mr. Shaw and seconded by Mr. Rose that the Parks and Recreation Board recommend to the City Council approval of the waiver of entrance fees to Walter E. Long Metropolitan Park for disabled veterans. Mr. Ehrler commented on the proposed policies for fees and charges for the Parks and Recreation Department and explained this request could be included in the fees and charges packet that he hoped the Board would recommend to City Council. A special fee could be charged for the low income, welfare, disabled, veterans, and handicapped to whatever degree applicable. After further discussion, Mr. Coffee suggested that the motion be tabled until a policy on fees and charges can be formulated. Mr. Shaw withdrew his motion and Mr. Rose withdrew his second until a policy on Parks and Recreation Department fees and charges can be finalized. Mr. Garrison thanked Mr. Bowen and explained to him that consideration of his request would be made at the time Parks and Recreation Department fees and charges can be reviewed and approved. At that time the Board would get back in touch with him.

BALCONES HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN

Mr. Garrison stated that the next item on the agenda was consideration of approval of the Balcones Hills Neighborhood Plan. Mr. Bill Martin, President, Balcones Civic Association, stated that the plan was started in late 1976. The area is approximately 850 acres, bounded by Balcones Drive on the east, Highway 183 on the northeast, Loop 360 to the northwest and Spicewood Springs Road to the south and west. The number one priority was the need for additional park space. Goals were: (1) To complete the land acquisition and development of a neighborhood park to serve the Balcones Hills neighborhood; (2) To encourage the development of a district park readily accessible to residents of the Balcones Hills area and in the Bull Creek Watershed; (3) To encourage the use of school sites and facilities for parks, recreation, and community service activities; (4) To acquire greenbelt areas wherever feasible and to extend the Bull Creek Greenbelt into our area to preserve the natural beauty of the Bull Creek Watershed; and (5) To establish a neighborhood activity center possibly in conjunction with a library facility. Mr. Martin stated that they have been working with the Parks and Recreation Department staff and the Property Management Department, and the Parks and Recreation Department staff have been most cooperative and helpful. Mr. Garrison stated that the plan was exceptional and it would be beneficial if other neighborhood groups throughout the city could provide such outstanding input from the community. Mr. Martin stated that he wanted to apologize to the Board for presenting the plan to the City Council first, but there were a number of

zoning cases before the Council that affected their neighborhood and they needed to present their concerns to them. Mr. Bray asked if the plan has been approved by the City Council. Mr. Martin stated that it has not. The plan was presented to City Council on January 18 and to the Planning Commission on February 13, 1979. It will be considered for approval some time in March. Mrs. Arnold asked if the Parks and Recreation Department staff were prepared to comment on what was being proposed currently in this area. Mr. Ehrler stated that money was currently available in the Capital Improvements Program and they were trying to purchase property in the area as soon as possible. Mr. Martin stated that they would have no problem with joint school facilities or combining the \$148,000 previously funded for a park site in the Balcones Hills area with monies approved in FY 1978-1979 for a Spicewood Springs park and purchase a larger park site to be possibly located on either side of Spicewood Springs Road between Mesa Drive and Loop 360 to serve both communities. After further discussion, Mr. Garrison thanked Mr. Martin for the presentation and stated that the Parks and Recreation Board would take the matter under advisement.

BUFORD TOWER

Mr. Garrison stated that the next item on the agenda was consideration of recommendation of approval of proposed floodlighting at the Buford Tower, by the Women in Construction. Mr. Ehrler stated that Parks and Recreation Department staff met with Mr. Guzik and representatives of the Women in Construction recently on the proposed floodlighting plan for the Buford Tower, and the project has been reviewed by the Planning Department. The Parks and Recreation Department has no problem with the proposal. Mr. Guzik explained that he worked with Hammer Engineers and has been working with the Women in Construction to design floodlighting for the Buford Tower for use at night. Mrs. Arnold stated that after the Women in Construction paid for the project, would the project become City property and would the City be responsible for the maintenance. Mr. Ehrler stated that was correct. Mrs. Arnold asked if the money was budgeted. Mr. Ehrler stated that he did not know about this year, but it would be in the proposed operating budget for 1979-1980. Mrs. Arnold asked if the project fits in with the overall lighting plan for Town Lake Beautification. Mr. Ehrler stated that it did. Mr. Coffee asked how large the boxes would be for the lights. Mr. Guzik stated that they would be 3½' tall and 3' wide. Mr. Coffee asked why they could not recess them in the ground. Mr. Guzik stated that the cost would be the problem. Mr. Coffee stated that he had problems with the two that would almost be on the curb and asked if the Construction Management Department had approved the plans. Mr. Guzik stated that they had. Mr. Ehrler stated that the Women in Construction would also be paying to modify the parking lot and a landscape plan for the area. It was moved by Mr. Bray and seconded by Mr. Rose that the Parks and Recreation Board recommend approval of the proposed lighting plan for Buford Tower to be paid for by the Women in Construction. There was further discussion concerning the project. Mr. Rose asked what the project would cost. Mr. Guzik stated that it would cost \$4,467. Mr. Coffee stated that the Board did appreciate the contribution but did not feel that everything contributed had to be accepted. Mr. Bray withdrew his motion and Mr. Rose withdrew his second. Mr. Bray stated that he did not feel the Board should act on the matter as Mr. Coffee had some concerns that seemed valid. Mr. Garrison asked if Mr. Guzik could meet with Mr. Coffee and see if something could be worked out. It was moved by Ms. Stockard and seconded by Mr. Shaw that the Parks

and Recreation Board recommend approval of the floodlighting project for Buford Tower, subject to Mr. Coffee's voluntary contribution of working with Mr. Guzik in securing the best aesthetic plan for the area. The motion carried with Mr. Coffee abstaining and Mr. Rose and Mrs. Arnold voting no.

CURFEW HOURS FOR TOWN LAKE AREA

Mr. Garrison stated that the next item on the agenda was consideration of recommendation of approval of a proposed change of curfew hours for the Town Lake area. Ms. Stockard asked what was being changed. Preston Wheeler stated that previously the curfew for the Town Lake Hike and Bike Trail was 10:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m. As there were early and late joggers it seemed more feasible to change the curfew to 12:00 midnight to 5:00 a.m. Mr. Wheeler stated that the Park Rangers will adjust to the change of hours and there would not be an increase in cost. It was moved by Mrs. Arnold and seconded by Mrs. Isely to recommend to the City Council curfew hours along the Town Lake Hike and Bike Trail be changed to 12:00 midnight to 5:00 a.m. as provided in the proposed ordinance before them. The motion carried unanimously.

FEES AND CHARGES

Mr. Ehrler asked if a work study could be set on proposed policies for fees and charges for the Parks and Recreation Department within the next two weeks. After discussion, it was agreed that a work study session would be held in lieu of a tour, Monday, March 12, 1979, from 5:00 to 7:00 p.m.

MISCELLANEOUS

Mr. Guerrero stated that the Givens Recreation Center hopefully would be dedicated very soon. Mr. Ehrler stated that this dedication would be coupled with dedication by the City Council of several other projects. The City Council was planning to dedicate all of the projects on a bus tour. They would be stopping at Givens Recreation Center and the Board would be notified of the date. Mr. Guerrero stated that the Givens Recreation Center Construction Planning Committee would be hosts at the center at that time. This included Mr. Carl Turner, a former Parks and Recreation Board member.

The meeting adjourned at 9:45 p.m.