

MINUTES
PARKS AND RECREATION BOARD
April 24, 1979

The Parks and Recreation Board met for its regular meeting at 7:30 p.m., Tuesday, April 24, 1979, at the Parks and Recreation Department. Present were Mr. Garrison, Chairman; Mr. Hall, Vice Chairman; Mr. Bray, Secretary; and members Mrs. Arnold, Mr. Britton, Mr. Coffee, Mrs. Isely, Mr. Nalle, Mr. Rose, Mr. Ramos, Mr. Shaw, and Mr. Stockard. Miss Dominguez was absent. Members Emeritus absent were Mr. Coates and Mrs. Crenshaw. Staff members present were Leonard Ehrler, Roy Guerrero, Dorothy Nan McLean, David Reed, Cliff Warrick, John Hughes, Bob Delaney, Preston Wheeler, Don Spence and Louise Nivison. Visitors present were Joni McConnell, Fran Wells, Dedra Cox, Mary Reid, Gordon and Carol Harlan, George Harlan, Mrs. Ann Cipolla, H. A. Yocum, Joyce and Bill Snodgrass, Mr. and Mrs. George Harlan, Sr., from the Zoo for Austin; Mr. Tom Mountz, Engineering Department; Mr. Bill Panick, Building Inspection Department; Mrs. Maggie Chapman; Mr. Archile Petit; Mrs. Delores Duffie; Bob Prasatik, Vance Nauman, Floyd McCreight, Bob Becker and Bob Talbot from the Austin Ski Club; Lucius Lomax, Austin American-Statesman; Gordon Hall; Mrs. Fran Cook and Mrs. A. E. Cowan, Junior League of Austin; Mr. Allen McCree, West Austin Neighborhood Group; Roxie Vincent, Judy Salerno, Marjorie Carlson, Dottie Jordan Recreation Center Advisory Board; Betty Hendricks, Environmental Board; Shirley Cearley; Dorothy Richter; and Sylvia Quinones.

The meeting was called to order at 7:35 p.m., by Mr. Garrison, Chairman.

Mr. Garrison asked for approval of the minutes of March 26, 1979. It was moved by Mr. Hall and seconded by Mrs. Isely that the minutes be approved as printed. The motion carried unanimously.

CITIZENS COMMUNICATIONS

Ms. Sylvia Quinones stated that she was a caseworker for the Austin-Travis County MH-MR at the East First Human Development Center. There was a current need for a recreation program at the Santa Rita Housing Project. There had been a recreation program there from 1975 to 1977, but because of the violence, vandalism, etc., the program had been discontinued. Ms. Quinones stated that she worked in this area and has been trying to teach fair play and team work to the children there. She also felt that the violence and vandalism problem has greatly improved, and having a recreation program there would not be a duplication of services offered at Metz Recreation Center, Pan American Recreation Center and the program at Martin Junior High School, all located nearby. Ms. Quinones stated that she has talked with representatives of the Pan American Recreation Center and they would loan equipment. They lacked a recreation specialist to organize and supervise the programs. Mr. John Hughes, Superintendent of Recreation, stated that he and other Parks and Recreation Department supervisory staff have met with the Santa Rita Housing manager to discuss the problem. Staff from the Pan American Recreation Center cannot be assigned as they have a full recreation program there. Also, there were no funds available for a seasonal worker during the summer, due to reduced budget funding. They have agreed to meet with representatives from MH-MR and the Manpower Division of the Human Services Department to see if C.E.T.A. personnel can be used. Funding from the Summer Recreation Support Project might also be available. The Santa Rita Housing Project is located only two blocks from the Pan American Recreation Center, and the Parks and Recreation Department staff are trying to make youngsters and parents aware of the programs being

offered there. Mr. Garrison commended Ms. Quinones on her efforts and felt it was a worthwhile program, but emphasized funds were not available. Mr. Coffee suggested that the Board tour the area at the May tour meeting. Ms. Quinones stated that the reason the children do not go to the Pan American Recreation Center was because there is a stigma attached to children living in housing projects. Mr. Hall asked why this stigma could not be broken down by introducing the children and parents to programs being presented at the centers. Ms. Quinones stated that she was working on a program to educate the parents on programs offered at the centers. Mr. Hughes stated that Ms. Quinones has done an excellent job and breaking down barriers of this type cannot be done overnight. Ms. Quinones stated that there was a need for one paid recreation specialist and she could secure many volunteers. Mr. Garrison asked that the Parks and Recreation Department staff continue working with the group and report back to the Board at the next meeting.

Ms. Joni McConnell stated that she was present, along with a large number of other representatives of the group, to speak on a "Zoo for Austin." They were asking the City to donate land for the zoo and would like to ask for 20 acres in the middle of the Walnut Creek District Park. Their organization is non-profit and they have 30 members. A federal grant for the zoo could possibly be obtained. They would consider land at Walter E. Long District Park also. Mr. Ehrler asked if they have contacted residents in the adjoining subdivision. Ms. McConnell stated that they have visited in the Georgian Acres Subdivision and were planning to go into the River Oaks area. They now have 2,165 signatures on a petition for a zoo. Mr. Garrison asked if 20 acres would be enough. Ms. McConnell stated that it would be for now. Mr. Ehrler stated that there were master plans for development of both these parks and putting in a zoo would be a tremendous undertaking. He asked that the group get all their information together and meet with him at a later date.

NAMING OF ARBOR AT AUSTIN AREA GARDEN CENTER

Mr. Garrison stated that this was the date set for a public hearing for naming of the arbor located on the south lawn of the Austin Area Garden Center. The Garden Council recommended that the arbor be named the "Queens Arbor." Mr. Garrison pointed out that the Austin Area Garden Council wanted to dedicate this arbor during the coronation of the annual Queen of the Gardens to be held May 6, 1979, at 4:00 p.m., during the Flora-Rama activities. It was moved by Mr. Hall and seconded by Mr. Rose, that the Parks and Recreation Board recommend to the City Council that the arbor located on the south lawn of the Austin Area Garden Center be named the "Queens Arbor." The motion carried unanimously.

WATER SKI SLALOM COURSES ON LAKE AUSTIN

Mr. Vance Nauman from the Austin Ski Club, stated that they were asking for four water ski slalom courses on Lake Austin. The Parks and Recreation Department staff has recommended this be done through a License Agreement with the City of Austin and they were willing to do this and pay the necessary fees, working with the City Legal Department. They already have an insurance policy and would only have to modify their policy to cover City requirements.

They would like their time schedule to be from sunrise to 10:00 a.m., for the months of April through September, and the hours be unlimited during the winter months so they could ski in the afternoon. Mr. Nalle stated that he felt they should have the approval of the owners of the property around the courses. Mr. Preston Wheeler, Supervisor of Park Rangers, stated that this was not necessary as Lake Austin is public domain.

After further discussion, it was moved by Mr. Rose and seconded by Mr. Bray that the Parks and Recreation Board recommend to the City Council approval of the following request for water ski slalom courses on Lake Austin by the Austin Ski Club:

1. That the four sites be located as follows:
 - a. Site #1 - on the east shoreline of Lake Austin approximately one and one-fourth ($1\frac{1}{4}$) miles upstream from Bull Creek.
 - b. Site #2 - on the east shoreline of Lake Austin approximately one-fourth ($\frac{1}{4}$) mile downstream from Bull Creek.
 - c. Site #3 - on the east shoreline of Lake Austin between the Laguna Gloria Art Museum and the Westwood Country Club.
 - d. Site #4 - on the west side of Lake Austin across from Walsh Boat Landing.
2. That the Austin Ski Club enter into a License Agreement with the City of Austin for a period of one year.
3. That the hours for skiing activity be limited from sunrise to 10:00 a.m., daily for the months of April through September, and the hours be unlimited during the winter months.

The motion carried with Mr. Nalle and Mr. Ramos voting no. Mr. Bray asked that the Parks and Recreation Department staff carefully review the situation during the summer to see how compatible this use would be with the heavy boat traffic.

PROPOSED FILL SITE ON LAKE AUSTIN

Mr. Tom Mountz from the City Engineering Department stated that the map in the Board agenda was slightly different from the one submitted to them at their meeting in March. This map was submitted to the Engineering Department the morning of April 9th, the day the Board toured the proposed fill site area. The Engineering Department is involved because they are charged with issuing a site development permit for any development in the Lake Austin Watershed. The Board is involved because the request is to fill a part of Lake Austin at the Taylor Slough area. Mr. Garrison asked if there was any change from the request before the Board on the day of the field trip to the site. Mr. Mountz stated that to his knowledge there was no change, but the Environmental Board has reviewed the request and recommended denial. Mr. Nalle asked about the vote on the request by the Environmental Board. Ms. Betty Hendricks, a member of the Environmental Board stated that one member abstained and the rest voted to deny the request. She explained

the reasons for the denial was because they felt they did not have accurate maps showing the limits of the fill. Also, in proposing to fill the land the developer is actually creating new usable land from Lake Austin that will be of great value to the developer, and there was no proposal for future land use. This prevented the Environmental Resource Department staff from addressing the environmental issue of impact and site restoration following the fill. They felt the proposal was premature and granting the request at this time would be inappropriate. Mr. Bray asked Ms. Hendricks if the Environmental Board felt that leaving the site in its present state was preferable to having it filled. Ms. Hendricks stated that this decision would depend on the proposed future land use. Mr. Bray stated that if permission for land use was granted, subject to no improvements being constructed on the parcel of land until a site plan had been approved by the proper City departments, would that give the Environmental Board reason to approve the request. Mr. Mountz stated that this site was in the Lake Austin Watershed and if the developer proposed to build more than one single family residence or one duplex on that particular tract, another site permit would have to be secured. Mr. Bray asked if more restrictions were required would that give the kind of control to assure that whatever was ultimately done there was compatible with the lake and the environment. Ms. Hendricks stated that being concerned with environmental issues she was very interested in some of the low lying areas as being environmentally sensitive areas that do provide habitats for small fish. Mr. Garrison stated that this particular area was not a pretty area when he used to swim there and it was still not pretty, and he felt it would look much better to fill in the area three feet as requested, even if no development was ever done. Ms. Hendricks stated that you are talking about park land and natural land, and the actual lines of where the fill would be, was lacking. The maps submitted were different and not clear at all. Mr. Mountz stated that the maps submitted were not intended to be an exact surveyed map of what was intended to be filled. The map was submitted as a sketch to give a general idea. Also, if the request was granted, the Engineering Department would require that some restoration of the area be done. This would be through the Engineering Department and the Environmental Resource Department. Mr. Bray asked if the Engineering Department has submitted a recommendation. Mr. Mountz stated that at this point the Engineering Department was not prepared to give a recommendation on the overall issues. From a purely engineering standpoint they did not see any problems with the request.

Mr. Garrison asked if the lift station on the property had an impact. Ms. Hendricks stated that it did. Mr. Mountz stated that there was some City property between the lift station and the lake on the west side. Mr. Nalle stated that over the years there have been many requests to fill portions along Lake Austin and the reason was because lake property is very valuable. He felt granting a request to fill any portion of the lake would be a very dangerous precedent to set. Mr. Ehrler asked about taking silt from the lake and using that for fill. Mr. Nalle stated that there have been many instances of silt from the lake being used to put behind a retaining wall. Also, there have been some instances of reclaiming trees in the water and putting them within a wall, and some cases of minor shoreline straightening of approximately 8 feet. This request was for approximately 70 feet. Mr. Mountz stated that it was approximately one-fourth acre. Mr. Conrad Fath, a former member of the Navigation Board, stated that the Navigation Board never permitted anyone to fill in anything that covered the water, or past the natural shoreline of the lake. They could build retaining walls along the natural shoreline of the

lake and take fill from the lake and put behind the walls. Mr. Fath explained that everything covered by water on the lake is under City jurisdiction. Mr. David Reed, Superintendent of P.A.R.D. Planning, stated that he contacted the City Attorney's Office this morning and was told if there were adverse impacts made on the property belonging to Mr. Thomas by the lift station, there possibly could be some complexities that would need to be investigated. In talking with Tom Mountz, it was difficult to determine what impact the lift station might have made on the property. His recommendation would be to try and determine what impact the lift station has made on the property, and allow the City Attorney's Office to research the problem. Mr. Mountz stated that it is the land owner's contention that when the lift station was built a portion of the area that is low now was excavated to build up the area around the lift station. It appears that this may be true, but he could not say for sure. Mrs. Arnold stated that Mr. Thomas bought this property a number of years after the lift station was built and he purchased it in its present state. Mr. Mountz stated that the lift station was built in 1968. Mr. Hall explained that Mr. Thomas purchased the property in approximately 1971. Mr. Mountz displayed a map from the County Survey Office prepared in 1970, showing a small portion of land approximately .161 acres was deeded to the City by Mr. Z. T. Scott, and most of the present lift station is not on City property, but on Mr. Thomas' property. The Water and Wastewater Department is presently researching the problem. Mr. Allen McCree, representing the West Austin Neighborhood Group, spoke against the proposal and urged the Board to vote against the request. He pointed out that if the permit was granted Mr. Thomas would have a buildable area of approximately .541 acres including 5,400 sq. ft. of Lake Austin. This would give enough footage to go high density. They had no objections to a single dwelling being constructed. Also, some future owner may prefer to purchase the property the way it is -- trees, marsh, reeds and all. A house could be elevated on piers and put in the area in its present state. The proposal unjustifiably creates additional buildable land where none exists. They would also recommend that any future consideration of proposals such as this, be on a survey by a licensed surveyor in the State of Texas. Mr. Nalle asked if anyone had any knowledge of the proposed land use. Mr. McCree stated that they have not been able to determine this and the reason was they did not think the land could be legally subdivided the way it is, as much of it is within the 100-year flood plain. To get it out of the flood plain would require the three foot fill. Mr. Bray asked if there was an objection to Mr. Thomas being legally able to subdivide the property. Mr. McCree stated that they had no objection to single family homes, but they did not want high rise apartments there. Mr. Hall stated that it appeared their main objection to the fill was what they thought Mr. Thomas might want to use the land for. Mr. Nalle stated that he felt the land use was not the issue. The issue was filling the area. Mr. Bray stated that he was concerned about the approach being taken to penalize Mr. Thomas and the suggestions that he was dealing with City departments, the Board and perhaps the neighborhood group in bad faith. If Mr. Thomas is not dealing in bad faith and if his proposal is a good one, it is not the prerogative of this Board or any other form of government to try to suggest that his land should not be used in a proper, aesthetically pleasing way. From Mr. McCree's objections, they involve site plan improvements and no ability to know what they might be. A site permit is very easy to control and any permit granted to Mr. Thomas can be conditioned and if anything is not clear they could postpone any decision until all questions are made clear. The permit could be conditioned by leaving the density no greater than it is in its present state and requiring

approval of whatever actual improvement would be constructed on the area, prior to the granting of a building permit. He personally cannot see that some filling in certain areas is bad. The area as it is now is not particularly aesthetically pleasing. The technical problems should not be a basis for decision, as they can and will be made right before the City will let the developer do anything with the property. Mr. McCree stated that it was very clear what Mr. Thomas wanted to do with the property. Mr. Bray asked what was wrong with a landowner trying to improve his property. Mr. McCree stated that it was at the expense of a public waterway. It was the sacrifice of a public privilege, for private gain. Ms. Jackie Bloch, president of the West Austin Neighborhood Group, stated that they have been dealing with Mr. Thomas a number of years and he does not attend meetings. Right now his problem is to remove the huge pile of rock created by the development of the Bello Vista area. All of the things previously mentioned are not the main issue. The main issue is setting a dangerous precedent by allowing a property owner to come in and fill a portion of the lake. Lake Austin is a great natural resource to the City of Austin.

After further discussion, it was moved by Mr. Nalle and seconded by Mr. Coffee, that the Parks and Recreation Board recommend to the City Council denial of a request by Mr. Raymond E. Thomas for a permit to fill a portion of land situated on the west side of Taylor Slough and north of Scenic Drive on Lake Austin.

Ms. Stockard asked if any of the Board members had a conflict of interest or were currently involved with Mr. Thomas. Mr. Bray explained that at one time Mr. Thomas was a client, but was not at the present time. Mr. Ehrler stated that he has checked with the Legal Department concerning a conflict of interest and was advised that unless a person involved was presently a client, there was no conflict of interest. The motion carried with roll call vote as follows: Mr. Ramos, Mr. Britton, Mrs. Arnold, Ms. Stockard, Mrs. Isely, Mr. Coffee and Mr. Nalle voting yes; Mr. Hall, Mr. Rose and Mr. Bray voting no, and Mr. Bray stated that he could not support a blanket denial to someone coming in good faith with a request to secure a permit for something that seemed reasonable. He felt constraints could be placed on the request to insure that what was done would be reasonable. Mr. Shaw abstained from voting and Mr. Garrison, Chairman, did not vote. Mr. Rose stated that he wanted everyone present to be aware that Mr. Thomas and his engineer, did appear at the March 26, 1979, Parks and Recreation Board meeting, and he also met the Board at the fill site for their tour.

GIVENS RECREATION CENTER

Mr. Archile Petit stated he was present to request approval of a proposed plaque to be placed at Givens Recreation Center. This plaque would be to honor Mrs. Bertha Means for her dedicated efforts in getting the recreation center built in Givens Park. Mr. Petit introduced Mrs. Maggie Chapman who spoke in support of having this plaque placed at the center honoring Mrs. Means. Mr. Garrison asked who would provide money for the plaque. Mrs. Chapman stated that they were asking that the City pay for the plaque and its installation. Mr. Garrison asked what the cost would be. Mr. Petit explained the plaque would be approximately 12" x 12" and would cost approximately \$300. Mr. Ehrler stated that this proposed plaque would be in addition to the one supplied by the architects who designed the building and there were no funds available

for it. Mr. Rose stated that it seemed apparent there were others in the audience who might want to speak. Mrs. Delores Duffie stated that there were many other people in the community who worked just as hard as Mrs. Means to insure construction of a large recreation center in Givens Park. The center is very beautiful and is being well used. She was not proposing to take away anything from Mrs. Means, but there were a lot of other people who deserved a plaque for their efforts in connection with the center. Mr. Rose asked if the Board has a policy for something of this nature. Ms. Stockard stated that the Board has a policy against naming facilities or parks for living persons. Mr. Coffee asked Mr. Ehrler if there was money left in the contract for Givens Recreation Center to take care of a plaque. Mr. Ehrler stated there were no funds available. Mr. Coffee asked if Mr. Petit and his group would consider a compromise by adding the names of all the persons involved to the plaque. Mr. Petit stated they would not agree to such a suggestion. Mr. Hall stated that he was opposed to using City money to honor a living person in this manner. Mrs. Chapman stated that if City funds were not available she felt other people involved should be contacted to see if they can afford to pay for the plaque. Mr. Shaw asked if this was one group of citizens asking to honor another citizen. Mr. Ehrler stated that this was true. Mr. Garrison explained to Mrs. Chapman that the Board could not authorize any funds. Ms. Stockard stated that she was not opposed to a plaque as long as it was paid for by the group proposing it, but she was concerned that a request of this nature would come up a number of times. Perhaps they should in this case ask the Givens Recreation Center Advisory Board, when it is formed, to come back to the Board with a recommendation, with the understanding that the Advisory Board pay for such a plaque, if permission was granted. If there is not a board at this time, wait until one is formed.

After further discussion, it was moved by Mr. Coffee and seconded by Mr. Shaw that the Parks and Recreation Board approve the request from a group of concerned citizens to place a plaque at Givens Recreation Center honoring Mrs. Bertha Means; that the plaque be paid for with private funds; and that the design and site location be determined by the architect. The motion carried with Mr. Britton abstaining and Mr. Rose voting no.

Mr. Ehrler stated that the dedication of Givens Recreation Center would be held by the City Council in mid-May. Mrs. Arnold asked if Mrs. Means contribution could be mentioned at the dedication. Mr. Ehrler stated that this would be up to the City Council. Mr. Ehrler asked Mr. Petit and Mrs. Chapman to meet with him after they have raised the necessary money so they can meet with the architect. Mrs. Duffie asked how she might ask to be placed on the next Parks and Recreation Board agenda so she could ask for a plaque. Mr. Garrison stated that this could be done by calling Mr. Ehrler's secretary at the Parks and Recreation Department.

SMOKING AT SWIMMING POOLS

Mr. Garrison stated that a staff recommendation was in the agenda packet, and the recommendation was to establish smoking areas for the pools, with everyone realizing that until laws are enacted which would provide an enforcement tool, the compliance would be strictly voluntary. Mrs. Richter asked about enforcement of no glass and no food in pool areas. Mr. Ehrler stated that this was through the Health Department, and an ordinance would need to be passed in order to enforce no smoking areas for the pools. Without an ordinance nothing can be enforced. Mr. Cliff Warrick, Superintendent of Athletics and Aquatics, stated that no smoking areas can be set aside at pools, but without an ordinance there

is no way to enforce the rule. Mrs. Richter stated that putting up signs would certainly help. Mr. Rose asked about the procedure for drawing up such an ordinance. Mr. Ehrler stated the Legal Department should be contacted. After further discussion, it was moved by Mr. Rose and seconded by Mrs. Isely, that the Parks and Recreation Board recommend that the Parks and Recreation Department designate smoking areas in all pools with appropriate signs. The motion carried unanimously.

BOAT DOCK ON LAKE AUSTIN

Mr. Nalle stated that Mr. W. C. Hayes was applying for a permit for the construction of a boat dock on Lake Austin adjacent to Lot "A," Block "G," Aqua Verde Subdivision, Travis County, Texas. The 10' x 28' structure would extend into Lake Austin approximately 26' and creosoted pilings would be jettied approximately 4' in the lake bottom. Mr. Bill Panick, from the Building Inspection Department, had to leave the meeting and had no problem with the request. The request did meet all City building requirements and was a basic boat dock. It was moved by Mr. Nalle and seconded by Mr. Rose that the request by Mr. W. C. Hayes to construct a boat dock on Lake Austin be approved. The motion carried unanimously.

SWIMMING FEES AT JORDAN POOL

Mrs. Roxie Vincent, President of the Dottie Jordan Recreation Center Advisory Board, stated that the Jordan Pool was originally a private pool and the entire park including the recreation center and pool was purchased by the City. Due to the fact that it was a private pool, it does not meet any of the size standards for the City. Last summer, excluding the learn-to-swim program, there were 44,000 participant hours for the pool. They are trying to find a solution to the problem of safety without constituting fees, but they have not been able to find another solution. The pool is staffed with three male senior guards and one female junior guard. There is a great deal of disorderly conduct and it is becoming dangerous. Mr. Shaw asked where the pool was located. Mrs. Vincent stated that it was located on Loyola Lane in northeast Austin. They were proposing initiating a fee structure on a one year trial basis, primarily to control loitering and disorderly conduct by non-swimmers (street clothes) within the enclosed pool area. Also, this would provide more safety for smaller children. They were also asking for the City to provide access to a non-pay telephone for departmental communication and for emergency rescue use. Mr. Hall asked about the expense and revenue. Mr. Cliff Warrick stated that the projected revenue would be \$5,400 and the initial expense to put into effect would be \$6,700. If the proposed adult fee could be changed from 40¢ to 50¢ it would break even. Mr. Ehrler stated that these people have visited at length with Parks and Recreation Department staff. The City Council has asked the department to establish a more viable fee program, and he felt the fees, if approved, should be uniform with the municipal pools. Mr. Ehrler stated that he would like to take the proposed fee structure for Jordan Pool and incorporate into the proposed Parks and Recreation Department fee program for the 1979-1980 operating budget. He felt we could continue with the present operation until the fees could be approved by City Council. This would be a pilot program. Ms. Stockard asked if the fees collected would go into the general fund. Mr. Ehrler stated that they would. There was further discussion. Mr. Garrison stated that the Board would prefer

to do as Mr. Ehrler suggested and let the Parks and Recreation Department place the fees in their proposed fee structure. Mrs. Vincent stated that they were interested in safety. Mrs. Richter stated that for two years they have proposed fees for Shipe Pool, also a neighborhood pool.

NAVIGATION POLICIES

Mr. Conrad Fath spoke briefly on navigation policies established by the Navigation Board before it was abolished and the duties transferred to the Parks and Recreation Board. He would be willing to work with the Board in establishing their navigation policies. Mrs. Arnold stated that there would not be enough time tonight to discuss the proposed policies and she felt a committee should be appointed to study such policies and that the Parks and Recreation Department staff be involved. Mr. Nalle stated that he would be glad to chair such a committee. Mr. Bray suggested that Mr. Nalle, Mr. Rose and Mrs. Arnold serve on this committee and that they report back to the Board. Mr. Garrison suggested that Mrs. Crenshaw be contacted for her input.

RENTAL FEES FOR PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT CARNIVAL EQUIPMENT

It was moved by Mr. Hall and seconded by Mr. Bray that the following policies and fees for Parks and Recreation Department carnival equipment be approved:

*Carnival Booths

Saw Horse Tables -----	\$2.00 per unit
Bingo Benches -----	\$2.00 per unit
Cake Walk Sets -----	\$2.00 per unit
Wheel of Fortune -----	\$2.00 per unit
Clown Throw -----	\$2.00 per unit
Dunking Machine -----	\$35.00 per unit

*Carnival booths not available for use by outside groups -- only for departmental programs or sponsored activities.

Equipment available to any community organization, school, or church group. Not available for use in profit motivated ventures. Carnival booths are not available to outside organizations due to restrictions related to the federal funds used to construct this equipment.

Rates do not include pick-up and delivery. Fees would be for any 48 hour period or over a weekend, if picked up on Friday p.m. and returned Monday a.m.; and the same rate would apply to any additional 24 hour period of use. A minimum deposit of \$50 would be required, with a \$100 deposit on rentals totaling over \$100. Cost for picking up or returning on weekends or holidays would be \$20 to cover the minimum two-hour overtime cost to have an employee available to check equipment in and out. Any costs for repair of equipment or picking up equipment not returned, would be deducted from the deposit.

The motion carried unanimously.

LAND ACQUISITION ADJACENT TO BARTON CREEK GREENBELT

Mr. David Reed, Superintendent of P.A.R.D. Planning, briefly went over the request from the Barton Hills-Horseshoe Bend Neighborhood Association, recommending that the Parks and Recreation Department consider purchase of 19.9 acres of land (Tract 4) along the planned southern extension of MoPac Boulevard and adjacent to the Barton Creek Greenbelt, and a 10 acre tract adjacent to and east of Tract 4 adjacent to Zilker Park for park property. After some discussion, Mr. Bray asked that the matter be postponed to the next Parks and Recreation Board meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 10:50 p.m.