CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment - Interpretation Decision Sheet

DAIE:	Monday, July 11, 2016	CASE NUMBER: C15-2015-014/
Y	Brooke Bailey	
Y_	Michael Benaglio	
Y_	William Burkhardt	
Y_	Eric Goff	
Y_	Melissa Hawthorne Motion to	o approve the postponement request
Y_	Bryan King	
Y_	Don Leighton-Burwell 2 nd the	motion
Y_	Rahm McDaniel	
Y_	Melissa Neslund	
Y_	James Valadez	
Y_	Michael Von Ohlen	
	Kelly Blume (Alternate)	
	•	

APPELLANT: Robert Kleeman

ADDRESS: 8901 SH 71

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The appellant has filed an appeal challenging a Land Use Determination and related development approvals made in connection with the approval of an outdoor amphitheater located at LifeAustin Church, 8901 West State Highway 71, including decisions to classify the use as "religious assembly" and to subsequently approve Site Plan No. SP-2011-0185C, an associated restrictive covenant, and a building permit. The appellant disagrees that, among other things, the Land Use Determination and related development approvals incorrectly treat various outdoor activities held at educational and religious assembly facilities as part of the principal use rather than as temporary activities subject to City Code Section 25-2-921(C) in an "RR-NP", Rural Residential – Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (West Oak Hill)

BOARD'S DECISION: November 9, 2016 POSTPONED TO A SPECIAL CALLED MEETING DECEMBER 9, 2015, CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS AT 7:00PM BY BOARD MEMBER ERIC GOFF, MELISSA HAWTHORNE SECOND ON A 9-0 VOTE; FEB 8TH, 2016- REQUESTING POSTPONEMENT TO APRIL11, 2016; APRIL 11, 2016 POSTPONED TO JUNE 13, 2016; JUNE 13, 2016 POSTPONED TO JULY 11, 2016 BY APPLICANT; July 11, 2016 POSTPONED TO AUGUST 8, 2016 BY APPLICANT; BOARD WILL NOT ENTERTAIN ADDITIONAL POSTPONEMENTS BEYOND AUGUST 8, 2016

FINDING:

- 1. There is a reasonable doubt of difference of interpretation as to the specific intent of the regulations or map in that:
- 2. An appeal of use provisions could clearly permit a use which is in character with the uses enumerated for the various zones and with the objectives of the zone in question because:

3. The interpretation will not grant a special privilege to one property inconsistent with other properties or uses similarly situated in that:

O0 00

Leane Heldenfels Executive Liaison William Burkhardt

Chairman