

**CITY OF AUSTIN
Board of Adjustment
Decision Sheet (INTERPRETATION)**

DATE: Monday, August 8, 2016

CASE NUMBER: C15-2015-0168

☒ y ☐ Brooke Bailey
☒ y ☐ Michael Benaglio
☒ y ☐ William Burkhardt
☒ y ☐ Eric Goff **2nd the Motion**
☒ y ☐ Melissa Hawthorne
☐ n ☐ Bryan King
☒ y ☐ Don Leighton-Burwell
☐ - ☐ Rahm McDaniel (out)
☒ y ☐ Melissa Neslund
☒ y ☐ James Valadez
☒ y ☐ Michael Von Ohlen **Motion to PP to Sept 28**
☒ y ☐ Kelly Blume (Alternate)

APPELLANT: Robert Kleeman


ADDRESS: 8901 SH 71


VARIANCE REQUESTED: The appellant has requested that the Board of Adjustment interpret whether staff erred in making an administrative decision to approve site plan correction number 12 to the current site plan of this property (SP-2011-185C (R1)), thereby authorizing construction of a disc golf course and outdoor dog park at this church facility in a "RR-NP", Rural Residential – Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (West Oak Hill)

BOARD'S DECISION: POSTPONED TO FEBRUARY 8, 2016 PER APPLICANT AND PROPERTY OWNER; FEB 8, 2016 POSTPONED TO APRIL 11, 2016 BY APPLICANT; APRIL 11, 2016 POSTPONED TO JUNE 13, 2016; JUNE 13, 2016 POSTPONED TO JULY 11, 2016 BY APPLICANT; JULY 11, 2016 POSTPONED TO AUGUST 8, 2016 BY APPLICANT; BOARD WILL NOT ENTERTAIN ADDITIONAL POSTPONEMENTS BEYOND AUGUST 8, 2016; Aug 8, 2016 POSTPONED TO SEPTEMBER 28, 2016 BY APPLICANT

FINDING:

1. There is a reasonable doubt of difference of interpretation as to the specific intent of the regulations or map in that:
2. An appeal of use provisions could clearly permit a use which is in character with the uses enumerated for the various zones and with the objectives of the zone in question because:
3. The interpretation will not grant a special privilege to one property inconsistent with other properties or uses similarly situated in that:


Leane Heldenfels
Executive Liaison


William Burkhardt
Chairman