



CODENEXT

SHAPING THE AUSTIN WE IMAGINE

Land Development Code Advisory Group Meeting #54

October 3rd 2016 at 6:00 pm-9:00 pm

Waller Creek Center, Room 104

625 E 10th Street

Austin, TX 78701

www.austintexas.gov/codenext

Meeting Minutes

Members in attendance: Melissa Beeler, Roger Borgelt, Guy Dudley, Mandy De Mayo, Jim Duncan, Rich Heyman, Lauren Ice, Eleanor McKinney, Terry Mitchell, Liz Mueller, Dave Sullivan, Kevin Wier, Nuria Zaragoza

Members absent: Pat King, Jose Valera

CALL TO ORDER - Chair Duncan called the meeting to order at 6:05 PM

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES (6:00-6:05)

The meeting minutes were approved on consent.

2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATION: GENERAL (6:05-6:20)

Frank Harren spoke about segregated our housing types which has caused racial segregation, economic segregation. The White House released a tool kit recently and Mr. Harren invited a representative from the WH. He believes we need to allow all price points in all neighborhoods and points out that this has been passed and mentioned by Imagine Austin. "We are going to be a beacon of social equity." For three months now he has presented that proposal asking why we should limit ourselves to centers and corridors for all types of housing. He asks that we make this a large agenda item for the next meeting.

3. OLD BUSINESS (6:20-6:35)

a. Staff status report on outreach & drafting process (5 minutes)

Greg gave an update on the CodeNEXT process, specifically discussing the Outreach Plan that will lead up to the release in January. Also the CAG Council event on October 19th. Council did approve the phase 3 contract and will soon be shared with the CAG once it passes through the Law department.

b. CAG report on outreach efforts (10 minutes)

Dave Sullivan mentioned that the CAG outreach report will be completed and handed to council in late October or November. Kevin Wier emailed out the prescription to people and asked for comments. Nuria met with her old neighborhood association, original West University Association and spoke about CodeNEXT. Jim was supposed to present to the Heritage Neighborhood, but will present to the Bouldin Neighborhood and UT Environmental group next week. Nuria wanted to know what the effort looks like in order to report to different boards and commissions as the code gets released. Greg discussed that we still need to finalize how we will be receiving input, we will be having an open house on the code very early March. Mandy was anticipating that it would be an appropriate topic to discuss at the Joint CAG/ Council meeting. Eleanor discussed potential ideas for how to meet with Boards, Community groups, and the general public. She suggests that the CAG meet one half hour before the joint CAG/ Council meeting to discuss these options of meeting with boards. Nuria wants to send the diagram of meeting structures to boards before the Council meeting. Rich asked Greg whether the consultants would prepare the educational materials in Spanish. Greg responded it is certainly something we can arrange.

4. NEW BUSINESS (6:35-8:50)

a. Update CAG Work Plan (15 minutes)

Staff & CAG reviewed the work plan. Potential CAG Council meeting on November 16th or 17th.

b. Presentation from Jim Duncan on infrastructure financing tools (35 minutes)

Jim Duncan gave a presentation titled “Cost of Growth: Who Pays? Development Exactions and Special Districts.” It covered Transportation improvements deliverance hierarchy, development impact fees, and TIFs and PIDs.

c. Feedback on Fiscal Health Prescription (85 minutes)

CAG members read through the results of the Fiscal Health Survey, which listed all prescriptions in the report and allowed CAG members to express their varying levels of support and disagreement.

5. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS (8:50-9:00)

Nuria asked that we put on a future agenda the revisiting of the Imagine Austin activity centers and corridors. PC & ZAP are doing the same right now.

Kyle brought up the structure of the discussion and wanted to know if we could take notes on main themes. We will try that out next meeting. Disproportionate benefits vs disproportionate costs. Many questions about who will pay for it, assumption that if one person benefits another person has to lose something. An assumption of winners and losers that alters the conversation, and there may be more important conversations that are passing us.

Rich wants to hear about the develop process from a developer prospective. Future agenda item? Potentially invite Rodney Gonzales, discuss P3's more.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:58.