## SECOND/THIRD READING SUMMARY SHEET

ZONING CASE NUMBER: C14-2016-0113 - 2509 Montopolis Drive

## REQUEST:

Approve on second and third readings an ordinance amending City Code Title 25 by rezoning property locally known as 2507, 2509 \& 2511 Montopolis Drive (East Country Club Creek Watershed) from general commercial services - neighborhood plan (CS-NP) district zoning to general commercial services - mixed use - conditional overlay - neighborhood plan (CS-MU-CONP) combining district zoning. Staff Recommendation: Not recommended. City Council Action: Approved general commercial services - mixed used- conditional overlay-neighborhood plan (CS-MU-CO-NP) combining district zoning on first reading May 18, 2017. Agent: The Drenner Group/Leah Bojo. Owner: John Robert Stratton. City Staff: Andrew Moore, 512-974-7604.

This 6.4 -acre parcel contains four tracts located on Montopolis Drive, between East Riverside Drive and East Ben White Boulevard in the Montopolis Neighborhood Planning Area. The site formerly had four single family structures but is now vacant. It is lightly wooded and slopes from the southeast to northwest. The surrounding area is a mixture of commercial/mixed-use zoned properties to the north, industrial zoned to the south, commercial zoning to the east and public and major industrial to the west. Montopolis is considered a major arterial roadway. This property was zoned commercial as part of the Montopolis Neighborhood Plan to serve as a transition to the industrial zoning and use to the south. Praxair is located to the south along Montopolis and has hazardous materials stored on site. Because of those materials, the Austin Fire Department (AFD) has recommended no residential development within 1000 feet of that site (see attached exhibit). On first reading, Council approved the Planning Commission recommendation (CS-MU-CO-NP) and directed staff to draft a public restrictive covenant to prohibit a residential use within the 1000 foot buffer unless the hazard materials are no longer present at the Praxair site. Legal recommended removing the prohibition from the conditional overlay (as passed by PC) and including it in the RC.

## DISTRICT AREA: 3

## PROPERTY OWNER: John Robert Stratton

AGENT: The Drenner Group (Leah Bojo)
ISSUES: None at this time.
DATE OF FIRST READING/VOTE: May 18, 2017/10-1
CITY COUNCIL DATE: June 22, 2017
CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

ASSIGNED STAFF: Andrew Moore

PHONE: 512-974-7604
EMAIL: andrew.moore@austintexas.gov

## ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C 14-2016-0113-2509 Montopolis Drive
P.C. DATE: 12/13/2016

01/10/2017
02/14/2017
04/11/2017
ADDRESS: 2507, 2509 \& 2511 Montopolis Drive AREA: 6.407 a cres
OWNER: J ohn Robert Stratton
APPUCANT: The Drenner Group (Leah Bojo)
ZONING FROM: CS-NP; General commercial servic es-neighborhood plan
ZONING TO: CS-MU-NP; General commercial services - mixed useneighborhood plan

NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AREA: Montop olis Neighborhood Planning Area

## SUMMARY STAF RECOMMENDATION

Not Recommended.

## CITY COUNCILACTION:

MAY 4, 2017: POSTPONED AT THE REQUEST OF THE APPUCANT TO MAY 18, 2017 ON CONSENT, VOTE 10-0 [CM TROXCLAIR 1sT, CM RENTERIA 2ND, CM GARZA ABSENT].
MAY 18, 2017: APPROVED ON FIRST READING CS-MU--NP WTH DIRECTION TO STAFF TO DRAFT A PUBLIC RESTRICTIVE COVENANT TO REMOVE THE PROHIBTION ON RESIDENTIAL USE WITHIN 1000 FEET OF THE PRAXAIR FACILTY IF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ARE NO LONGER PRESENT, VOTE 10-1 [CM RENTERIA $1^{5 T}$, CM FLANAGAN $2^{N D}$, CM TOVO AGAINST].

## PLANNING COMMISSON ACTION:

DEC EMBER 13, 2016: POSTPONED BY STAFF TO JANUARY 10, 2017 ON CONSENT, VOTE 100 [P. SEEG ER 1sT, T. WHITE 2ND, A. PINEYRO DE HOYOS, T. NUCKOLSAND J. SHIEH ABSENT]. JANUARY 10, 2017: POSTPO NED BY STAFF TO FEBRUARY 14, 2017 ON CONSENT, VOTE 13-0 [N. ZARAGOZA 15T, F. KAZ $2^{\text {ND }}$ ].
FEBRUARY 14, 2017: POSTONED BY STAFF TO APRIL 11, 2017 ON CONSENT, VOTE 11-0 [A. DE HOYOS HART $1^{\text {ST, }}$. . VELA $2^{\text {ND }}$, T. WHITE, N. ZARAG OSA ABSENT].
APRIL 11, 2017: RECOMMENDED APPLCANTS REQUEST FOR CS-MU-CO-NP, VOTE 11-0 [G. ANDERSON 1ST, T. NUCKOLS $2^{N D}$, S. OLVER, T. WHIE ABSENT]. THE COMMISSION ADDED A CONDTION TO PROHIBIT A RESIDENTIAL USE WITHIN 1000 FEET OF THE PRAXAIR FACILTY. THE COMMISSION RECONSIDERED THE IEM AND APPROVED A RECOMMENDATION TO INCLUDE THE 1000 FOOT BUFFER IN A PUBLC RESTRICTIVE COVENANT AS WEL AS THE CONDITIONAL OVERLAY BECAUSE OF UNCERTAINTY HOW C ONDTIO NAL OVERLAYS WILL BE HANDLED AFIER THE ADOPTIO OF CODENEXT.

## DEPARTMENTALCOMMENTS:

This 6.4-acre parcel contains four tracts located on Montopolis Drive, between East Riverside Drive and East Ben White Boulevard in the Montopolis Neighborhood Planning Area. The site formenty had four single family structures but is now vacant. It is lightly wooded and slopes from the southeast to northwest. The surrounding a rea is a mixture of commercial/mixed-use zoned properties to the north, industrial zoned to the south, commercial zoning to the east and public and major industrial to the west. Montopolis is considered a major arterial roadway. This property was zoned commercial as part of the Montopolis Neighborhood Plan to serve as a transition to the industrial zoning and use to the south. Praxair is located to the south along Montopolis and has hazardous materials stored on site. Because of those materials, the Austin Fire Department (AFD) has recommended no residential development within 1000 feet of that site (see attached exhibit). The AFD recommendation came from a nalysis done for an adjacent zoning request immediately to the south of the subject tract. Although AFD did not consider the request for the subject tract, the 1000 foot buffer impacts this property.

Recently, immediately to the east of this property zoning case C14-2016-0070 on Thrasher Lane was a pproved by the Planning Commission and Council. The request was the same as this one (CS-NP to CS-MU-NP). We recommended splitting the zoning into two tracts with a larger CS-NP tract providing a buffer to the industrial zoning and CSMU to the north (see attached map). The CS-NP portion of that case is essentially equivalent to the subject property.

Imagine Austin has this property included in a Job Center (see attached Growth Concept Map). A job center is described as:
"Job centers accommodate those businesses not well-suited for residential or environmentally sensitive areas. These centers take advantage of existing transportation infrastructure such as arterial roadways, freeways, or the AustinBergstrom Intemational Airport. Job centers will mostly contain office parks, ma nufa cturing, wa rehouses, logistics, a nd other businesses with similar demands and operating characteristics. They should nevertheless become more pedestrian and bicycle friendly, in part by better accommodating services for the people who work in those centers. While many of these centers a re currently best served by car, the Growth Concept Map offers transportation choices such as light rail and bus rapid transit to increase commuter options. " Page 107.

The Montopolis Neighborhood Plan has this property designated as commercial in the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) which is also consistent with Imagine Austin. The Neighborhood Plan Amendment staff is not recommending a change to the FLUM to allow mixed use.

The applicant is proposing to market the property for a multifa mily development. When the application was initially received, the a gent for this case represented a multifa mily developer. When they leamed staff was not supportive of the change they withdrew their interest in the case. The property owner is now the applicant.

## ISSUES:

Legal staff does not include provisions in a restrictive covenant that can be in a conditional overlay.

EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

|  | ZONING |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | CS-NP | Vacant |
| North | CS-NP \& CS-MU-CO-NP | Martial Arts, Single family |
| South | U-NP | Undeveloped |
| East | CS-NP | Undeveloped |
| West | MI-NP \& P-CO-NP | Semic onduc tor Lab \& Austin Energy Control <br> Center |

WATERSHED: Country C lub East Creek
DESIRED DEVELOPMENTZONE: Yes
IIA: No
CAPITOLVIEWCORRIDOR: No HILCOUNIRY ROADWAY: No

## NEGGBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

Austin Heritage Tree Foundation
Austin Independent School District
Austin Neighborhood Council
Bike Austin
Carson Ridge Neighborhood
Association
Crossing Garden Home Owners
Association
Del Valle Community Coalition
East Riverside/Oltorf Neighborhood Plan
Contact Team
Friends of Austin Neighborhoods
Housing Authority of Austin
Homeless Neighborhood Association

Montopolis Area Neighborhood Alliance Montopolis Community Alliance Montopolis Neighborhood Assoc iation Montopolis Neighborhood Pla nning Contact Team Montopolis Tributa ry Trail Association Pleasant Valley
Preservation Austin
Riverside Farms Road Neighborhood Association
Save Our Springs Allia nce
SEL Texas
Sierra Club, Austin Regional Group Southeast Austin Neighborhood Alliance

## SCHOOLS:

Del Valle Independent School District
Smith Elementary School
Del Valle Middle School
Del Valle High School
ABUIIING STREIS:

| Name | ROW | Pavement | Classification | Side-walks | Bike Route | Bus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montopolis | $135^{\prime}$ | $44^{\prime}$ | Arterial | Yes | No | Yes |

## STIE ZONING CASE HSTORIES:

| NUMBER | REQUEST | LAND USE <br> COMMISSION | CITY COUNCIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| C14-01-0060 <br> Montopolis <br> Neighborhood Plan | SF-2 to CS-NP | Rec ommended CS- <br> NP | Approved CS-NP <br> $(9-27-01)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

RELEVANT ZONING CASE HISTORIES:

| NUMBER | REQUEST | LAND USE COMMISSION | CITY COUNCIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C 14-2016-0070 2519 Thrasher La ne | CS-NP to CS-MU-NP | CS-MU-CO-NP for Tract 1 and CS-CONP for Tract 2 | Approved CS-MU-CO-NP for Tract 1 and CS-CO-NP for Tract 2 (3/23/17) with restricted uses and access. |
| C14-01-0060 <br> Thrasher Lane Montopolis Neighborhood Plan | SF-2 to CS-NP | Recommended CS-NP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approved CS-NP } \\ & (9-27-01) \end{aligned}$ |
| C14-2015-0099 2407-2409 Montopolis Drive | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CS-NP to CS- } \\ & \text { MU-NP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recommended CS- } \\ & \text { MU-CO-NP } \end{aligned}$ | Approved CS-MU-CO- <br> NP (12-10-15) <br> restricted uses |
| C14-2009-0092 6503 Carson Ridge | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CS-NP to GR- } \\ & \text { MU-NP } \end{aligned}$ | Recommended GR-MU-CO-NP | Approved GR-MU-CO- <br> NP (1-28-10) <br> Trips limited to 1500. |
| C14-2011-0169 7016 E. Ben White Blvd | LI-NP \& CSNP to CS-MUNP | Recommended CS-MU-NP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approved CS-MU-NP } \\ & (4-5-12) \end{aligned}$ |
| C14-01-0060 <br> 2601 Montopolis Drive <br> Montopolis <br> Neighborhood Plan | LI to LI-NP | Recommended LI-NP | Approved CS-NP (9-27-01) |

## CITY COUNCILACTION:

ORDINANCE READINGS: $1^{\text {st }}$
ORDINANCE NUMBER:
CASE MANAGER: Andrew Moore

## $2^{\text {nd }} \& 3^{\text {rd }}$

PHONE: 512-974-7604
e-mail address: a ndrew.moore@a ustintexas.gov

## BASIS FOR LAND USE RECOMMENDATION

Zoning changes should promote compatibility with adjacent and nearby uses and should not result in detrimental impacts to the neighborhood character; and
A residential use adjacent to industrial zoning and in close proximity to an industrial use is not compatible.

Zoning should promote a transition between adjacent and nearby zoning districts, land uses, and development intensities.
The existing general commercial services (CS) provides a transition between the light Industrial zoning to the south and the mixed use zoning to the north.

## Zoning should allow for a reasonable use of the property.

The existing general commercial services (CS) is the most intensive commercial zoning district.

## Zoning should be consistent with an adopted study, the Future Land Use Map (FUM) or

 an adopted neighborhood plan; andThe Montopolis Neighborhood plan designates this property as commercial.
The rezoning should be consistent with the polic ies adopted by the City Council or Planning Commission/ Zoning and Platting Commission.
Imagine Austin designates this area as a Job Center. The existing zoning is consistent with this designation.

## ADDITIONALDEPARIMENT REVIEW COMMENIS

Transportation Review - Danielle Morin - 512-974-1605

## ZONING COMMENTS

TR1. If the requested zoning is granted, it is recommended that joint access be provided for the 2 lots along the south.

TR2. A traffic impact analysis was waived for this case because the applicant a greed to limit the intensity a nd uses for this development. If the zoning is granted, development should be limited through a conditional overlay to less than 2,000 vehic le trips per day. [LDC , 25-6-117]

TR3. If the requested zoning is granted, it is recommended to provide sidewalks along both sides of the private drives, streets, a nd intemal circulation routes connecting to the public right-of-way to improve walkability and connectivity. The sidewalk dimensions shall comply with the Tra nsportation Criteria Manual and shall be constructed in accordance with the latest ADA standards.

TR4. If the requested zoning is approved for this site, it is recommended, to stub out intemal drives to the north, east, a nd south for future connectivity.

TR5. Existing Street Characteristics:

| Name | RO <br> W | Pavem <br> ent | Classific ati <br> on | Sidewalk <br> s | Bike <br> Route | Capital Metro <br> (within $\mathbf{1 / 4}$ mile) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Montopolis <br> Dr. | $133^{\prime}$ | 2 @ 22' | MAD4 | Yes | No | Yes; 2421 <br> Grove/Montopolis |

## NPZEnvironmental Review - Mike McDougal 512-974-6380

1. The site is not located over the EdwardsAquifer Recharge Zone. The site is in the Country Club East Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, which is classified as a Suburban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code. The site is in the Desired Development Zone.
2. Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following impervious cover limits:

| Development Classification | \% of Gross Site <br> Area | \% of Gross Site <br> Area <br> Transfers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Single-Family <br> (minimum lot size 5750 sq. <br> ft.) | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Other Single-Family or <br> Duplex | $55 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Multifamily | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Commercial | $80 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

3. According to floodpla in maps there is no floodplain within or adjacent to the project location.
4. Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with $\operatorname{DC} 25-2$ and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.
5. Trees will likely be impacted with a proposed development associated with this rezoning case. Please be aware that an approved rezoning status does not eliminate a proposed development's requirements to meet the intent of the tree ordinances. If further explanation or specificity is needed, please contact the City Arborist at 512-974-1876. At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding other vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon nimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.
6. Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment requires water quality control with inc reased capture volume and control of the 2 year storm on site.
7. At this time, no information has been provided as to whether this property has any preexisting approvals that preempt current water quality or Code requirements.

## NPZSite Plan Review - Katie Wettick 512-974-3529

SP1. Site plans will be required for any new development other than singlefamily or duplex residential.

SP2. Any development which occurs in an SF-6 or less restric tive zoning district which is located 540 -feet or less from property in an SF-5 or more restrictive zoning district will be subject to compatibility development regulations.

SP3. The site is subject to compatibility standards. Along the north property line, the following standards apply:

- No structure in excess of three stories or 40 feet in height may be constructed within 100 feet of a property on which a use permitted in an SF-5 or more restrictive zoning district is located.

SP4. Any new development is subject to Subchapter E. Design Sta nd ards a nd Mixed Use. Additional comments will be made when the site plan is submitted.

NPZAustin Water Utility Review - Bradley Barron 512-972-0078

WW1. The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing any water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extensions, utility relocations and or abandonments required by the land use. The water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by Austin Water for compliance with City criteria and suitability foroperation and maintenance. Depending on the development planssubmitted, water and or wastewater service extension requests may be required. All water and wastewater construction must be inspec ted by the City of Austin. The landowner must pay the City inspection fee with the utility construction. The landowner must pay the tap and impact fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin water and wastewater utility tap permit.

FYI. The tracts are in an area of capacity concem for wastewater flow, and as such will require Service Extension Requests and possible wastewater system upgrades to provide suitable service. Formore information pertaining to the Service Extension Request process and submittal requirements contact Alberto Ramirez with Austin Water, Utility Development Servic es at 625 E. 10th St., $7^{\text {th }}$ floor, phone 512-972-0211. Austin Water reserves the right to make additional comments and to establish other requirements with the Service Extension Request review.


## ZONING



Subject Tract
Case\#: C14-2016-0113

## Railroads

Feet
This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries



## ZONING



## ZONING



| $\square$ | SUBJECT TRACT |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | 1000 FT BUFFER |
| $\square$ | PRAXAIR FACILITY |
| $-\mathbf{- I}$ | ZONING BOUNDARY |

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

ZONING CASE\#: C14-2016-070 LOCATION: Thrasher Lane Lots SUBJECT AREA: 7.997 ACRES

GRID: J19 MANAGER: ANDREW MOORE

