

Limon, Sylvia

From: Ana Aguirre
Sent: Tuesday, September 12, 2017 8:31 AM
To: Limon, Sylvia
Cc: Nicely, Katherine; Robert Williams; Jason Lucio; Alberto Garcia; JaNet Barkley-Booher; Susanna Ledesma
Subject: URGENT re SNPCT Objection, Case Number C8-2013-0081.4A.SH
Attachments: C8-2013-0081.4A.SH.pdf

Dear Ms. Limon,

Attached for your review and consideration is the completed Public Hearing Information Form for Case Number C8-2013-0081.4A.SH. This case was presented to the Southeast Combined Neighborhood Plan Contact Team (SCNPCT) at its regularly scheduled meeting last night, 9-11-17. When presented to the membership, a member alerted the membership that some neighbors that had received this notice had responded in objection to the proposal to re-subdivide an existing subdivision of 1 lot into 238. The reason for the objection was based on previous obligations by the builder not being met per prior contractual agreement. I alerted the membership this case was scheduled to be heard before the Austin City Council on at its September 12, 2017 meeting beginning at 6:00 PM. Subsequently, it was brought to my attention and I realized this case is scheduled to be heard before the Planning Commission and not the City Council.

In presenting the history of the case and after discussing the issues related to this case, as presented by Ms. Susanna Woody, the membership voted to object to the re-subdivision of an existing subdivision of 1 lot into 238 due to previous obligations not being met by the builder. The SCNPCT also requested the builder add a basketball court and pavilion for the children due to the lost time per prior contractual agreement with the neighborhood. Ms. Susanna Woody, representing the immediate neighborhood that had received this same notice, was advised that she and the neighbors voice their concerns related to this case at tomorrow's scheduled meeting.

I must add that I did contact Tara Thomason (NOTE: Ryan Mattox is no longer the listed Owner; Ms. Thomason is the person I was referred to by Mr. Ruiz) last week letting her know the SCNPCT had received the notice and did not see a reason for an objection. I advised Ms. Thomason the case would be presented to the SCNPCT at its meeting and also invited Ms. Thomason to the meeting. It was not until this case was presented to the SCNPCT membership during the meeting that I, as Chair of the SCNPCT, became aware of the concerns of the immediate neighbors impacted by this case. We would like to respectfully request that the Planning Commission postpone voting on this case. We would like to have the opportunity and invite the Owner to meet with the neighbors, as well as the Contact Team, to resolve this issue. It is our understanding, as reported by Mr. Ruiz, that this case is at Phase 9 of the Master Plan and this is the final phase. We/I are looking forward to a positive resolution to this case.

Ms. Limon and Ms. Nicely, I know you all have very busy schedules. Ms. Limon, I left a voicemail message for you just a few minutes ago to alert you to this new and unexpected development pertaining to this case. I will be more than happy to visit with you. My apologies for the short notice on this case. I was not aware there were any concerns until the case was presented to the SCNPCT membership. Mostly, my apologies to you for my confusion on who was hearing the case today.

Ms. Nicely, I received your message and know you will be calling me later. Again, my sincere apologies to you and Council Member Garza for my confusion. We will present our response to Ms. Limon so she can provide it to the Planning Commission accordingly. Please let me know if you should have any questions. Thank you.

Respectfully submitted,
Ana Aguirre, Chair
SCNPCT

Ana Aguirre

I find the great thing in this world is not so much where we stand as in what direction we are moving. Oliver Wendell Holmes

