

September 11, 2017

Chairman, Board of Adjustment

City of Austin

Re: Supplemental Documents Regarding Interpretation (LDC 25-2-475), 2005 Manana Street on Lake Austin, TX

### Dear Chairman:

On behalf of the Owner of the referenced property I am presenting for the Board's consideration this written response as a supplemental document. We don't have confidence in that the "documents" provided to the Board by the Building Official per LDC 25-2-475 at this point have met all the principal truths and findings of fact for the matters under review.

While we have been working with several multi-disciplined and diverse City Staff members across three (3) separate City Divisions on this matter; it appears that only two of the three, Development Services Department (DSD) and the Watershed Protection Department (WPD) have provided the Board with documents on behalf of Building Official per LDC 25-2-475 for consideration on the appeal.

## OWNER/AGENT REPLY TO DSD STAFF DOCUMENTS

DSD Comment on the Report to Board > "I have reviewed the information provided for this site and discussed the situation with City staff and have determined that the recently constructed wall does not qualify for modification or maintenance under this section of City code. The existing wall parallel to the shoreline appears to have been constructed after January 1, 1984. Additionally, there has been no evidence provided that indicates a permit was obtained to authorize construction as required by 25-2-963(D)(7)."

DSD staff have appeared to make their determinations with confusion about how to properly label, or define the structural elements from a code definition condition. DSD has elected to label/denote the structure as both, a <u>recently constructed</u>, and <u>existing</u> "wall".

We are unsure if the DSD staff may have failed to recognize or remember that "retaining walls" have always been allowed under code provisions. The current code LDC 25-2-1179(B) states: A retaining wall, bulkhead, or other erosion protection device must be designed and constructed to minimize wave return and wave action in accordance with the Environmental Criteria Manual. Source: Section 13-2-798; Ord. 990225-70; Ord. 031211-11; Ord. 20101209-075; Ord. No. 20140626-113, Pt. 13, 7-7-14.

Chairman, Board of Adjustment

**Supplemental Documents** 

September 11, 2017

Page 2

Our site plan application and appeal application documents put forward exhibit records demonstrating that the retaining walls, bulkhead, and other erosion protection devices are, in fact, designed for the purpose of stabilizing and modifying the shoreline. Most all of these stabilizing and modifying structures can be seen on aerial photography developed on the legal Tract of Land going back to at least 1966. Here is a copy of the aerial document we provided to DSD/WPD/Austin Code for review:



DSD also suggested that "there has been no evidence provided that indicates a permit was obtained..." We have reminded DSD that there was no site plan permitting condition required for bulkheads and/or retaining walls until City Council's passing of the 2010 ordinance. Source: Sections 13-2-820 and 13-2-823; Ord. 990225-70; Ord. 031211-11; Ord. 20060216-043; Ord. 20060309-058; Ord. 20060622-022; Ord. 20060928-022; Ord. 20100624-149; Ord. 20101209-075; Ord. 20130627-075; Ord. 20140626-113.

It should be noted that the City of Austin formally accepted all 2005 Manana Street site conditions with the March 13, 2003 issued Site Development Permit SP-03-0016D.

101/233

Chairman, Board of Adjustment
Supplemental Documents
September 11, 2017
Page 3

The DSD Report further purported that a Land Use Commission variance may be required for alleged non-compliance with City Code section 25-8-261 (Critical Water Quality Zone Development). We are unclear about DSD's comment commanding that possible other approvals "may" be required from the Land Use Commission separate and apart from the actions being taking on the matters now, but we believe that the supporting documents provided August 31, 2017, along with this reply here make these review matters whole for a comprehensive review and determination from the City on these matters.

## **OWNER/AGENT REPLY TO WPD STAFF DOCUMENTS**

The WPD provided a recent September 5, 2017 Report to the Board in support of DSD's interpretation, and on behalf of the Building Official per LDC 25-2-475. WPD found similar opinions with DSD about their opinions of the aerial imagery, but also failed to recognize or remember all parts of the rules regarding retaining walls and other erosion protection devices.

WPD makes note that Ord. 20140626-113 established January 1, 1984 as the so-called *grandfathering* provision date for maintaining any noncomplying structures, but fails to mention that retaining walls <4' in height have always been exempted from the site planning and permitting requirements in the Lake Austin Critical Water Quality Zone. The Report then implies that "because of this code change, under current code no prior permit is required to repair or maintain non-complying structures that were constructed prior to 1986."

We are again confused with WPD's reference to any permitting condition nexus with 1986? However, we have provided the proper source references in the reply described above, affirming that 2010 would be the first promulgated code rule condition requiring site planning and permitting for bulkheads, retaining walls, and shoreline modifications.

WPD then purported that the "wall is a boating navigation hazard..." This comment is particularly disconcerting, and appears to be without any merit – and we are even more uncertain how this claim could be substantiated by WPD? Why would WPD all of the sudden be making this implication, considering that we have specifically worked with the City's DSD/Austin Code Inspection staff, the staff with authority on that matter? For the record, we have been formally requesting that the Building Official perform a "safety" inspection at the site for several months now and inform us quickly of the results.

Any WPD opinions regarding navigation safety on the Lake Austin Waterway are unaccredited and beyond the scope of the Division's purview. We would welcome immediate delivery of the Building Official's communications regarding any/all documented safety inspection results performed at the site; along with being provided a copy of the specific criteria developed by the Land Use Commission per Ord. 20130627-075, used for the navigation inspection at this particularly long-standing 2005 Manana Street residential property.

Chairman, Board of Adjustment
Supplemental Documents
September 11, 2017
Page 4

The WPD Report advocates that structures built in floodplains "should have greater scrutiny under the code..." but failed to mention how all reviewing City staff agreed that the existing structure does in fact function incredibly well toward abating the enormous boat wave forces on Lake Austin -- and naturally stabilizes the expansive root zones of several glamourous heritage size Bald Cypress trees abutting the shoreline.

We made a special effort to sit down with all of the assigned City review staff at their request to demonstrate how the existing structures meet the technical intent of the code and criteria manuals with respect to "code compliant wave abatement", but at the meeting staff simply drew a line in the sand and said that the "wall" must be removed – declining our request to talk about how the devices may have offered exceptional shoreline erosion protection for many years.

In accordance with the City's Environmental Criteria Manual (ECM 1.13.6) and as per LDC 25-2-1174(C), the existing retaining wall(s), bulkhead(s), and other protection devices developed along the 2005 Manana Street shoreline does in fact meet the purpose and intent of the promotion of non-vertical, stable shoreline that provides effective wave abatement as well as provide the environmental function of native riparian vegetation and shoreline geomorphology.

Furthermore, the WPD Report positions forward separate opinions of concern for possible precedent-setting; with groundless claims that the existing shoreline stabilization methods involved on the property for several years, is now "...claiming a space for a private individual that belongs to the public."

We believe that the WPD Report prepared on behalf of the Building Official should be considered biased, misleading, and elusive to actual truths regarding the technical merits involved with these matters. We sense that WPD may be trying to fit all Lake Austin **grandfathering** situations into some kind of one-size-fits-all solution matrix based solely on their individual missions, feelings, and desires.

The specific circumstances of these matters have many tangible and compelling facts inherently common to this situation ONLY. We continue to pray that WPD will get over their fear of the dark soon – and will find that feeling of comfort necessary to embrace moving the Lake Austin management practices fairly and reasonably onward through the regulating landscape at all times of the day and night.

Chairman, Board of Adjustment

**Supplemental Documents** 

September 11, 2017

Page 5

The Austin Code Department may not have presented any documents on behalf of the Building Official per LDC 25-2-475, but there are several outstanding issues and communications pending with this Department on these matters.

Please let me know if you should have any questions or require any additional information and we look forward to receiving the Board's favorable reply of acceptance.

Very truly yours,

Rick Rasberry
Ricky "Rick" Rasberry, CESSWI

cc: Mrs. Gail Findlay

### No. 512 795 89

your neighborhood organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent. or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or

standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision. can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with

board or commission by: owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record

FAX

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property property or proposed development;
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that or proposed development; or has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development

be available from the responsible department department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible

process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices For additional information on the City of Austin's land development

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice

ntexas.gov  location  full factor  full fact	be for /m/, 1984.	There were prosper contral	We Kindley, I have moudelie	Daytime Telephone: 512-415 3247 Comments: 1 am in agreement well	Mollie Fignature 9-11-17	Your Name (please print)  1907 Monana  Your address less different by this amplication	EUCHENE SCHOCH, III VI am in favor	Case Number: C15-2017-0045, 2005 Manana Street Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov Public Hearing: Reard of Adjustment Contember 18, 2017	received will become part of the public record of this case.	before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments	
--	-------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------	--	--------------------------	--	------------------------------------	---	--	---	--

seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be sent via: Comments must be returned by 10am the day of the hearing to be

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor Leane Heldenfels

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-1088

to the hearing to be received timely) (Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

# PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice received will become part of the public record of this case. Your Name (please print Comments: Daytime Telephone: Your address(es) affected by this application seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be sent via: Mail: Comments must be returned by 10am the day of the hearing to be Email: leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov Fax: 2000 Mariana + 2012 Mariana Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, September 18, 2017 Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leane heldenfels@austintexas.gov Case Number: C15-2017-0043, 2005 Manana Street Draking wal completely unlessonable and hypocritical Transport randomar neighbor at 2007 has a history of City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor (512) 974-6305 Austin, TX 78767-1088 (Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior P. O. Box 1088 Leane Heldenfels to the hearing to be received timely) or your time think that restaration of the wave 2106-055 LMS Signature s a great idea. My brother-in Know the area ⊠I am in favor ☐ I object

# PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, **you are not required to attend**. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
  - appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

THE PROPERTY MANAGE FOR 2001 MANANA, AM WIZITING IN BEHALF OF BOB ESTES Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the COMMENTS: I AM A REAL ESTATE BROKEZ AND before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the 1 VI am in favor Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, September 18, 2017 ☐ I object Date HE IS CURRENTH OUT 71.51.6 NEXT DOOR TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY Case Number: C15-2017-0043, 2005 Manana Street received will become part of the public record of this case. Your address(es) affected by this application 327 0512 Signature Daytime Telephone: 5/2 MAZK (NATHIRS 2001 MANANA Your Name (please print) THE OWNER.

Comments must be returned by 10am the day of the hearing to be seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be sent via:

BUT HAS ASKED THAT

THE COUNTY

EXPRESS HIS SUPPORT FOR THE

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor

Leane Heldenfels

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior

to the hearing to be received timely) (512) 974-6305

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

## Pediatrix Medical

application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public your neighborhood hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent. specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later

will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with

board or commission by: owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record

- concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or
- and appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property property or proposed development
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that or proposed development; or the subject property or proposed development has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of
- A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible be available from the responsible department department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may

process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/dovservices For additional information on the City of Austin's land development

> before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council, the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice Comments Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments Daytime Telephone: Your Name (please print, received will become part of the public record of this case. Your address(es) affected by Ans application Case Number: C15-2017-0043, 2005 Manana Street Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, September 18, 2017 Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leane heldenfels (Qaustintexas, gov William A. Duchus 18 RAZARA J+ Signature 9160-182-215 2000 Merret るるころ lowed 721 am in favor lobject

seen by the Board at this hearing. They may be sent via: Comments must be returned by 10am the day of the hearing to be

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor Leane Heldenfels

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior

to the hearing to be received timely)

Kax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leane heldenfels(a) austintexas, gov

# PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed application. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice will be sent.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
  - appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
  - is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices

Email: leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

riften comments must be submitted to the contact person listed and or at a public heazing. Your comments should include the sard or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public seelved will become part of the public record of this case.  Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All conceived will become part of the public record of this case.  Case Number: C15-2017-0043, 2005 Manana Street Contact: Leane Heldenfels, \$12-974-2202, leane.heldenfels@aust Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, September 18,  Nume (please print)  Signature  Signature  Nume (please print)  All Ford  Signature  Signature  Signature  Signature  All Contact Con						40	
Written comments must be submited before or at a public hearing. Your board or commission, or Council; t Case Number; and the contact pers received will become part of the J Case Number; Leane Heldenfels, 512-3 Public Hearing: Board of At Case Number: C15-2017-00.  Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-3 Four Name (please print)  Signature  Daytime Telephone:  Comments: A leare  Comments: A leare  Mail: City of Austin-Developmen Leane Heldenfels  P. O. Box 1088  Austin, TX 78767-1088  (Note: mailed comments m to the hearing to be received for the board of the hearing to be received for the hearing to be received for the board of	ed to the contact person listed on the notice comments should include the name of the he scheduled date of the public hearing; the on listed on the notice. All comments outlic record of this case.  43, 2005 Manana Street  74-2202, leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov	sten 7		wid on the years and &	ut the	10am the day of the hearing to be. They may be sent via: It Services Department/ 1st Floor	ust be postmarked by the Wed prior fimely)
# 얼마가 말았다면서 중심하는데 바이면 가는데 이번 사람이 되었다면 하는데	Written comments must be subside before or at a public hearing. Ye board or commission, or Councy Case Number; and the contact preceived will become part of the Case Number: C15-2017-Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 51 Public Hearing: Board of	Your Name (please print)  Z / p 7 Mand & Wiss Your address(es) affected by this	Signature Daytime Telephone:	of forth	g this repo	Comments must be returned I seen by the Board at this hear Mail: City of Austin-Developn Leane Heldenfels	P. O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767-1088 (Note: mailed comments to the hearing to be received.) 6723 974-6305

September 13, 2017

City of Austin – Development Services Dept./ 1st Floor

Contact: Leane Heldenfels (512) 974-2202

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

Case Number: C15-2017-0043, 2005 Manana Street
Public Hearing: Board of Adjustments, September 18, 2017

Dear Ms. Heldenfels.

We are writing to express our support in favor of the applicant in the case listed above. We believe that the property owner, our neighbor Gail Findlay, is basically attempting to restore a shoreline system that has worked well during the years that we have resided in this neighborhood, but which has now deteriorated. We believe that a variance deserves to be permitted on the basis of grandfathering, and because this project preserves the shoreline, which is an issue of importance to all of us along Lake Austin.

	Property Owner Signature: Sori Frenasty Printed Name: LORI TRENASTY Address: 2009 MANANA STREET Resident since: 2001	
	Property Owner Signature:  Printed Name:  Address:  Resident since:  7 204	0
	Property Owner Signature: Printed Name: 1905 Manager Resident since: 1905	
Danted	Property Owner Signature: Kustina Wilking  Printed Name: 2465 Pearce Rd.  Address: Kristina Wilkins  Resident since: 2005	
	Property Owner Signature: Devote Hodge Printed Name: Downest Hodge Address: 2307 Manana St Resident since: 1999	ge



September 13, 2017

City of Austin – Development Services Dept./ 1st Floor

Contact: Leane Heldenfels (512) 974-2202

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

Case Number: C15-2017-0043, 2005 Manana Street
Public Hearing: Board of Adjustments, September 18, 2017

Dear Ms. Heldenfels,

We are writing to express our support in favor of the applicant in the case listed above. We believe that the property owner, our neighbor Gail Findlay, is basically attempting to restore a shoreline system that has worked well during the years that we have resided in this neighborhood, but which has now deteriorated. We believe that a variance deserves to be permitted on the basis of grandfathering, and because this project preserves the shoreline, which is an issue of importance to all of us along Lake Austin.

Property Owner Signature:
Printed Name: Kip Dichnond
Address: 2303 Marand
Resident since: 2009
Property Owner Signature: Shard RMUD
Printed Name: Shear R philler
Address: 2305 MANANA ST.
Resident since: 1995
Property Owner Signature:  Printed Name:  Address:  2305 MANANA  Resident since:  1995
Property Owner Signature:  Printed Name:    Denne Bredore   Address:   Nog Manare Street   Resident since: 1993
Property Owner Signature: Manfanne Cy
Printed Name: MARYANNE CUNNINGHAM
Address: 1603 Manana St
Resident since: 2015



September 13, 2017

City of Austin – Development Services Dept./ 1st Floor

Contact: Leane Heldenfels (512) 974-2202

Fax: (512) 974-6305

Email: leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov

Case Number: C15-2017-0043, 2005 Manana Street
Public Hearing: Board of Adjustments, September 18, 2017

Dear Ms. Heldenfels,

We are writing to express our support in favor of the applicant in the case listed above. We believe that the property owner, our neighbor Gail Findlay, is basically attempting to restore a shoreline system that has worked well during the years that we have resided in this neighborhood, but which has now deteriorated. We believe that a variance deserves to be permitted on the basis of grandfathering, and because this project preserves the shoreline, which is an issue of importance to all of us along Lake Austin.

Property Owner Signature:
Printed Name: Rip dichnond
Address: 2303 Margno
Resident since: 2009
11 2 2
Property Owner Signature: Share Will
Printed Name: Shey/ R. Miller
Address: 2305 Maniana ST.
Resident since: 1995
$\sim \sim $
Property Owner Signature:
Printed Name: David Kirk Miller
Address: 2305 MANAWA ST
Resident since: 1995
Property Owner Signature:
Printed Name: Demne Breadow
Address: Mog Manara-Street
Resident since: 1993
11 17
Property Owner Signature: Manfanne Cy
Printed Name: MARYANNE CUNNINGHAM
Address: 1603 Manana St
Resident since: 2015

- 1	Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice
-1	before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the
-1	board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments
-	received will become part of the public record of this case.
1	Case Number: C15-2017-0043, 2005 Manana Street
1	Contact: Leane Heldenfels, 512-974-2202, leane.heldenfels@austintexas.gov
1	Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, September 18, 2017
	EUGENE SCHOCH THE STAM in favor
1	Your Name (please print)
	1
	1907 Manana
	Your address(es) affected by this application
	1 // M/1, m 9-11-17
	- To man to the
	Signature Date
	Daytime Telephone: 512-415 224/
-1	min a mil
-1	Comments: I am in agreement with
-1	Ms Findlen, I have mouledge
-1	al 1) 11/0 MADOLA / 20100 1900
1	Jus property since 1157.
п	There were prosper control.
11-	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
I .	retaining walls and Durk reads Installs
1	11 We The bolas Han 1 1984
0	J. 705 190 00 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
-	The property unas then owned ou
-	1 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1	William & May Hart.
Co	omments must be returned by 10am the day of the hearing to be
500	en by the Board at this hearing. They may be sent via:
set	II by the board at this hearing, and

Mail: City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor Leane Heldenfels

P. O. Box 1088

tal ng

Austin, TX 78767-1088

(Note: mailed comments must be postmarked by the Wed prior to the hearing to be received timely)