

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO: Sherri S

Sherri Sirwaitis, Case Manager
Planning and Zoning Department

CC:

Eric Bollich, P.E., PTOE

Traffic Engineering Division

**Austin Transportation Department** 

FROM: 500

Scott A. James, P.E., PTOE

Land Use Review – Transportation Development Services Department

DATE:

March 7, 2018 REVISED April 4, 2018

**SUBJECT:** 

Traffic Impact Analysis for Broadmoor/IBM redevelopment

Zoning application C14 – 2016 – 0136

Section 25 – 6 – 114 of the Land Development Code requires that a traffic impact analysis be conducted for a project proposed with a zoning application if the project is anticipated to generate more than 2,000 daily trips. The project site consists of 65.91 acres and is located east of Burnet Road, south of Gault Lane and to the north of Esperanza Crossing. The applicant is proposing to rezone 65.91 acres from NBG-CMU to NBG-TOD-Gateway (ordinance) to allow for for the following land uses:

Land use	Proposed Intensity
General office	2,123,137 SF
Shopping center/general retail	98,462 SF
Restaurant (high-turnover sit down)	40,000 SF
Multi family apartments	2,092 DU
Residential condominiums	150 DU
Hotel	300 rooms

The projected completion year is 2036.

Staff from the Austin Transportation and the Development Services Departments have reviewed the November 22, 2017 "Traffic Impact Study, Broadmoor Development in Austin, Texas" with the following comments:

### **Nearby Roadways**

Mo-Pac Expressway (Loop 1) is a six lane freeway with frontage roads in the vicinity of the project site. According to Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) average daily traffic counts, the 2015 traffic volume on the Mo-Pac Expressway was approximately 115,400 vehicles per day (vpd) north of Duval Road. The 2014 Austin Bicycle Plan recommends a shared use path/trail along Mo-Pac frontage roads in the vicinity of the site.

<u>Burnet Road</u> (FM 1325) is as a four lane divided major arterial paralleling the Mo-Pac Expressway in the vicinity of the site. According to TxDOT average daily traffic counts, the 2015 traffic volume on Burnet Road was approximately 26,100 vpd south of Gault Lane. The 2014 Austin Bicycle Plan recommends protected bike lanes on Burnet Road in the vicinity of the site. The North Lamar/Burnet Corridor Program (2013) identifited transportation improvements along Burnet Road which are included in the 2016 Mobility Bond.

<u>Metric Boulevard</u> is a four lane divided major arterial between Parmer Lane and Braker Lane. According to TxDOT average daily traffic counts, the 2015 traffic volume on Metric Boulevard was approximately 23,900 vpd north of Braker Lane. Metric Boulevard currently provides buffered bike lanes between Stonehollow Drive and Braker Lane. The 2014 Austin Bicycle Plan recommends protected bike lanes on Metric Boulevard in the vicinity of the site.

<u>Gracy Farms Lane</u> is a four lane collector between Burnet Road and Metric Boulevard. According to TxDOT average daily traffic counts, the 2015 traffic volume on Gracy Farms Lane was approximately 9,600 vpd east of Hobby Horse Court. Gracy Farms Lane currently provides buffered bike lanes between Burnet Road and Metric Boulevard.

<u>Gault Lane</u> is a two lane local street between Alterra Parkway and Hobby Horse Court. Daily traffic volumes were not provided for Gault Lane, however, extrapolating from the observed peak period traffic counts, approximately 4,500 vpd are estimated east of Burnet Road.

<u>Palm Way</u> is a two lane local street between Alterra Parkway and Burnet Road, becoming a private internal roadway for the subject property east of Burnet Road. Daily traffic volumes were not provided for Palm Way, however, extrapolating from the observed peak period traffic counts, approximately 3,700 vpd are estimated west of Burnet Road.

<u>Esperanza Crossing</u> is a four lane divided local street between Mo-Pac northbound frontage road and Burnet Road, and a two lane local street east of Burnet Road. Daily traffic volumes were not provided for Esperanza Crossing, however, extrapolating from the observed peak period traffic counts, approximately 2,100 vpd are estimated on this roadway, east of Burnet Road.

<u>Kramer Lane</u> is a two lane local street between Alterra Parkway and Metric Boulevard. According to TxDOT average daily traffic counts, the 2015 traffic volume on Kramer Lane was approximately 6,900 vpd east of Burnet Road. Kramer Lane provides bike lanes between Burnet Road and Metric Boulevard. The 2014 Austin Bicycle Plan recommends protected bike lanes on Kramer Lane in the vicinity of the site.

<u>Braker Lane</u> is a six lane divided major arterial between Burnet Road and Metric Boulevard. According to TxDOT average daily traffic counts, the 2015 traffic volume on Braker Lane was approximately 28,500 vpd east of Burnet Road. Braker Lane currently provides bike lanes between Mo-Pac Expressway and Kramer Lane. The 2014 Austin Bicycle Plan recommends protected bike lanes on Braker Lane in the vicinity of the site.

<u>Hobby Horse Court</u> is a two lane local street between Gracy Farms Lane and Gault Lane. Daily traffic volumes were not provided for Hobby Horse Court, however, extrapolating from the observed peak period traffic counts, approximately 4,500 vpd are estimated south of Gracy Farms Lane.

<u>Stonehollow Drive</u> is a two lane local street that offers a loop connection to Metric Boulevard. The roadway intersects Metric Boulevard to the north and to the south of Gracy Farms Lane. Daily traffic volumes were not provided for Stonehollow Drive, however, extrapolating from the observed peak period traffic counts, approximately 3,400 vpd are estimated south of Gracy Farms Lane.

#### **Trip Generation Estimates**

Based on the <u>ITE Trip Generation Manual</u>, <u>9<sup>th</sup> Edition</u>, the proposed development will generate approximately 38,449 new daily trips per day (vpd) with 3663 trips occurring during the AM peak hour, and 4827 occurring during the PM peak hour. The following background projects were listed in the scoping document:

- Home 2 Suites (SP 2012 0281C), already constructed
- Marriott Residence Inn (SP 2014 0336C),
- Charles Schwab Campus (SP 2015 0437C),
- The Domain HPI Office (SP 2015 01911C) and
- The Domain IBM-Multi-family (SP 2015 0191C)

On the following page, Table 1 provides the estimated number of daily weekday trips for this development proposal.

Table 1 – Estimate of weekday trip generation							
		Weekday AM Peak Weekday PM Peak		Weekday PM Peak			
Land Use (ITE Code)	Intensity	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit	Daily Totals	
Apartment (220)	2,092 DU	206	823	759	409	12,801	
Residential Condominium/ Townhomes (210)	150 DU	12	59	56	28	915	
Hotel (310)	300 Rooms	117	84	103	107	2676	
General Office (710)	2,123,137 SF*	2,718	213	404	1,974	10,248	
Shopping Center (820)	98,462 SF	96	59	285	308	6,723	
High-Turnover Sit Down Restaurant (932)	40,000 SF	238	195	236	158	5,086	
		3,387	1,591	2,068	4,083	38,449	

As stated within the TIA scoping document, reductions were permitted for the proposed site generated traffic to reflect the local transportation travel and transportation access patterms. Accordingly, a 15% reduction for the PM peak hour trips was permitted for restaurant and shopping center land uses. An additional 30% reduction was permitted for the combined use of public transportation, bicycles and pedestrian trips to the site plus an internal capture of a portion of the residential, office and retail trips within the site. These reductions to the estimates of peak hour trips reflect the applicant's proposal to construct a new LRT station, offering increased public transit service to the site. Table 2 below presents the adjusted estimates of site generated trips for the proposed land uses.

Table 2 – Adjusted estimate of weekday trip generation							
		Weekday AM Peak		Weekday PM Peak		Daily	
Land Use (ITE Code)	Intensity	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit	Totals	
Apartment (220)	2,092 DU	144	576	531	286	8,961	
Residential Condominium/ Townhomes (210)	150 DU	9	42	40	20	641	
Hotel (310)	300 Rooms	117	84	103	107	2,676	
General Office (710)	2,123,137 SF*	1,093	150	283	1,382	7,174	
Shopping Center (820)	98,462 SF	68	42	157	170	4,707	
High-Turnover Sit Down Restaurant (932)	40,000 SF	167	137	201	135	4,324	
		1,599	1,032	1,316	2,101	28,483	

<sup>\*</sup> Reflects the net additional office space: the existing 1,112,236 SF of general office is excluded.

## **Trip Distribution**

Table 3 presents how the site traffic was assigned to the surrounding network of public streets to determine the impact of the proposed development upon existing transportation infrastructure.

Table 3 – Directional distribution of site traffic				
Roadway	% site traffic			
Northbound Mopac Expressway	15%			
Southbound Mopac Expressway	10%			
Southbound Burnet Road	10%			
Northbound Metric Boulevard	10%			
Southbound Metric Boulevard	10%			
Westbound Duval Road	10%			
Eastbound Gracy Farms Lane	5%			
Eastbound Kramer Lane	5%			
Eastbound Braker Lane	10%			
Westbound Braker Lane	15%			
Total	100			

## **Traffic Analysis Methodology**

Table 4 below presents the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) definitions of 'levels of service' for both *signalized and unsignalized* intersections. Within the City of Austin, LOS "D" is considered the acceptable threshold for signalized operations and for intersections where the LOS is projected at "E" or lower, mitigation should be proposed.

	Table 4 –Level of Service as defined by HCM					
Level of Service	Signalized Intersection Average Total Delay (Sec/Veh)	Unsignalized Intersection Average Total Delay (Sec/Veh)				
. А	≤10	≤10				
В	>10 and ≤20	>10 and ≤15				
С	>20 and ≤35	>15 and ≤25				
D	>35 and ≤55	>25 and ≤35				
Ε	>55 and ≤80	>35 and ≤50				
F	>80	>50				

The following table present a summary of the analysis performed within the TIA. Table 5 below shows the estimated delays (in seconds per vehicle) for the AM and PM peak hours of travel for three scenarios: existing conditions, forecast conditions (without the project, called "No Build") and forecast conditions with the project as proposed (called "Built"). The City of Austin assumes the morning peak hour will occur between 7 and 9 AM, and the evening peak hour between 4 and 6 PM during the regular work week (Monday – Friday).

Table 5 – Calcualtion of Levels o	Service 1	Dr Existini	T'		T	
	2015 E	xisting		No Build	1	Built
			(Forecast only)		(Site + Forecast	
Intersection	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
	LOS	LOS	LOS	LOS	LOS	LOS
	(Delay)	(Delay)	(Delay)	(Delay)	(Delay)	(Delay
Mopac SB Frontage Rd and	F	E	F	F	F	F
Duval Road	(114.1)	(66.4)	(278.6)	(141.5)	(322.9)	(183.3
Mopac NB Frontage Rd and	E	E	F	F	F	F
Duval Road	(55.0)	(63.4)	(104.5)	(137.4)	(158.8)	(170.4
Burnet Road/Mopac NB Frontage Road	В	С	E	E	E	F
and Gracy Farms Lane	(11.6)	(20.1)	(65.4)	(65.3)	(69.9)	(92.5)
Downst Board and Coult Live	E	D	F	F	F	F
Burnet Road and Gault Lane	(60.9)	(52.7)	(131.5)	(235.2)	(294.3)	(587.6
Burnet Road and Palm Way	В	D	В	E	D	F
	(11.4)	(36.5)	(10.2)	(77.1)	(44.3)	(300.1
Burnet Road and Esperanza Crossing	В	D	F	F	F	F
Darriet Noad and Esperanza Crossing	(18.7)	(54.5)	(250.1)	(503.2)	(283.5)	(525.6
Burnet Road and Kramer Lane	В	С	D	F	F	F
bulliet Road allo Krailler Laile	(11.3)	(28.7)	(48.9)	(96.6)	(85.5)	(237.8
Burnet Road and Braker Lane	Ε	Е	F	F	F	F
Durnet Road and Braker Lane	(62.0)	(62.3)	(153.3)	(168.1)	(217.7)	(252.3
Stonehollow Drive and	В	С	F	С	F	E
Gracy Farms Lane	(16.7)	(21.2)	(110.1)	(34.3)	(172.2)	(74.9)
Kramor Lano and Dealise Less	С	С	С	E	D	F
Kramer Lane and Braker Lane	(21.8)	(31.6)	(30.2)	(60.6)	(46.1)	(136.1
Matria Paulauand and Company	С	С	E	D	E	F
Metric Boulevard and Gracy Farms Lane	(22.1)	(25.0)	(69.5)	(46.4)	(83.8)	(84.1)
Motrie Pouleyand and Dunker Laws	E	E	F	F	F	F
Metric Boulevard and Braker Lane	(62.9)	(67.4)	(174.1)	(117.7)	(193.4)	(123.9
Rurnet Road and IRM Drivate Dead	Α	Α	Α	A	Α	A
Burnet Road and IBM Private Roadway	(0.1)	(1.5)	(0.1)	(6.6)	(0.3)	(8.7)

Table 5 – Calcualtion of Levels of	Service f	or Existin	g, No Build	and Built	Scenario	5
	2015 F	xisting		No Build		Built
			_	st only)	(Site + Forecast)	
Intersection	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
	LOS	LOS	LOS	LOS	LOS	LOS
Habbartana Contact	(Delay)	(Delay)	(Delay)	(Delay)	(Delay)	(Delay)
Hobby Horse Court and	Α	F	F	F	Err	Err
Gracy Farms Lane	(9.2)	(75.9)	(149.8)	(2010.5)		
Hobby Horse Court/Roadway D and	В	В	D	В	F	F
Gault Lane	(14.1)	(10.4)	(31.3)	(11.0)	(278.1)	(280.2)
Schwab Driveway and Gracy Farms Lane	A	Α	F	F	F	Fun
Joint Diversaly and Gracy Farms Lane	(1.3)	(8.6)	(21154)	(7364.0)	Err	Err
Burnet Road and Roadway A					Α	В
	_	_	-	-	(0.2)	(10.2)
Burnet Road and Roadway B		_			Α	С
Burnet Road and Roadway B	-		-	-	(0.4)	(24.5)
Driverson Caral Carllan				_	D	Α
Driveway C and Gault Lane	-	-	- 1	-	(26.2)	(6.3)
					A	Α
Driveway E and Gault Lane	-	-	•	-	(1.3)	(0.6)
					A	Α
Roadway A and Roadway D	-	-	-	-	(2.1)	(3.1)
					B	B
Roadway D and Palm Way	-		-	-	(12.2)	
						(12.7)
Roadway D and Roadway B	-	-	-	-	Α (0.0)	В
	_	_			(9.9)	(11.4)
Roadway D and IBM Roadway		-	-		Α	В
					(8.5)	(14.4)
Parkway Road and Palm Way	_	_		-	Α	Α
					(6.7)	(4.1)
Parkway Road and Roadway B				_	Α	В
,					(7.6)	(12.8)
Parkway Road and IBM Roadway					В	E
- In the state of	_			-	(12.3)	(41.7)

<sup>&</sup>quot;Err" Calculated value exceeds limits of Synchro model

# **Summary of Existing Conditions**

As shown in the table above, certain intersections already exhibit LOS at "E" or below. These analyses reflect the baseline conditions to which site traffic (and proposed mitigations) will be added. Other

intersections were found to operate at LOS "E" or "F" after development occurs. Austin Transportation Department interprets intersections presented with LOS "E" or below as in need of mitigation.

### <u>Discussion of results of TIA analysis:</u>

As illustrated in Table 5, future capacity concerns are identified along the Mo-Pac Expressway frontage and within the North Burnet Road corridor. Some of these concerns were identified at intersections within the study area in the Existing (2015) and Future (2036) analyses. Although major improvements are necessary at intersections along Mo-Pac Expressway, improvements were not proposed as part of this project, as they are expected to be addressed separately as part of regional improvement plans.

### **Recommended Transportation Improvements**

The TIA identified improvements to the adjacent and internal transportation infrastructure to mitigate the calculated impact to traffic resulting from this development. Table 6 summarizes the recommended improvements. Current practice applies the percentage of site traffic using the improved facility to identify the estimated level of cost participation ("pro-rata" share).

Intersections	Recommended Improvements
Mopac SB Frontage Road and	Construct SB right-turn lane
Duval Road	Construct additional SB left-turn lane
Mopac NB Frontage Road and Duval Road	Construct NB through lane
ournet Road/Mopac NB Frontage load and Gracy Farms Lane	Construct NB right-turn lane
Surnet Road and Gault Lane	Construct WB right-turn lane
	Construct SB right-turn lane
	Construct WB left-turn lane
	Construct EB left-turn lane
	Extend SB left-turn lane storage
	Extend NB right-turn lane storage
	Signal modification and timing optimization
Burnet Road and Palm Way	Stripe EB for dual left-turn lanes
	Construct NB right-turn lane
	Construct WB dual left-turn lanes
	Signal modification and timing optimization
rnet Road and Kramer Lane	Construct EB right-turn lane
	Construct WB right-turn lane
	Construct NB dual left-turn lanes*

Intersections	Recommended Improvements
	Construct SB dual left-turn lanes*
	Remove channelization of NB right-turn lane*
	Signal modification and timing optimization*
Burnet Road and Braker Lane	Construct EB dual left-turn lanes*
	Construct WB dual left-turn lanes*
	Construct NB dual left-turn lanes*
	Construct SB dual left-turn lanes*
	Construct EB right-turn lane*
	Signal modification and timing optimization*
	Construct WB right-turn lane
Stonehollow Drive and	Construct SB right-turn lane
Gracy Farms Lane	Signal timing optimization
Kramer Lane and Braker Lane	Construct EB right-turn lane
	Construct WB right-turn lane
	Signal timing optimization
Metric Boulevard and Gracy Farms	Construct NB dual left-turn lanes
ane	Signal modification and timing optimization
Netric Boulevard and Braker Lane	Construct EB right-turn lane
	Construct WB right-turn lane
	Construct NB right-turn lane
	Construct SB right-turn lane
	Signal modification and timing optimization
Burnet Road and	install traffic signal
BM Private Roadway	Construct NB right-turn lane
	Remove median & construct WB left-turn lane
lobby Horse Court and	Install traffic signal
Gracy Farms Lane	Construct/extend WB dual left-turn lanes
	Stripe Hobby Horse Ct to 4-lanes
lobby Horse Court/Roadway D	Install traffic signal
nd Gault Lane	Construct EB left-turn lane
	Construct WB right-turn lane
urnet Road and Roadway A	Construct NB right-turn lane
urnet Road and Roadway B	Construct NB right-turn lane
arkway Rd and IBM Roadway	Construct NB channelized right turn lane

<sup>\*</sup>some improvements were identified in prior TIA's and incorporated into the future built scenario

In addition to the offsite improvements, the development proposes to construct internal roadways to serve the additional site traffic and help minimize the additional burden on the existing public roadway network. Staff from the Austin Transportation Department reviewed and endorsed the conceptual

dimensions and cross sections for these new roads. The list below summarizes key elements of the internal roads to be built within the development:

- 1. Gault Lane between Burnet Road and Driveway E: four travel lanes with dividing center median and additional turn lanes at intersections where needed.
- 2. Palm Way between Burnet Road and Roadway D: four travel lanes with dividing center median and additional turn lanes at intersections where needed.
- 3. Palm Way between Roadway D and Parkway Road: two travel lanes and center two-way left-turn lane (CTWLTL) or additional turn lanes at intersections where needed.
- 4. IBM Roadway between Burnet Road and Parkway Road: two travel lanes and center twoway left-turn lane (CTWLTL) or additional turn lanes at intersections where needed
- 5. Roadway A and Roadway B: two travel lanes and additional turn lanes at intersections when needed.
- 6. Roadway D between Gault Lane and first driveway south of Gault Lane: four travel lanes with dividing center median and additional turn lanes at intersections where needed.
- 7. Roadway D between first driveway south of Gault Lane and Roadway B: two travel lanes and center two-way left-turn lane (CTWLTL) or additional turn lanes at intersections where needed
- 8. Roadway D between Roadway B and IBM Roadway two travel lanes and additional turn lanes at intersections when needed.
- 9. Parkway Road, between Driveway E and Palm Way two travel lanes and additional turn lanes at intersections when needed.
- 10. Parkway Road, between Palm Way and IBM Roadway two travel lanes and additional turn lanes at intersections when needed.

# **City of Austin Staff Recommendations**

Review staff discussed the need to implement physical improvements concurrently with the development of the site and thus prioritized the infrastructure elements accordingly. Staff recognized and acknowledged the need to distinguish site related traffic congestion from larger (or preexisting) regional traffic concerns. Therefore, after review and acceptance of the TIA findings, the following goals were identified:

- 1) Wherever feasible, staff prefers to have the developer construct physical improvements instead of posting fiscal towards the estimated costs of construction.
- In locations where more than one improvement is identified, staff would accept a fully constructed single improvement in place of several partial funded elements.

### **Conclusions and Recommendations**

While not all of the identified improvements necessary will be constructed as part of this site development, review staff is in agreement that the applicant's contributions, both constructed and in fiscal support, will satisfactorily mitigate the impact determined in the TIA document if certain critical improvements are made as a part of the site development. Therefore, staff recommends approval of this zoning application subject to the following conditions:

Prior to the 3<sup>rd</sup> Reading of City Council, the applicant shall agree to the following conditions:

- 1) Dedication of right of way along North Burnet Road up to 60 70 feet as measured from centerline, to permit implementation of identified roadway improvements.
- 2) Dedication of Palm Way as a public road, from its intersection with North Burnet Road to Roadway D, of a total width of 135 feet from Burnet Road to Roadway D, and narrowing to a width of 105 feet from Roadway D to Parkway Road.
- 3) Dedication of Gault Lane as a public road, 46 feet in width from its intersection with Burnet Road to Hobby Horse Court/Roadway D.
- 4) Dedication of Roadway D as a public road, approximately 80 feet in width, from its intersection with Gault Lane to Palm Way.
- 5) In addition, the following physical improvements are to be constructed as part of site plan development within the project:

Location	Improvement	Cost Estimate	Developer Share %	Developer Cost	
Burnet Road and	Install traffic signals	\$300,000	100.0%	\$300,000	
IBM Roadway	Add WB LT lane*	\$150,000	100.0%	\$150,000	
Burnet Road and Roadway B	Add NB RT lane*	\$150,000	100.0%	\$150,000	
	Add 2nd WB LT lane*	\$150,000	100.0%	\$150,000	
Burnet Road and Palm Way	Upgrade signals infrastructure and revise signal timings*	\$150,000	100.0%	\$150,000	
Burnet Road and Roadway A	Add NB RT lane*	\$150,000	100.0%	\$150,000	
Total value of constru	cted improvements			\$1,050,000	

6) Prior to the issuance of the first site development permit, the applicant shall post fiscal in the amount of \$1,970,051 for the improvements identified in the TIA and presented below:

			Davida	Develope
Location	Improvement	Total Cost	Developer Share %	Cost
Burnet Road and	Add 2nd EB LT lane	\$204,000	76.0%	\$155,040
Braker Lane	Upgrade signals and revise signal timings	\$204,000	A-540	
Burnet Road and Kramer Lane	Modify turn lanes	\$204,000	51.0%	\$104,085
	Upgrade signals and revise signal timings			
Burnet Road and IBM Roadway	Add NB RT lane	\$150,000	89.4%	\$134,100
Burnet Road and Palm Way	Add NB RT lane	\$150,000	95.8%	\$143,700
	Add WB RT lane	\$49,911	12.8%	\$6,389
	Add WB LT lane	\$250,000	68.5%	\$171,250
Burnet Road and Gault	Extend SB LT lane	\$150,000	58.1%	\$87,150
Lane	Extend NB RT lane	\$75,000	73.9%	\$55,425
	Upgrade signals and revise signal timings	\$150,000	68.5%	\$102,750
Hobby Horse Court/	Install WB turn lane	\$150,000	58.4%	\$87,600
Roadway D and	Install EB turn lane	\$150,000	96.5%	\$144,750
Gault Lane	Install traffic signal	\$300,000	90%	\$270,000
Mopac SB Frontage Road and Duval Road	Add 2 <sup>nd</sup> SB LT lane	\$150,000	20.9%	\$31,350
Mopac NB Frontage Road and Duval Road	Add 2 <sup>nd</sup> northbound lane	\$89,306	11.4%	\$10,181
itonehollow Drive and	Add SB turn lane	\$150,000	25%	\$37,500
Gracy Farms Lane	Revise signal timings	\$5,000	100.0%	\$5,000
Braker Lane and	Add WB RT lane	\$150,000	33.1%	\$49,650
Kramer Lane	Revise signal timings	\$5,000	100.0%	\$5,000
Metric Boulevard and Gracy Farms Lane	Upgrade signal equipment	\$5,000	33.6%	\$1,680
ridey i di ilis calle	Revise signal timings	\$5,000	100%	\$5,000
Metric Boulevard and Braker Lane	Upgrade signals infrastructure and revise signal timings	\$150,000	8.6%	\$12,900

Table 8 – List of improvements for fiscal participation						
Location	Improvement	Total Cost	Developer Share %	Developer Cost		
Hobby Horse and Gracy Farms Lane	Stripe Hobby Horse Court to four lanes	\$10,000	76.2%	\$7,620		
	Add 2nd WB LT lane	\$150,000	38.0%	\$57,000		
Oracy Farms Lane	Extend WB LT lane	\$75,000	38.0%	\$28,500		
	Install traffic signal	\$300,000	67.9%	\$203,700		
Pedestrian improvements from North Burnet Gateway Plan	Sidewalk improvements (Braker Lane to Mopac Expressway)	\$279,000	18.9%	\$52,731		
Total participation		\$3,506,217		\$1,970,051		

- 7) Staff review considers the total value of the dedicated public rights-of-way, in combination with the proposed on-site transit station, public and private roadways, and contribution to the costs to construct off-site improvements, to meet in full the determined roughly proportionate mitigation as outlined by City of Austin policy. No additional participation, either built or financial is recommended by staff.
- 8) Development of this property should not vary from the approved uses, nor exceed the approved intensities and estimated traffic generation assumptions within the TIA document (dated November 22, 2017), including land uses, trip generation, trip distribution, traffic controls and other identified conditions.
- 9) The findings and recommendations of this TIA memorandum remain valid until March 7, April 4, 2023, after which a revised TIA or addendum may be required.

Please contact me at (512) 974 – 2208, if you have any questions or need additional information.

Thank you.

Scott A. James, P.E., PTOE

Best 6 James

Land Use Review – Transportation Development Services Department