



BARTON SPRINGS POOL: Upper Dam Modifications - Gates and Gravel Catcher

David Johns, P.G., Program Manager/Geologist, Watershed Protection

Joint Parks Board and Environmental Commission May 29, 2018





Background

- 4 portals (openings) in upstream dam
- Closed in 1970's during bypass construction due to increasing poor water quality in creek following storm events
- Creek flows greater than 500 cfs floods BSP
- Gravel catcher in creek 200 ft upstream of BSP





Proposal



Re-open one or more portals in upstream dam

Add gates to portals to regulate timing and volume of creek flow entering pool

Remove upstream "gravel" catcher









Reasoning

Barton Springs Pool is hydraulically and ecologically isolated from it's surface watershed except during floods and pool drawdowns

BSP Master Plan — flow regime improvements

Significant upstream creek flow 25-30% of time (1998-2017)

- Longest 213 days (mid-October to mid-May)
- About 20 long flow events in swim season
- 9 flow events greater than 90 days

Gravel catcher doesn't capture gravel and is causing erosion on north bank of the creek





Portal Advantages — Water Quality

Creates more natural flow regime in pool (BSP Master Plan, Habitat Conservation Plan)

Creek flow into pool can aid postflood cleaning by helping transport sediment and debris out of pool

Inflow of creek water will allow faster turn over in pool following storm events

 Creek water quality improves quicker than springs





Portal Disadvantages — Water Quality

Another detail to attend to at the pool, especially during flood preparation

Open gates may create fast currents in upper area of shallow end of the pool

Gates could be damaged during flood event and not close



Portal Advantages - Ecological

Improves connection of pool with creek

- Plants
- Fishes
- Other organisms including salamanders

May improve salamander prey

May reduce fine sediment deposition in some habitat areas

May reduce salamander stranding as pool is drawn down





Portal Disadvantages - Ecological

May introduce invasive species to pool (zebra mussels, others)

May introduce more fish predators for salamanders

Creek current could encourage nuisance algae growth in current in shallow end





Gravel Catcher

Doesn't capture gravel
Mainly used as a creek
crossing

Removal previously proposed in 2014-15

Removal opposed by some citizens in Barton Hills



CITY OF AUSTIN WATERSHED PROTEC





Gravel Catcher Proposal

Remove structure

Repair and restore eroding creek bank





Gravel Catcher

Advantages

- Removes unnecessary infrastructure
- Repair and restore creek bank

Disadvantages

Removes commonly used path across creek