

## **ITEM FOR ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION AGENDA**

**COMMISSION MEETING** 

June 20, 2018

Live Oak Springs

DATE REQUESTED:

Name & Number Of

C8J-2016-0228 PROJECT:

NAME OF APPLICANT OR

Paul Linehan ORGANIZATION: **Land Strategies** 

LOCATION: 9406 Morninghill Drive

COUNCIL DISTRICT: N/A 2-Mile ETJ

November 17, 2016 PROJECT FILING DATE:

DSD/Environmental

STAFF:

Atha Phillips, Environmental Program Coordinator

(512)974-6303, atha.phillips@austintexas.gov

WATERSHED: Slaughter Creek

Watershed Protection Ordinance ORDINANCE:

REQUEST: Variance request is as follows:

1. Critical Water Quality Zone Street Crossings [LDC 30-5-

262(B)(1)]

**STAFF** 

Staff does not recommend the variance.

**DETERMINATION:** 

REASONS FOR

Findings of fact have not been met.

**DETERMINATION:** 



## Development Services Department Staff Recommendations Concerning Required Findings

Project: Live Oak Springs

Ordinance Standard: Watershed Protection Ordinance

Variance Request: Critical Water Quality Zone Street Crossings [LDC 30-5-262(B)(1)]

Include an explanation with each applicable finding of fact.

A. Land Use Commission variance determinations from Chapter 30-5-41 of the City Code:

1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege available to owners of similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development subject to similar code requirements.

No, there are very few existing crossings of Slaughter Creek and those are limited to highways, large thoroughfares, and old subdivisions. For example streets that currently cross Slaughter Creek within the Barton Springs Zone are limited: Highway 290, FM 1826, Escarpment Blvd., Brodie Lane, and a few old subdivision streets.

## 2. The variance:

 Is not necessitated by the scale, layout, construction method, or other design decision made by the applicant, unless the design decision provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

No, the desire to have a higher density of development by the applicant is driving the need for the second access. A subdivision with less units would not be required to build a secondary access.

b) Is the minimum deviation from the code requirement necessary to allow a reasonable use of the property;

Yes, the location of the second access is the only other viable connection at this time since neighboring properties are not currently allowing access.

c) Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences.

Yes, although there will be temporary disturbance, the floodplain will be restored to a degree that is better than its current condition.

3. Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

Yes, although not better, the proposed water quality meets current code.

- B. Additional Land Use Commission variance determinations for a requirement of Section 30-5-422 (Water Quality Transition Zone), Section 30-5-452 (Water Quality Transition Zone), Article 7, Division 1 (Critical Water Quality Zone Restrictions), or Section 30-5-652 (Development Impacting Lake Austin, Lady Bird Lake, and Lake Walter E. Long):
  - 1. The criteria for granting a variance in Subsection (A) are met;

No, the applicant has not met all the requirements in Subsection (A). The applicant is causing the need for the variance due to the proposed density.

2. The requirement for which a variance is requested prevents a reasonable, economic use of the entire property;

No, the applicant would still be able to build a single-family subdivision but with fewer houses.

3. The variance is the minimum deviation from the code requirement necessary to allow a reasonable, economic use of the entire property.

No, with fewer units the subdivision could be built using the current access without the need for the variance.

## Staff Recommendation:

Staff does not recommend approval of the variance since the Finding of Fact have not been met.