CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet

DATE: Wonday June 11, 2018	CASE NUMBER: C15-2018-0020
Y William Burkhardt Y Christopher Covo Y Eric Golf - Melissa Hawthorne OUT Y Bryan King Y Don Leighton-Burwell - Rahm McDaniel OUT - Martha Gonzalez (Alternate) OUT Y Veronica Rivera Y James Valdez Y Michael Von Ohlen Y Kelly Blume (Alternate) - Pim Mayo (Alternate) OUT	
APPLICANT: Nick Mehl	
OWNER: Jennifer Todd	
ADDRESS: 1210 ANGELINA ST	
VARIANCE REQUESTEDThe applicant has request 2-492 (D) (Site Development Regulations) to: A. decrease the minimum lot area from 5,750 square feet (requested/existing); and to B. decrease the minimum lot width from 50 fer (requested/existing); and to C. increase the maximum impervious cover from the percent (requested); and to D. decrease the side yard setback from 5 feet and to	et (required) to 1,138 et (required) to 24.5 feet com 45 percent (required) to 65 (required) to 3 feet (requested);
E. Section 25-6, Appendix A (Tables of Off-Str Requirements) to reduce the number of required (required) to ¬¬¬1 space (requested)	parking spaces from 2 spaces
in order to erect a new single family home in a "S	F-3-NP" Family Residence -

NOTE: The Central East Austin neighborhood plan allows new construction of a single family home on a 2,500 square foot or larger lot, however this lot is smaller than 2,500 square feet (1,138 square feet) and does not qualify for that small lot amnesty section of the plan which includes impervious cover of 65% as

Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (Central East Austin)

requested. This lot is located within the area that qualifies for exemption of additional parking space for an additional dwelling unit up to 1100 square feet in size or .15 of the lot area (171 square feet for this lot), however the applicant is proposing a new primary dwelling unit which requires 2 parking spaces.

BOARD'S DECISION: BOA MEETING JUNE 11, 2018 The public hearing was closed on Board Member Brooke Bailey motion to Postpone to July 9, 2018, Board Member Michael Von Ohlen second on a 10-0 vote; POSTPONED TO July 9, 2018

FINDING:

- 1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
- 3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

Leane Heldenfels Executive Liaison William Burkhardt

Chairman