CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet

| DATE: Monday June 11, 2018 | CASE NUMBER: C15-2017-0047 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Brooke Bailey | |
| William Burkhardt | |
| Christopher Covo | |
| Eric Goff | |
| Melissa Hawthorne OUT | |
| Bryan King | |
| Don Leighton-Burwell | |
| Rahm McDaniel OUT | |
| Veronica Rivera | |
| James Valadez | |
| Michael Von Ohlen | |
| Kelly Blume (Alternate) | |
| Martha Gonzalez (Alternate) OUT | |
| Pim Mayo (Alternate) OUT | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

APPLICANT: Phil Moncada

OWNER: Ryan Dumont and Hank Coleman

ADDRESS: 3602 & 3604 RIVERCREST DR

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested variance(s) from Section 25-2-1176 (Site Development Regulations for Docks, Marinas, and Other Lakefront Uses) (A) (1) to increase the distance a dock may extend from the shoreline from 30 feet (required/permitted) to 60 feet (requested) in order to construct a new dock in an "LA", Lake Austin zoning district.

BOARD'S DECISION: Sept 18, 2017 POSTPONED TO NOVEMBER 13, 2017 BY APPLICANT; November 13, 2017 POSTPONED TO DECEMBER 11, 2017 BY APPLICANT; Dec 11, 2017 The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King motion to Postpone Indefinitely, Board Member second on a 10-1 vote (Board member William Burkhardt nay); POSTPONED INDEFINITELY (UNTIL ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD HAS MADE A RULING).

RENOTICE: JUNE 11, 2018 The applicant has requested variance(s) from Section 25-2-1176 (Site Development Regulations for Docks, Marinas, and Other Lakefront Uses) (A) (1) to increase the distance a dock may extend from the shoreline from 30 feet (required/permitted) to 60 feet (requested) in order to construct a new dock in an "LA", Lake Austin zoning district.

BOARD'S DECISION: June 11, 2018 POSTPONED TO AUGUST 13, 2018 BY APPLICANT

FINDING:

1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:

2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:

(b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:

3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

Leane Heldenfels

Executive Liaison

William Burkhardt

Chairman