

**CITY OF AUSTIN**  
**Board of Adjustment**  
**Decision Sheet**

**DATE: Monday July 09, 2018**

**CASE NUMBER: C16-2018-0005**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Brooke Bailey
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	William Burkhardt
<input type="checkbox"/>	Christopher Covo (RECUSED)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Eric Golf
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Melissa Hawthorne
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bryan King
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Don Leighton-Burwell
<input type="checkbox"/>	Rahm McDaniel (OUT)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Martha Gonzalez (Alternate)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Veronica Rivera
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	James Valdez
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Michael Von Ohlen
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Kelly Blume (Alternate)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pim Mayo (Alternate)

**APPLICANT: Matt Park/Stephanie Knapp**

**OWNER: Jeff Rader**

**ADDRESS: 8601 FM 2222 RD**

**VARIANCE REQUESTED:** The applicant has requested a variance(s) to Section 25-10-124 (Scenic Roadway Sign District) (B) to increase the maximum number of freestanding signs on a lot from 1 (required/permitted) to 2 (requested) in order to install an additional freestanding externally illuminated monument sign/logo of the business located at this site in a "GO" General Office zoning district; Scenic Sign District.

**BOARD'S DECISION:** The public hearing was closed on Board Member Michael Von Ohlen motion to Deny, Board Member Don Leighton-Burwell second on a 10-0-1 vote (Board Member Christopher Cove recused without cause); **DENIED.**

**FINDING:**

1. The variance is necessary because strict enforcement of the Article prohibits and reasonable opportunity to provide adequate signs on the site, considering the unique features of a site such as its dimensions, landscape, or topography, because:

OR,


2. The granting of this variance will not have a substantially adverse impact upon neighboring properties, because:

OR,

3. The granting of this variance will not substantially conflict with the stated purposes of this sign ordinance, because:

AND,

4. Granting a variance would not provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated, because:



---

Leane Heldenfels  
Executive Liaison



---

William Burkhardt  
Chairman