## CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet

DATE: Monday October 8, 2018	CASE NUMBER: C15-2018-0037
Y Brooke Bailey	
Y William Burkhardt	
Y Christopher Covo	
Y Eric Golf	
Y Melissa Hawthorne	
Y Bryan King	
Y Don Leighton-Burwell	
Y Rahm McDaniel	
Martha Gonzalez (Alternate)	
Y Veronica Rivera	
Y James Valdez	
Y Michael Von Ohlen	
Kelly Blume (Alternate)	
Pim Mayo (Alternate)	

**OWNER/APPLICANT: Kathleen Huff** 

ADDRESS: 3117 WESTLAKE DR Bldg B

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested variance(s) from Section 25-2-1176 (Site Development Regulations for Docks, Marinas, and Other Lakefront Uses) (A)(6) to increase the dock height from not more than 30 feet (required) to 42 (requested, top of cupola) in order to complete construction of a boat dock within the "LA" Lake Austin Residence-zoning district.

Note: A dock may not exceed 30 feet in height as measured from the highest point of the structure above the normal pool elevation of the lake.

**BOARD'S DECISION: BOA MEETING SEPT 10, 2018** POSTPONED TO OCTOBER 8, 2018 BY STAFF (RE-NOTICE REQUIRED)

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested variance(s) from Section 25-2-1176 (Site Development Regulations for Docks, Marinas, and Other Lakefront Uses) (A)(6) to increase the dock height from not more than 30 feet (required, permitted) to 45 feet (requested, top of cupola) in order to complete a boat dock within the "LA" Lake Austin Residence zoning district.

Note: The Land Development Code states that a boat dock may not exceed 30 feet in height as measured from the highest point of the structure above the normal pool elevation of the lake.

BOARD'S DECISION: Oct 8, 2018 The public hearing was closed on Board Member Rahm McDaniel motion to Postpone to November 8, 2018, Board Member Christopher Covo second on an 11-0 vote; POSTPONED TO NOVEMBER 8, 2018

## **EXPIRATION DATE:**

## FINDING:

- 1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
- (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:(b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
- 3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

Leane Héldenfels

Executive Liaison

William Burkhardt

Chairman