CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet

DATE: Worday, December 10, 2018	CASE NUMBER: C16-2018-0007
N Brooke Bailey	
N William Burkhardt	
L Christopher Covo (LATE IN AT 5:56PM)	
Y Eric Golf	
Y Melissa Hawthorne	
N Bryan King	
N Don Leighton-Burwell	
Rahm McDaniel	
Y Martha Gonzalez (Alternate)	
Y Veronica Rivera	
N James Valdez	* Yv -
Y Michael Von Ohlen	- 1 - 1
Kelly Blume (Alternate)	
Y Ada Corral (Alternate)	

APPLICANT: Bragg Smith, Phoenix Property Company

OWNER: Jason Runnels, Catholic Church Austin Diocese Arch, Gregory Aymond, IV

ADDRESS: 26TH ST and Nueces PO LTD Partnership

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested a variance(s) to Section 25-10-133 (University Neighborhood Overlay Zoning) (F) to allow 2 electrified/LED backlit projecting wall signs to be affixed to the subject building above the second floor (requested) rather than be non-electric and engraved, cut into the building surface or otherwise inlaid to become part of the building when located above the second floor (required) in a "CS-NP", General Commercial Services - Neighborhood Plan, Community Commercial - Neighborhood Plan and "MF-4-NP", Multifamily residence moderate-high density - Neighborhood Plan, zoning district. (University Neighborhood Overlay, Inner)

BOARD'S DECISION: The public hearing was closed on Board Member Melissa Hawthorne motion to Grant, Board Member Veronica Rivera second on a 6-5 vote (Board members Brooke Bailey, William Burkhardt, Bryan King, Don Leighton-Burwell, James Valadez nay); GRANTED.

EXPIRATION DATE: December 10, 2019

FINDING:

1. The variance is necessary because strict enforcement of the Article prohibits and reasonable opportunity to provide adequate signs on the site, considering the unique features of a site such

as its dimensions, landscape, or topography, because: building was permitted prior to overlay, located on one 1 way street, lack of connection to campus to the main drag, height of sign will allow people to safely find building.

OR.

2. The granting of this variance will not have a substantially adverse impact upon neighboring properties, because: the signs match other properties in the vicinity.

OR,

3. The granting of this variance will not substantially conflict with the stated purposes of this sign ordinance, because: complies to sign, back lite letters

AND,

4. Granting a variance would not provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated, because: sign will match other in the area, structure was built before overlay was established.

Leane Heldenfels Executive Liaison William Burkhardt

Chairman