

Project Summary Form

1) **Project Name** 2) **Project Type** 3) **New Construction or Rehabilitation?**

4) **Location Description** (Acreage, side of street, distance from intersection) 5) **Mobility Bond Corridor**

6) **Census Tract** 7) **Council District** 8) **Elementary School** 9) **Affordability Period**

10) **Type of Structure** 11) **Occupied?** 12) **How will funds be used?**

13) Summary of Rental Units by MFI Level

Income Level	Efficiency	One Bedroom	Two Bedroom	Three Bedroom	Four (+) Bedroom	Total
Less than 20% MFI						0
Less than 30% MFI		5	7	3		15
Less than 40% MFI						0
Less than 50% MFI		21	34	17		72
Less than 60% MFI		15	25	13		53
Less than 80% MFI						0
Less than 120% MFI						0
No Restrictions						0
Total Units	0	41	66	33	0	140

14) Summary of Units for Sale at MFI Level

Income Level	Efficiency	One	Two	Three	Four (+)	Total
Less than 60% MFI						0
Less than 80% MFI						0
Less than 120% MFI						0
No Restrictions						0
Total Units	0	0	0	0	0	0

15) Initiatives and Priorities

Initiative	# of Units	Initiative	# of Units
Accessible Units for Mobility Impairments	14	Continuum of Care Units	
Accessible Units for Sensory Impairments	3		

Use the City of Austin GIS Map to Answer the questions below

- 16) Is the property within 1/2 mile of an Imagine Austin Center or Corridor?
- 17) Is the property within 1/4 mile of a High-Frequency Transit Stop?
- 18) Is the property within 3/4 mile of Transit Service?
- 19) The property has Healthy Food Access?

20) Estimated Sources and Uses of funds

<u>Sources</u>		<u>Uses</u>	
Debt	7600482	Acquisition	4201000
Third Party Equity	14848515	Off-Site	
Grant	2546355	Site Work	1000000
Deferred Developer Fee	1312136	Sit Amenities	
Other		Building Costs	19925261
City of Austin	6500000	Contractor Fees	1857299
		Soft Costs	1548768
		Financing	1597330
		Developer Fees	2677830
Total \$	32,807,488	Total \$	32,807,488

Project Name	Burleson Apts	
Project Type	100% Affordable	
Council District	District 3	
Census Tract	23.13	
AHFC Funding Request Amount	\$6,500,000	
Estimated Total Project Cost	\$32,807,488	
High Opportunity	NO	
High Displacement Risk	NO	
High Frequency Transit	No	
Imagine Austin	Yes	
Mobility Bond Corridor	S. Pleasant Valley Rd	
SCORING ELEMENTS		Description
UNITS		
< 20% MFI	0	# of rental units at < 20% MFI
< 30% MFI	15	# of rental units at < 30% MFI
<i>District Goal</i>	2.38%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>High Opportunity</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>Displacement Risk</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>High Frequency Transit</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>Imagine Austin</i>	11.41%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>Geographic Dispersion</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>Mobility Bond Corridor</i>	11.03%	% of annual goal reached with units
SCORE	5	% of Goals * 20
< 40% MFI	0	# of rental units at < 40% MFI
< 50% MFI	72	# of rental units at < 50% MFI
<i>District Goal</i>	11.44%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>High Opportunity</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>Displacement Risk</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>High Frequency Transit</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>Imagine Austin</i>	54.75%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>Geographic Dispersion</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>Mobility Bond Corridor</i>	52.95%	% of annual goal reached with units
SCORE	18	% of Goals * 15
< 60% MFI	0	# of units for purchase at < 60% MFI
< 80% MFI	0	# of units for purchase at < 80% MFI
<i>District Goal</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>High Opportunity</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>Displacement Risk</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>High Frequency Transit</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>Imagine Austin</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>Geographic Dispersion</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
<i>Mobility Bond Corridor</i>	0.00%	% of annual goal reached with units
SCORE	0	% of Goals * 15
Unit Score	23	MAXIMUM SCORE = 350
INITIATIVES AND PRIORITIES		
<i>Continuum of Care</i>	0	Total # of units provided up to 100 per year
Permanent Supportive Housing Score	0	(total CoC Units/100 + HF Units/50)*20
Access to Healthy Food	Yes	Within 1 Mile of Healthy Food (City GIS)
Permanent Supportive Housing Weighted Score	0	Mobility, Access to Jobs, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion
<i>2 Bedroom Units</i>	41	Total Affordable 2 Bedroom units
<i>3 Bedroom Units</i>	20	Total Affordable 3 Bedroom units
<i>4 Bedroom Units</i>	0	Total Affordable 4+ Bedroom units
Family Friendly Score	14	Multi-bedroom Unit/Total Units * 20
TEA Grade	81	Elementary School Rating from TEA
Family Friendly Weighted Score	5	Educational Attainment, Environment, Community Institutions, Social Cohesion, E
<i>Accessible Units</i>	17	mobiltiy and sensory units
<i>Non-PSH, Non-Voucher Under 20% MFI</i>	0	Total units under 20% MFI
Accessibility Score	4	Accessible Unit/Total Units * 20
Metro Access Service	Yes	Within 3/4 mile of fixed route transit
Accessibility Weighted Score	1	Housing Stability, Health, Mobility, Community Institutions
Initiatives and Priorities Score	23	MAXIMUM SCORE = 200
UNDERWRITING		
<i>AHFC Leverage</i>	32%	% of total project cost funded through AHFC request
Leverage Score	17	25 - (% leverage * 25)
<i>AHFC Per Unit Subsidy</i>	\$74,712.64	Amount of assistance per unit
Subsidy per unit score	16	(\$200,000 - per unit subsidy)*25/\$200,000
<i>AHFC Per Bedroom Subsidy</i>	\$38,690.48	Amount of assistance per bedroom
Subsidy per Bedroom Score	20	(\$200,000 - per bedroom subsidy)*25/\$200,000
<i>Debt Coverage Ratio (Year 5)</i>	0.00	Measured at the 5 Year mark
Debt Coverage Ratio Score	0	Minimum = 1.0; Maximum = 1.5; 1.25 = best score
Underwriting Score	53	MAXIMUM SCORE = 100
APPLICANT		
FINAL QUANTITATIVE SCORE	99	THRESHOLD SCORE = 50