BOA Monthly Report

March 11, 2019

Granted	4	 25-2-1176 (Site Development Reg for Docks, Marinas, other Lakefront Uses) (A) (1) To increase the dock length 25-2-492 (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease the front yard setback 25-2-1176 (Site Development Reg for Docks, Marinas, other Lakefront Uses) (A) (1) To increase the dock length 25-2-492 (D) (Site Development Reg) increase the impervious cover and 25-2-1062 (Height Limitations and Setbacks for Small Sites) (B)(2) decrease Setback and (D)(1) increase the height limitations and 25-2-1064 (Front Setback) decrease front building line setback from the right of way 			
PP cases	3	 25-2 Subchapter E (Design Standards and Mixed Use) Section 2.3 (Connectivity Between Sites) 1. (Improvements to Encourage Pedestrian, Bicycle and Vehicular Connectivity) B. (Standards) 1. (Vehicular and Pedestrian Connections Between Sites) a. To not provide private driveway/or public street connections b. where a public Street is adjacent to property line 25-2-1063 (Height Limitations and Setbacks for large Sites) B to decrease the required setback and C to increase the height limitations 25-2-492 D (Site Development Reg) decease minimum lot area, decrease Minimum lot width, decrease side street setback and 25-2-563 (Multifamily Res Use) B to decrease minimum site area 			
Withdrawn	1	1) 25-2-492 (D) decrease minimum rear setback			
Denied	2	 25-2-774 (Two-Family Residential Use) (C)(2) to allow second dwelling unit to the rear or side of principal structure 25-2-492 (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease front yard setback 			
Discussion	8				
Mar Interpr	etation	s 0 new inquiries			
The deposition	on of th	ne case items: (Added March 2019)			
A. Granted		35			
B. Denied		10			
C. Withdray	wn	9			
D. Postpone	d	32			
E. Indef PPn	nt	1			
E. Discussio	n Items	s 60			

Feb 11, 2019

Granted	2	1) 25-2-492 (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease the minimum lot area, decrease the
		Minimum lot width, increase the maximum impervious cover, increase the maximum
		Building cover, decrease the side yard setback, decrease the front yard setback and
		Decrease the rear yard setback
		2) 25-2 Subchapter F: Residential Design & Comp Standards, Art 2: Development

2) **25-2** Subchapter F: Residential Design & Comp Standards, Art 2: Development Standards, Subsection 2.1 (Maximum Development Permitted) increase the maximum Floor to area ratio

PP cases 4

- 1) **25-2-492** (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease the minimum rear setback
- 2) **25-2-774** (Two-Family Residential Use) (C)(2) to allow second dwelling unit to the rear or side of principal structure
- 3) **25-2-492** (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease the minimum lot size, lot width, side street setback and **25-2-563** (Multifamily Res Use) (B) decrease the minimum site area 4) **25-2-492** (D) (Site Development Reg) increase the impervious cover and **25-2-1062** (Height Limitations and Setbacks for Small Sites) (B)(2) decrease Setback and (D)(1) increase the height limitations and **25-2-1064** (Front Setback) decrease front building line setback from the right of way

Withdrawn 0

Denied 1

1) **25-2-1176** (Site Development Reg for Docks, Marinas, other Lakefront Uses) (A) (1) To increase the dock length

Discussion 8

Feb. Interpretations 1 inquiries made regarding Interpretation Appeals during month of February 2019.

The deposition of the case items: (Added Feb 2019)

A. Granted	31
B. Denied	8
C. Withdrawn	8
D. Postponed	29
E. Indef PPmt	1
E. Discussion Items	52

January 14, 2019

Granted

4

- 1) **25-2-492** (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease the minimum rear setback and **25-2-774** (Two-Family Residential Use) (C)(2) decrease the distance
 - 2) 25-2-492 (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease the minimum front yard setback
 - 3) **25-2-551** (Lake Austin District Reg)(B)(1) (b) reduce the Lake Austin Shoreline setback
- 4) **25-2-774** (Two-Family Residential Use) (B) decrease the minimum lot size and **25-2-774** (Two-Family Residential Use) (C)(5)(a) increase the floor-to-area ratio and Subchapter F-Residential Design and Compatibility Standards, Sec 2.7.1 Dev Standards (Side wall Articulation) increase the unbroken length of sidewall

PP cases 0

Withdrawn 0

Denied 3

- 1) **25-2-1062** Article 10 (Height Limitations and Setbacks for Small Sites) (B)(2) decrease minimum Setback and (D)(1) increase the light limitations
- 2) **25-2-492** (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease the minimum side yard setback and **25-2-1062**(C) (Height Limitations and Setbacks for Small Sites) decrease minimum side and rear setback
- 3) **25-2-1176** (Site Development Reg for Docks, Marinas, other Lakefront Uses) (A) (1) increase the dock length

Discussion 8

Jan. Interpretations No inquiries made regarding Interpretation Appeals during month of January 2019.

The deposition of the case items: (Added Jan 2019)

A. Granted 29

B. Denied 7

C. Withdrawn 8

D. Postponed 25

E. Indef PPmt 1

E. Discussion Items 44

December 10, 2018

Granted 7

- 1) **25-10-133** (UNOZ) allow 2 electrified/LED backlit projecting wall signs to be affixed to the subject building above the 2nd floor
- 2) **25-10-133** (UNOZ) exceed 100 sq ft of sign area with 150 sq ft of sign area for 3 Signs, allow an electrified wall sign to be affixed to the subject building above 2nd floor
- 3) **25-2-1176** (Site Development Reg for Docks, Marinas, other Lakefront Uses) (A) (1) To increase the dock length
- 4) 25-2-1176 (Site Development Reg for Docks, Marinas, other Lakefront Uses) (A) (6)

_				
'Τ'n	increase	the	dock	height

- 5) Ord 020131-20 Part 8 (Res Dist) 10.A to decrease minimum setback
- 6) **25-2-551** (Lake Austin District Reg)(B)(1) (b) decrease shoreline setback
- 7) Ord 040826-58 (NUNCCD-NP) Part 7 (1) decrease the minimum lot size
- **PP cases** 2 1) **25-2-774** (Two-Family Residential Use) (B) decrease the minimum lot size
 - 2) **25-2-1062**(B)(2) (Height Limitations and Setbacks for Small Sites) decrease minimum Setback and (D)(1) increase the light limitations
- Withdrawn 1 1) 25-2-492 (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease the minimum lot width
- **Denied** 1 1) **25-2-492** (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease the minimum side yard setback

Discussion 9

Dec. Interpretations - 1 inquiry, however site plan is still in review so no final determination to appeal yet.

The deposition of the case items: (Added DEC 2018)

A.	Granted	25

- B. Denied 4
- C. Withdrawn 8
- D. Postponed 25
- E. Indef PPmt 1
- E. Discussion Items 36

November 10, 2018

Granted	1	1) (Ord 040826-58	(NUNCCD-N	JP) Part 7 (1)	decrease the minimum lot size
A III A III LEGI			1 / 1 (1 () = ()() () ()		NI / I AIL / \ I /	accidase the minimum for size

- PP cases 5 1) Ord 020131-20 Part 8 (Res Dist) 10.A to decrease minimum setback
 - 2) **25-2-551** (Lake Austin District Reg)(B)(1) (b) decrease shoreline setback
 - 3) **25-2-1176** (Site Development Reg for Docks, Marinas, other Lakefront Uses) (A) (6) To increase the dock height
 - 4) **25-2-492** (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease the minimum side yard setback and **25-2-1062**(C) (Height Limitations and Setbacks for Small Sites) decrease minimum side and rear setback
 - 5) **25-2-1062** Article 10 Compatibility (Height Limitations and Setbacks for Small Sites) (B)(2) decrease the required setback from a property, (D)(1) increase the height limitations for a structure
- Withdrawn 1 5) Ord. 20120112-086 Part 6 (General Provisions) 9. Provide maneuverability perpendicular to a parking area and Part 7, (Res district) (1) Increase minimum rear yard setback, (1.b) increase the maximum height for an accessory structure/secondary dwelling unit, (4) Decrease the minimum lot size for a two-family residential use.

Denied 0

Discussion 8

August, September, October, and November: 2 interp inquiries were made, 1 was related to Watershed rules, and one was referred to Planning and Zoning staff for land use staff determination versus legal staff interpretation: may come forward to Board by February meeting.

The deposition of the case items: (Added NOV 2018)

A. Granted	18
B. Denied	3
C. Withdrawn	7
D. Postponed	23
E. Indef PPmt	1
E. Discussion Items	27

October 8, 2018

Granted	4	1) 25-10-191 (E) (Setback and Structural Req) (F)(1) increase height of a sign, and
		(F)(2) decrease clearance of a sign
		2) 25-2-492 (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease the minimum lot size
		3) 25-2-492 (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease the minimum side yard setback

- 4) **25-2-551** (Lake Austin District Reg)(B)(1) (b) decrease shoreline setback
- PP cases 5 1) **25-2-492** (D) (Site Development Reg) decrease the minimum side yard setback 2) **25-2-1062** Article 10 Compatibility (Height Limitations and Setbacks for Small Sites) (B)(2) decrease the required setback from a property, (D)(1) increase the height limitations for a structure
 - 3) Ord 040826-58 (NUNCCD-NP) Part 7 (1) decrease the minimum lot size
 - 4) **25-2-1176** (Site Development Reg for Docks, Marinas, other Lakefront Uses) (A) (6) To increase the dock height
 - 5) **Ord. 20120112-086** Part 6 (General Provisions) 9. Provide maneuverability perpendicular to a parking area and Part 7, (Res district) (1) Increase minimum rear yard setback, (1.b) increase the maximum height for an accessory structure/secondary dwelling unit, (4) Decrease the minimum lot size for a two-family residential use.
- Withdrawn 2 1) **25-10-123** (B) (3) (Expressway Corridor Sign District Reg) increase allowable sign Height, **25-10-103** (Signs Prohibited in all Sign Districts) (1) permit an off-premise sign 2) **25-2-774** (Two-Family Residential Use) (C) (5) (a) increase size of 2nd dwelling, (b) To increase the size of 2nd dwelling

Denied 1 1) **25-10-124** (**B**) (Scenic Roadway Sign Dist Reg) to permit a freestanding sign (1)(b) greater than 64 square feet,, (2) not to exceed 12 feet in height, (F) completely internally illuminated

Discussion 8

August, September, October, and November: 2 interp inquiries were made, 1 was related to Watershed rules, and one was referred to Planning and Zoning staff for land use staff determination versus legal staff interpretation: may come forward to Board by February meeting.

2. The deposition of the case items: (Added OCT 2018)				
A. Granted		17		
B. Denied		3		
C. Withdraw	n	6		
D. Postponed	l	18		
E. Indef PPm	t	1		
E. Discussion	n Items	19		
		September 10, 2018		
Granted	2	 Subchapter F Res Design and Comp Standards Art 2 Dev Standards Sec 2.6 SB planes (E) 3.b (i) 25-2-492 (D) lot area, lot width, 		
PP cases	6	1) 25-10-123 (B) (3) (Expressway Corridor Sign District Reg) increase allowable sign Height, 25-10-103 (Signs Prohibited in all Sign Districts) (1) permit an off-premise sign 2) 25-10-191 (E) (Setback and Structural Req) (E) to decrease the distance of a sign, (F)1 to increase the height of sign, (F)2 to decrease clearance of a sign 3) Ord 040826-58 (NUNCCD-NP) Part 7 (1) decrease lot size 4) 25-2-1176 (Site Development Reg for Docks, Marinas, other Lakefront Uses) (A) (6) To increase the dock height 5) Ord. 20120112-086 Part 7, (Res district) (7) decrease minimum lot size 6) 25-2-774 (Two-Family Residential Use) (C) (5) (a) increase size of 2nd dwelling, (b) To increase the size of 2nd dwelling		
Withdrawn	1	1) 25-2-839 (Telecommunication Towers) (D)(4) change the appearance of replacement Tower		
Denied	0			
Discussion	2	 Working group to Board Rules Aug Monthly activity report 		

August, September, October, and November: 2 interp inquiries were made, 1 was related to Watershed rules, and one was referred to Planning and Zoning staff for land use staff determination versus legal staff interpretation: may come forward to Board by February meeting.

2. The deposition of the case items: (Added Sept 2018)

A. Granted		13
B. Denied		2
C. Withdraw	'n	4
D. Postponed	1	13
E. Indef PPm	t	1
F. Discussion	n Items	11
		August 2018 (tally/notes)
Granted	3	1) 25-2-492 (D) (Site Development Reg) front yard setack 2) 25-2-492 (D) Site Development Reg) front yard setback and rear setback, 25-2-963 (Modification and Maintenance of Noncomplying Structures) (F) (2) exceed the Additional length of a modified portion of nonconforming wall 3) 25-2-476 (Special Exception), 25-2-492 (D) (Site Development Reg) side setback and Rear year setback
PP cases	5	1) 25-10-191 (E) (Setback and Structural Req) to decrease the distance of sign, (F)1 to increase the height of sign, (F)2 to decrease clearance of a sign 2) 25-2-774 (Two-Family Residential Use) (C) (5) (a) increase size of 2 nd dwelling, (b) To increase the size of 2 nd dwelling 3) 25-10-123 (B) (3) (Expressway Corridor Sign District Reg) increase allowable sign Height, 25-10-103 (Signs Prohibited in all Sign Districts) (1) permit an off-premise sign 4) 25-2-839 (Telecommunication Towers) (D)(4) change the appearance of replacement Tower 5) 25-2-551 (Lake Austin District Reg)(B)(1) (b) decrease shoreline setback
Indef. PPmt	1	1) 25-2-1176 (Site Development Reg for Docks, Marinas, other Lakefront Uses) (A) (1) To increase the distance of a dock
Withdrawn	0	
Denied	0	
Discussion Items	2	
Items B. Var The Board he		Cases Heard: tal of 15 variance cases/agenda action items (6 were new cases) and 7 discussion

The Board heard a total of 15 variance cases/agenda action items (6 were new cases) and 7 discussion items/new business items.

3. Code reference(s) of **granted**: 4 cases from 25-2-492/Site Development Standards; and 1 case from 25-

2-551/LA District Regs; and 1 case from 25-2-831/Additional Requirements for Certain Uses, Civic; and 1 case with Article

10/Compatibility

Code reference(s) of denied: 25-10-124/Scenic Roadway Signs; and Subchapter F/Residential

Design Standards

Code reference(s) of withdrawn: 1 case with 25-2-492/Site Development Standards; 1 case with 25-2-

1063/Compatibility; and 1 case with 25-6/Parking number; and 1 case

with 25-10-103/Signs

Code reference(s) of postponed: 1 case from 25-2-774/Two-family Residential Use; and 25-10-123/Signs

C. Special Exception Cases Heard:

The Board of Adjustment heard 0 Special Exception agenda items, of which >> were granted, >> were denied, >> were postponed, >> was withdrawn.

D. Interpretation Cases Heard:

There were 0 Appeals of an Administrative Action/Interpretation cases that were heard by the Board in support of purposes and duties (A) (2). Of these appeals, >> cases affirmed staff's determination and denied appellant's request; >> cases was granted appellant's request; >> cases was withdrawn, >> cases were postponed.

June and July 2018 – no new cases were submitted; 2 inquiries were made to BOA staff, but no applications were submitted by the end of July/as of 10am 8/13/18.

August, September, October, and November: 2 interp inquiries were made, 1 was related to Watershed rules, one was referred to Planning and Zoning staff for land use staff determination versus legal staff interpretation: may come forward to Board by February meeting.

2.	The	deposition	on of the	case items:

A. Granted	11
B. Denied	2
C. Withdrawn	3
D. Postponed	7
E. Indef PPmt	1
E. Discussion Items	9

Items B. Variance Cases Heard:

The Board heard a total of 15 variance cases/agenda action items (6 were new cases) and 7 discussion items/new business items

3. Code reference(s) of granted :	4 cases from 25-2-492/Site Development Standards; and 1 case from 25-
	0 FF1 / L D' 4 ' 4 D

2-551/LA District Regs; and 1 case from 25-2-831/Additional Requirements for Certain Uses, Civic; and 1 case with Article

10/Compatibility

Code reference(s) of denied: 25-10-124/Scenic Roadway Signs; and Subchapter F/Residential

Design Standards

Code reference(s) of withdrawn: 1 case with 25-2-492/Site Development Standards; 1 case with 25-2-

1063/Compatibility: and 1 case with 25-6/Parking number: and 1 case

with 25-10-103/Signs

Code reference(s) of postponed: 1 case from 25-2-774/Two-family Residential Use; and 25-10-123/Signs

C. Special Exception Cases Heard:

The Board of Adjustment heard 0 Special Exception agenda items, of which >> were granted, >> were denied, >> were postponed, >> was withdrawn.

D. Interpretation Cases Heard:

8

2

3

There were 0 Appeals of an Administrative Action/Interpretation cases that were heard by the Board in support of purposes and duties (A) (2). Of these appeals, >> cases affirmed staff's determination and denied appellant's request; >> cases was granted appellant's request; >> cases was withdrawn, >> cases were postponed.

June and July 2018 – no new cases were submitted; 2 inquiries were made to BOA staff, but no applications were submitted by the end of July/as of 10am 8/13/18.

July 2018

Granted

- 1) **25-2-492** (D) (Site Development Regs) impervious coverage
- 2) **25-2-492** (D) (Site Development Regs) front yard setback
- 3) **25-2-1064**, Article 10 Compatibility, Development Standards (*Front Setback*)
- 4) **25-2-551** (B)(1)(b) (*Lake Austin (LA) District Regs*) shoreline setback and (C)(3)(a) pre April 1982 plat or no plat rqd impervious cover/slope
- 5) 25-2-492 (D) (Site Development Regs) rear yard setback
- 6) **25-2-1063**, *Article 10*, *Compatibility*, Development Standards (*Height Limitations and Setbacks for Large Sites*) (B) 25 ft from property line and (C)(3) 40 foot height limit if 100-300 ft from SF-5 zone or use
- 7) **25-2-492** (D) (Site Development Regs) and **Sec 25-6 Appendix A** (Tables of Off-Street Parking and Loading Regs)
- 8) **25-2-831**, Article 4 Additional Requirements for Certain Uses, Division 3 Civic Uses, (*College or University*) (B) access from 40 ft paved width that then connects with 40 foot paved width

Postponed

1) **25-10-123**, Article 6, Regulations Applicable to Certain Sign Districts, Expressway Corridor Sign District Regs (B) (3) freestanding sign height 2) **25-2-774** Article 4, Additional Requirements for Certain Uses, (*Two-family Residential Use*) (C)(5)(a) and (b) 1,100 sf or 0.15 FAR and 550 sf 2nd story

Withdrawn

1) **25-10-103**, Article 5 – Regulations Applicable to All Sign Districts, Signs Prohibited in all Sign Districts (1) off premise and **25-10-130** (*Commercial Sign District Regulations*) (F)(2)(b) maximum freestanding sign area
2) Article 10, Compatibility Standards, **Sec 25-2-1063** (B), Section **25-2-1063** (C)(1) Height Limitations and Setbacks for Large Sites, Sec **25-2-1067** (G) Design Regulations
3) **25-2-492** (D) (*Site Development Regs*) lot area/lot width/impervious cover/side yard setback, **25-6 Appendix A** (*Tables of Off-Street Parking and Loading Requirements*)

Denied 2

1) **25-10-124**, Article 6, Regulations Applicable to Certain Sign Districts (*ScenicRoadwaySign*) (B) more than one freestanding sign

2) **Subchapter F** - Residential Design and Compatibility Standards, Article 3 (*Definitions and Measurement*), Section 3.3.3 Porches, basements, and attic exemptions to gross floor area (B)(2) habitable portion of a building that is below grade if 1st story is not more than 3 feet above the average elevation at the intersections of the min front yard setback line and the side property line

Discussion 7 Items

B. Variance Cases Heard:

The Board heard a total of 15 variance cases/agenda action items (6 were new cases) and 7 discussion items/new business items.

2. The deposition of the case items:

A. Granted 8

B. Denied 2

C. Withdrawn 3

D. Postponed 2

E. Discussion Items 7

3. Code reference(s) of **granted**: 4 cases from 25-2-492/Site Development Standards; and 1 case from 25-

2-551/LA District Regs; and 1 case from 25-2-831/Additional Requirements for Certain Uses, Civic; and 1 case with Article

10/Compatibility

Code reference(s) of denied: 25-10-124/Scenic Roadway Signs; and Subchapter F/Residential

Design Standards

Code reference(s) of withdrawn: 1 case with 25-2-492/Site Development Standards; 1 case with 25-2-

1063/Compatibility; and 1 case with 25-6/Parking number; and 1 case

with 25-10-103/Signs

Code reference(s) of postponed: 1 case from 25-2-774/Two-family Residential Use; and 25-10-123/Signs

C. Special Exception Cases Heard:

The Board of Adjustment heard 0 Special Exception agenda items, of which >> were granted, >> were denied, >> were postponed, >> was withdrawn.

D. Interpretation Cases Heard:

There were 0 Appeals of an Administrative Action/Interpretation cases that were heard by the Board in support of purposes and duties (A) (2). Of these appeals, >> cases affirmed staff's determination and denied appellant's request; >> cases was granted appellant's request; >> cases was withdrawn, >> cases were postponed.

June and July 2018 – no new cases were submitted; 2 inquiries were made to BOA staff, but no applications were submitted by the end of July/as of 10am 8/13/18.

BOA Monthly Report July 2017-June 2018

June 2018

Granted	1	1) 25-2-492 (D) Site Development Regulations front yard setback			
PP cases	8	 25-10-123 (B) (3) Expressway Corridor Sign District Regulations 25-2-831 (College or Univeristy) (B) Article 4 25-2-492 (D) Site Development Regulations lot area, lot width, impervious cover, side yard setback/Section 25-6 Appendix A (Off-Street parking) 25-2-1176 Site Development Regulations for Dock, Marinas 25-2-492(D) Site Development Regulations lot width, lot size, front setback/Article Compatibility Standards 25-2-1062 (height limitations and setbacks for small sites)(Edistance from property 25-2-492 (D) side yard setback/25-2-551 (B) (1)(b) shoreline setback/25-2-551 (Lake Austin District Regulations) (C)(3)(a) impervious cover on slope Article 10 Compatibility Standards, Section 25-2-1063 height limitations and setback for large sites (C) (3) 25-2-492 (D) Site Development Regulations Section 25-6 Appendix A (Off-Street Parking) 			
Withdrawn	1	 25-2-562 Multifamily Residence Medium density District Regulations (B) (3) Article 3 			
		May 2018			
Granted	0				
PP cases	7	 25-10-103 Sign prohibited in all Sign Districts/25-10-130 Commercial Sign District Regulations 25-2-1063 Compatibility Standards-Height limitations and setback for Large sites 25-2-492 Site Dev Regulations Sec 25-6, Appen A, Off Street parking 25-2-562 Multifamily Residence Medium Density District Regulations Article 10 Compatibility Standards Sec 1063 (B) and (C) Height Limitations and Setbacks large sites/Sec 25-2-1067 Design Regulations 25-2-492 yard setback/25-2-551 shoreline setback and Lake Austin Dis Regulations for IC on a slope 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations rear yard setback 			
Withdrawn	4	 25-10-133 Univ Neigh Overlay Zoning Sign Use determination Interp appeal LifeAustin Church Site Plan Revision Interp appeal LifeAustin Church 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations for yard setback 			
	April 2018				
Granted	4	 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations/lot area/lot width 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations/LA setback 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations/setback 			

Article 10 - Compatibility, Height for small sites 7) 25-2-1062, Article 10 - Compatibility, Height for small sites Regulations 8) 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations/setback + 25-2-551		 2) 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations/setback 3) 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations/setback 4) Use determination Interp appeal LifeAustin Church 5) Site Plan Revision Interp appeal LifeAustin Church 6) 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations for lot width/lot size/setback + 25-2-1062, Article 10 - Compatibility, Height for small sites 7) 25-2-1062, Article 10 - Compatibility, Height for small sites + 25-2-1067 Design Regulations 8) 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations/setback + 25-2-551 Lake Austin (LA) District Regulations/shoreline setback + 25-2-551 Lake Austin (LA) District 	
Withdrawn	1	1) 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations/setback	
		March 2018	
Granted	4	 25-10-133 Univ Neigh Overlay Zoning Sign 25-10-123 Expressway Corridor Sign 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations/setback/ic/through lot Subchapter F Article 2 – Development Standards 	
PP cases	4	 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations for setback 25-2-551 Lake Austin (LA) District Regulations for slope 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations/setback 25-2-1062, Article 10 – Compatibility, Height for small sites + 25-2-1063 Height Limitations and Setbacks for Large sites, (B) driveway/sidewalk/structures in setback + (C) height + 25-2-1067 Design Regulations 	
Denied	2	 25-2-1604 Garage Placement 25-2-1176 Site Development Regulations for Docks, Marinas and other Lakefront 	

Uses

Uses

4) 25-2-1176 Site Development Regulations for Docks, Marinas and other Lakefront

February 2018

Granted	3	 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations/lot width 25-2-774 Additional Requirements for Certain Uses, Two-Family lot area + 25-2-1406 Subchapter D, Neighborhood Plan Combining Districts, Ordinance requirements 25-2-492 Site Development Regulation/lot width + 25-2-774 Additional Requirements for Certain Uses, Two-Family lot area 			
PP cases	7	 25-10-123 Expressway Corridor Sign District Sub Chapter F 25-2-476 Special Exception/25-2-492/setback 25-2-492 setback/ic + 25-2-515 through lot rear yard 25-2-1176 dock 25-2-492/lot width/lot size/setback and Article 10 Compatibility 25-2-1062 Article 10 Compatibility Standards Sec 1063 and 25-2-1067 Design Regulations 			
Denied	1	1) 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations/ ic			
Withdrawn	1	1) 25-10-124 Scenic Roadway Sign Article 6 and Article 9 25-10-191 F			
		January 2018			
Granted	2	 25-2-476/25-2-492 Special Exception setback 25-2-492 setback + 25-2-551 LA 			
PP cases	10	 25-10-124 Scenic Roadway Sign 25-2-492 lot width 25-2-774 lot area + 25-2-1406 Ord requirements 25-2-492 ic 25-5-492 lot width + 25-2-774 (lot area) Article 10 Compatibility Standards Sec 1063 +25-2-1067 Interp appeal -0147 LifeAustin Church Interp appeal -0168 LifeAustin Church 25-2-1176 dock 25-2-492 lot width/lot size 			
December 2017					
Granted	3	 25-2-1063 Compatibility standards 25-2-492 lot width 25-2-1063 Compatibility standards 			
PP cases	6	 25-10-124 Scenic Roadway Sign 25-2-492 lot width/lot size 25-2-492 setback 25-2-492 setback/IC/yard through lot 25-2-1176 dock 25-2-1176 dock (PP Indef) 			

Withdrawn	1	1) 25-2-492 setback		
Denied	1	Interp Recon – appeal to reject permit 25-10-152 to modify a Nonconforming off premise sign		
		November 2017		
Granted	4	 25-2-492 setback 25-2-492 setback 25-2-943 Substandard lot/disaggregation 25-2-492 setback 		
PP cases	3	 25-2-1176 dock 25-5-492 setback + 25-2-551 (LA reg) 25-2-1176 dock 		
Withdrawn	1	1) 25-10-124 Sign F		
Denied	1	1) 25-2-899 Fences		
		October 26, 2017 Special Called meeting		
Denied	1	1) Interp Case appeal to reject appl to modify nonconforming off Premise Sign 25-10-152		
		October 2017		
Granted	6	 25-2-1063 Compatibility large sites 25-2-774 Two-Family, minimum lot area 25-2-1062 Compatibility small sites 25-2-899 Fences 25-2-515 yard through lot 25-2-1444 cottage regulations 		
PP cases	8	 Special Exception 25-2-492 setback 25-2-943 Substandard lot 25-2-492 setback/ ic + 25-2-515 rear yard of a through lot 25-2-492 setback 25-2-492 setback + 25-2-551 (LA reg) 25-2-1176 docks 		
Denied	1	1) Ord 20120112-086 Part 8 (Res district) 7		
		September 2017		
Granted	3	 25-6 Appendix A, Schedule C 25-2-1063 Article 10 Compatibility standards 25-2-1176 dock 		
PP cases	7	 25-2-492 setback 25-2-943 Article 10 Substandard lot 25-2-1063 Height limitation/setback for large sites 		

Denied 1 1) New Interp appeal regards to retaining wall LA zoning August 2017 Granted 4 1) Special Exception – 25-2-476/25-2-492 2) Chapter F – RDCC Article 2 Compatibility 3) 25-2-492 IC 4) Section 25-6 Appendix A, Schedule C PP cases 7 1) Interp prev ppmt (2015-0147) Appeal Life Austin Church 2) Interp prev ppmt (2015-0168) Appeal Life Austin Church 3) 25-2-492 setback 4) 25-2-943 substandard lot 5) 25-2-492/25-2-515 setback/IC and yard through lot 6) 25-2-1063 Article 10 Compatibility 7) 25-2-1063 Article 10 Compatibility Withdrawn 1 1) 25-2-492 setback **July 2017** Granted 4 1) Special Exception – 25-2-476/25-2-492 setback 2) Article 10 Compatibility standards – 25-2-1062 3) Ord #20120112-087 North Hyde Park NC-NP – Part 4, Part 6 4) 25-2-899, Fences as Accessory Structures (Height) PP cases 7 1) Special Exception – 25-2-476/25-2-492 setback 2) Special Exception - 25-2-476/25-2-492 setback 3) 25-2-492 setback 4) 25-2-492 setback 5) Sub Chapter F Compatibility 6) 25-2-943 Substandard Lot disaggregation 7) 25-2-492 setback/ic + 25-2-515 through lot rear yad

4) 25-2-774 lot area

7) 25-2-1176 dock

6) Ord. 20120112-086 Part 8

5) 25-2-1062 height limitations setback for small sites

B. Variance Cases Heard:

B. Denied

1. The Board heard a total of **138 case items**, plus **54 discussion items** (new business items) during the reporting period, an average total case load of **16 agenda items per month**. Of these, **59 were new cases**, with an average of **5 new cases per month**.

8

2.	The deposition of the case items:	<u>BOA</u>
A.	Granted	38

C. Withdrawn 10

D. Postponed 82

3. Code reference(s) of granted:

Code reference(s) of denied:

Code reference(s) of withdrawn:

Code reference(s) of postponed:

C. Special Exception Cases Heard:

The Board of Adjustment heard five (5) Special Exception agenda items, of which two (2) were granted, zero (0) were denied, three (3) were postponed, zero (0) was withdrawn.

D. Interpretation Cases Heard:

There were four (4) Appeals of an Administrative Action/Interpretation cases that were heard by the Board in support of purposes and duties (A) (2). Of these appeals, two (2) cases affirmed staff's determination and denied appellant's request; zero (0) cases was granted appellant's request; two (2) cases was withdrawn, two (2) cases were postponed.

May 2018 – one (1) case was submitted by the property owner but was rejected/no case created because appeal was not related to a 25-2 issue (all issues raised in the application were related to 25-8 Environmental) and was not timely filed (was attempting to appeal a 4/11 staff denial comment made on a site plan exemption application/request; the comment advised that a site plan revision was required rather than the site plan exemption that had been applied for/rejected).