

**CITY OF AUSTIN
Board of Adjustment
Decision Sheet**

DATE: Monday September 9, 2019

CASE NUMBER: C15-2019-0046

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Brooke Bailey
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jessica Cohen
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ada Corral
<input type="checkbox"/>	Melissa Hawthorne
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	William Hodge
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Don Leighton-Burwell
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rahm McDaniel
<input type="checkbox"/>	Darryl Pruett
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Veronica Rivera
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yasmine Smith
<input type="checkbox"/>	Michael Von Ohlen
<input type="checkbox"/>	Kelly Blume (Alternate)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Martha Gonzalez (Alternate)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Denisse Hudock (Alternate)

APPLICANT: Lila Nelson

OWNER: Benjamin S Wu

ADDRESS: 4320 JAMES CASEY ST

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a variance(s) from Section 25-2-1067 (H) (Design Regulations) Compatibility Height requirements of Article 10, Compatibility Standards, Division 2 –Development Standards to

- a) decrease the minimum parking setback from 25 feet (required) on the southern and western boundaries to 0 feet (requested) and;
- b) decrease the minimum driveway setback from 20 feet (required) to 0 feet (requested),

in order to construct an accessory parking lot in a “LO-V-NP”, Limited Office – Vertical Mixed Use – Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (South Manchaca Neighborhood Plan)

NOTE: The subject property is 110 ft. wide

BOARD’S DECISION: BOA meeting Aug 12, 2019 **The public hearing was closed by Chair Don Leighton-Burwell, Board Member Yasmine Smith motion to postpone to September 9, 2019, Board Member Brooke Bailey second on an 11-0 vote; POSTPONED TO SEPTEMBER 9, 2019; Sept 9, 2019 The public hearing was closed by Chair Don Leighton-Burwell, Board Member Jessica Cohen motions to Postpone to October 14, 2019, Board Member Rahm McDaniel seconds on a 10-1 vote (Board member Darryl Pruett nay); POSTPONED TO OCTOBER 14, 2019.**


EXPIIRATION DATE:

FINDING:

1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:

(b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:


Elaine Ramirez
Executive Liaison


Don Leighton-Burwell
Chairman