

City Council Work Session Transcript – 10/01/2019

Title: City of Austin

Channel: 6 - COAUS

Recorded On: 10/1/2019 6:00:00 AM

Original Air Date: 10/1/2019

Transcript Generated by SnapStream

=====

[9:07:22 AM]

>> Mayor Adler: I think we have a quorum, so we can get started. Today is October 1st, 2019. We are at the work session of the Austin city council here on the boards and commission room. It is 9:08. We're going to go ahead and begin. I had pulled an item, colleagues, about public health. It was item number 98. My questions have been answered. If nobody else needs them to be here, I let those staff members leave. Staff members here on item 98 can go. Thank you. >> Mayor, council, I want to take a moment before we start to introduce our new deputy city manager, and she is coming to us from the great city of Minneapolis, but also has done work in Boston as well as

[9:08:22 AM]

Puerto Rico and she will be overseeing the government that works outcome. Today is her first day. And we look forward to her joining the Austin community. Please join me in welcoming her. >> Mayor Adler: Welcome home. Let's begin with the land development code report. >> Good morning, mayor and council, assistant city manager. To say it's been a busy year with the land development code rewrite is an understatement, and this week is kind of the pinnacle, if you will, of the work that staff has been doing this year. This is a busy week for staff. As you know, we plan to release the draft code on Friday and what we wanted to do is take this brief opportunity to inform council of the public participation process post Friday. And the various locations and ways that the public can participate in the discussion

[9:09:26 AM]

of the draft code with me, Nick Bodet and Jessica King who will go into further detail about that public participation process. >> Yeah, to say October will be busy is an understatement. All stakeholders can participate in reviewing the draft scheduled to be out Friday. What is not is locations for two open houses. The first is on Salt, on 19th at the senior activity center from 10:00 A.M. to 2:00 P.M. The second

one will be on a Wednesday evening on the 23rd of October from 6:00 to 9:00 at our central library. At those open houses, you can expect to be able to come and go as you please. There's no set presentation. There will be stations around

[9:10:29 AM]

major come onlies of the code, zoning map, zoning in particular, affordability and house LG capacity. Anybody can come, we'll have many staff there, the core team, the axillary team to answer any questions so that folks can understand the process moving forward through movie and December. We'll be stressing the public hearing at the planning commission October 26th, which will be a key milestone in the project as well. So, our aim again for these two open houses is to ensure folks can get their questions answered. On that note I'll pass it over to Jessica king to talk about the new website and some features there that have a lot of information about the public that's being updated daily. >> Good morning, Jessica king with the communications and public information office. Last week I believe I sent an e-mail out about the new

[9:11:30 AM]

website. It has more information. It's easier to use inter-stace. But there are three particular areas that I like to draw your attention to. First the blog, look at learn and ask that allows you to look at both faqs over the course of conversations and based on past input we've received over the years. We'll kept building out that faq list as we go and residents can commit requests for information through that process and we'll look for trends and identify frequently asked questions that we can share with the public. The second part of that learn and ask is a series of blogs we have been releasing slowly and surely. There's three I would like everybody to take a look at because three are very helpful understanding elements of the code. The last was released most recently and that gave a thorough detailed description about the transition Zones.

[9:12:31 AM]

It linked a lot of residents to presentations that staff made and in particular gave very easy to understand responses to questions that we've heard in the public about transition Zones. So we encourage people to look at that. There's another blog we updated based on feedback and council feedback how single family homes are transitioned in the area. Those are two really critical ones. We've had a lot of question about the difference between numbers, the 405,000 capacity number that we are looking towards as well as 135,000 capacity number -- I'm sorry not capacity, goal. Housing goal. A lot of people asked what the is difference between those numbers and the numbers have gotten con slow lawsuited in many ways. There's a short and clear explanation the difference between those two

numbers that I hope the public sees being very lay friendly and they can read and understand. There are more blogs coming we'll work towards that end on the environment especially after

[9:13:32 AM]

the last presentation with regards to concerns about drainage and environmental issues in general the last part I find we are building out and we need your hope with is the events second. It's the first part of the page to the right side of the page and identified all of the events occurring in the community. As we build out office hours and finalize the office hours schedule and we'll be posting that information on the events, we've already listed the town hall events we're aware of. If there are other council town hall meetings you're interested in having please let us know we will populate that information. And we published information about the open houses. That is all listed on the events and provides access for information to the rest of the public that's quick and easy to use to understand where we're going with the events. Overall we look forward to the

[9:14:39 AM]

understanding so we want to provide clear understanding about that process. >> What's the url? Yes, Austin.texas.gov/ldc. >> That was our briefing. Before we end we wanted to thank staff for all of the little gent work they provided since the beginning of this year through today and we look forward to of course releasing the draft code on this Friday. We also thank council for one year staff draft. In may. And look forward to briefings on topics that lead to the draft rewrite that you'll see in front of you on Friday. Just a couple of quick questions. Last time we had a portal for council, questions and answers. Is there such a -- is there an

[9:15:44 AM]

intent to have such a portal online? >> There will be. On the date of release October 4th we'll prepare that and have that ready to go for the county office questions. >> In terms of whatever we receive Friday. Will we receive paper copies of the code as well as anion line link? >> Yes, we are printing paper copies for council offices, I believe two per council office, and also maps in different various sizes. We've had a request for rollable kind of totable size as well as poster board size. We plan to deliver those late in the afternoon Friday if not Monday, so, that is the plan for council offices. >> Super. Thank you very much. >> And what time do you suppose the lynch will go live on Friday? >> We're shooting for pencils down around 1:00 or 2:00, then we need some time, so maybe around 3:00 or 4:00. >> Okay.

[9:16:53 AM]

Thank you. >> We've been asking how many units on the ground since the clock started ticking on the 135 and 405,000. The clock started ticking, I think in April of '17. So, where are we in getting -- I think nhcd was going to provide those numbers. They are part of this team. Can we expect to have that dashboard in our hands as well this week? >> As you know, there's a special called session on October 8th, specifically to dive into the housing capacity and the report card that is lowing to report on how the ldc is performing with regard to goals and council direction. I don't know if we'll have that this week, but we'll be prepared to report on that delta on what happened since our data starting point to now. So, we're aware of that request and we'll be able to report that in context with the whole performance of the code, if we

[9:17:54 AM]

can get it before, I will certainly distribute it through cmo, but we're still working on that. >> I was looking at the data sets in open government website that we have, and there are housing starts by district. There are housing start Bice year, and permits pulled and that kind of thing. We have the data, but we Ned to get a summary representation of it, and if it's too much out of sync with the release of the maps and if it hasn't been consulted, frankly, in the development of the maps, then that may be a criticism that the public may bring to us, that I think could have some justification. So, to the best of everybody's ability, I think we need to get those summaries, dashboard, report card, whoever it's being called so we know what we're looking at and can see accomplishments over the last two years. I think there are many,

[9:18:54 AM]

according to the apartment association, there were 66,000 new starts or units on the ground over the last, I can't remember what the time period was, but it was the last year or two, which is significant. But we don't hear that here, so I think we may all be coming to this conversation thinking that we're still at ground zero, but I think this council, in particular the 10-1 council, has labored really hard in these fields to good effect, and we have had in fact significant upticks in building. So, I just would like to see the real number on that, so that we can use those. >> We will absolutely have those on the 8th, if not before. So, thank you for that comment. It's really important. >> Pool: That would be great, thank you. >> Mayor Adler: Anne? >> Thank you all very much. >> Can you turn your mic on? >> And there's more to be done. I wanted to thank you to start out with. The question I had is, what will

[9:19:56 AM]

the process be for groups or neighborhoods to, if they want to prepare a different approach, to reach the same goals? The reason I'm asking that question, is because, mayor, I think you were perhaps the person who raised that. We talked about it a bit at one of our previous work sessions. But we haven't actually laid out what that process is. I think what we said, and help me, mayor, if I'm getting this wrong. I think what we said at a previous work session, is there would be an opportunity, if a particular neighborhood wanted to suggest a different approach, or some changes in the approach to mapping in the area, understanding what the goals were. I wanted to understand what that process was going to be. >> Good question. And as you said, you know, the release on Friday is the start.

[9:20:57 AM]

And we understand there will be a community conversation and lots of further input from there. With regards to the process, we will work with cpio to figure out the most efficient way. The first step will be to -- we've been working on some parameters that we can release through the website and through working with you all to get information to your constituents about how to do that, some guidelines on how to do that that maybe is a little more layman friendly than just reading the council direction, which, you know, could be hard for an average resident to understand so, if you give us a little time, we can report back with a sufficient pros toes gather. I imagine folks may haveard copies. In the past two months, I've received information from neighborhoods in various forms, electronic hand drawn maps et cetera about existing affordable housing in their neighborhood that they wanted to

[9:21:58 AM]

make sure was counted to the council direction to not upzone market rate affordable, multi-family, et cetera. Thank you for that comment and we'll work with cpio to figure out the most efficient way to do that. >> Kitchen: I know you've done a good job meeting with neighborhoods as well as any other groups or individuals that want to meet with you. That's great. I think people need to understand exactly what the process is now, because I imagine there will be some parts of town that may have ideas that they want to share. And they want to present them. So, I'd like to understand the specifics of what that process is. When do you think you all might have that? >> Early next week. >> Kitchen: Okay. Thank you. >> Thank you, I appreciate your willingness to meet with neighborhoods that have information they wanted to share prior to the release. I did want to follow up on a

[9:22:59 AM]

request that I've made a couple of time, which is, can you provide us some modeling of how you actually get four to eight to ten unit on some of these lots, and I think there's a translation challenge that I know I'm having about how you actually get these numbers in the lots and whether this actually requires lots

to be combined, and how that plays out, because when you try to imagine eight units on a 5700 foot, square foot lot, it's challenging, and I think it's creating confusion in the community, and so, if you can -- I can't explain it, and you know, just on the face of it, it's scary, so, if we can have some illustrations and modeling of what that means, or how this plays out, my sense is it involves lots of combinations of lots, in which case, you're not really getting the numbers that you're talking about per lot,

[9:24:00 AM]

so, there's just some confusion that I think that would help with. So, when do you think we'll get that? >> Thank you. Thank you. We are, as I've been out in the community, I've been explaining that concept, that, depending on lot size, the lot size determines the-year-old that the zoning district allows. And it's not always the max. And so we're working on a table that can show that and illustrate generally the square footage of a lot, that generally would-year-old "X" amount of units dependent on whether you have parking or not, because that's what we learned in testing, and so, we will definitely have that information at the public testing event, if not in the staff report, and/or, on the website. So, in the next - within the next two weeks, we can commit. We already have a planner who have visualizing what that chart might look like in a way that's understandable to the public. We're aware of that request and

[9:25:02 AM]

seeing the amount of units that could yield on a typical 5750 lot is not the maximum, and lots could be combined. So, we'll have that information. >> So, do you know what that yield is for the 4750 lot? >> I wouldn't want to say a number out here without consulting, because there's various -- there's various assumptions that -- there's lot yield based on various assumptions, whether there's parking, there's not parking, what watershed it's in, et cetera, et cetera. So, we'll do our best with the chart, with the best scenarios that we can come up with, the most typical scenario, which we're thinking through now. >> And I don't see the public testing. When is that scheduled for? That's scheduled for the 18th of October. Thanks for that question. >> 18th of October. And that will be put on the website? >> Yes. >> Okay. Thank you. >> Mayor Adler: A couple things. I want to add my thanks and

[9:26:06 AM]

appreciation. You're right, excited for the next step when this comes out. Everyone looking at it this week. And I appreciate the folks that have been meeting with you, because many people across the city have. I appreciate your time out in the community. I especially appreciate the work that my colleagues did in getting the policy document out early. I think that really is a real significant difference in the process this time in terms of narrowing the issues and providing the direction. To that end, I know that purr' coming out with this document. I don't know if it's possible for you to identify some of the issues

that might not have been clear in the directions that we sent, or as you're doing your work, arose, or elevated as questions, that the council should at least consider, whether we want to give directions before the planning

[9:27:08 AM]

commission spends a lot of time on them, by way of further additional policy direction from the council. If there are such points or opportunities, you could elevate those, and then the council can make a decision as to whether or not that's something that they wanted to do. As you know, colleagues, they know I'm not here on October 8th. I'll be at the c40 meeting in Copenhagen. So, I won't be here for that. But if you elevate any of those issues associated with the release on the 4th or the 5th, or the 6th or the 7th I'll try to weigh in on the bulletin board on where I might be on those things in case you all want to give some kind of direction. And I'll certainly be able to watch the, at least the tapes of those meetings. But I would do that so as to

[9:28:09 AM]

give the council the opportunity to help facilitate the work that happens after that, the planning commission might appreciate that the same way staff appreciated the direction that the staff had as it entered into its process. At the very least, the council should have ability to consider that. I want to join with my colleague, council member kitchen with respect to inviting communities we made clear two months ago that we were going to give neighborhoods that opportunity and at that time, we asked for the direction or principles that they would look at. You're going to have a lot of people on Friday that are going to want to start that. When maps come out, they're going to be ready to start. So, the longer it takes for you to -- they will start on Friday. So, the sooner you can give them directions so that it's constructive, the better off

[9:29:09 AM]

everybody will be. So -- and if you know some and you don't know them all, I get them out. If you know some of the questions that the council might want to weigh in, don't wait to get them all. I think you could give us by way of memo or otherwise that information as you get that information, if appropriate for you to do. I would just try to get it out as quickly as you can. I think that we have to spend some time thinking about the calendar for us, and how this is going to work, especially in December, which gives rise to November and also to January, if in fact the council has got to be able to take action given the new state law with respect to public meetings and public hearings and the like and I don't know whether to have this conversation here or conversation next when we talk about calendar, maybe calendar, when we talk about it is the next item. But I know that's set to talk

[9:30:11 AM]

about the 2020 calendar beginning in January, but I notice there's two meetings, city council meetings that are set in November and in December, on November 14th and on December 5th. We had talked about having a special called meeting the following week in December, the week of whatever that is, the 10th. But we should talk about that. And maybe we want to set the council consideration code obviously on a different day than the December 5th meeting. I don't know if, having gotten so backed up as we have for the last meetings that we have before we take long breaks, whether it makes sense for us to have that land development code meeting, additional one in December, in that first two week period of time, maybe additional council meeting if we think we'll have another 150 item city council meeting also, in that board of time. We just have one meeting set in

[9:31:12 AM]

November that's the November 14th. I don't know if it makes sense to have a meeting sometime in the week of November 21st. Which is the week prior to Thanksgiving, if that's going to take some pressure off of the potential December meetings. But I think we should talk about that. We also have to remember that with the rules, we give the community the ability, any time we act or vote, everyone in the community needs the opportunity to be able to speak so we can set a date for public testimony and then a day for deliberation. So I think we really need to think through those calendar dates. I'll hold that including the conversation on November, December, and January until we have the conversation in a second. Further comments? Leslie? >> Pool: I think it would probably be a good idea to make for more opportunities for the public to comment, but I don't

[9:32:17 AM]

think either shortening the time frame or making it sooner is necessarily going to be a plus. Because people are still going to have to take time with the document to understand the impacts in the various parts of the city. >> Mayor Adler: This isn't shortening anything. If it sounded like that, I wasn't clear. >> Pool: That's great. And even if you didn't say that, I wanted to make sure that people understood that we were not going to shorten the amount of time that they have to take this in and analyze it, and also for our staff to do the same. Great. Alison? >> I wasn't fully following what you were saying with respect to the questions that might arise. Are you suggesting that there

[9:33:17 AM]

are elements of the code that was not able to determine from our direction, and needs further policy direction at this time? I'm not sure I understood what you were getting at. >> Mayor Adler: Having seen the code, as none of us have, I can't speak to any particulars. I know that it was helpful when the council gave direction to the staff. We're about to now turn the product over to the planning commission. I don't know if we did such a good job in the direction that we gave back in April, that there aren't really open policy questions at this point. If there are open policy questions that staff has been able to develop because they couldn't understand what we said and it wasn't clear or we've identified additional ones, I was urging the staff to daylight those issues, if they daylight those issue, then as council, we

[9:34:17 AM]

can decides whether or not to give direction to the planning commission the same way we gave direction to the staff. If that would shorten time, or enable people to work, more directed or more focused. We just -- opening that is a possibility, and what I said was, if there are such things, and you can identify them as you introduce the code, or prior to the 8th, maybe there's the opportunity for the council, or members of the council, to speak to some of those issues, as the conversation in community is starting. >> Thank you. Appreciate the clarification. >> From what council member pool said, I just wanted to double down on. It will take a little bit of time for folks to receive the information, and go through it. But mayor, to your point, I don't think it's going to stop certain people from posting immediately on Friday and Saturday and Sunday their very

[9:35:19 AM]

detailed take on what's in the document. So, I want to encourage the community and my colleagues to not start sharing those inevitable blog posts and articles and posts that will certainly be very definitive about what's in the document, even though at the same time we're saying it's going to take the community a little bit of time to get through it. I think it's important to remember that. There's going to be content put out, as there already has been content put out making very specific accusations about what is in the code. As the mayor said, none of us have seen it yet. I am exzieted to start getting through it as I did with the last draft. I will read every word and every page. I hope staff is ready for me to do that. >> I wanted to add my support for having more time to discuss this together in December, especially given there's going to be a few weeks of break after

[9:36:20 AM]

that. I think it could just be helpful, as we've kind of looked at it coming out this week had our town halls and districts, planning commission, I notice in upcoming events wasn't on there, I know you're developing it. I'm sure they'll get in there. But I wanted to add my support for having that extra time in

December. I think the Martha we can deliberate over it and really hash through what's in it and making sure that it meets all of the criteria we set forth which I'm sure that it does, I think that could be extremely helpful. And I also heard it's Jessica's birthday. I'll spare you the singing, because I'm certainly not going to make you sit through that, but I appreciate you being here on your birthday. Mayor pro tem snell. >> Schedule wise, on the 8th is there their a way to move the special called meeting up to

[9:37:20 AM]

9:00? Because there was a an event at the library that I planned on attending and I know council member Flannigan. I know you can run the meeting if I'm not sheer. Can we move that 8th up to 9:00 A.M. Is there a way to do that, if that works for everybody? >> To be specific. It's the national coming out meeting that the lgbtq group is putting together. The special called -- I'm sorry, 10:00. The event at the library is is 11:30. >> I have a doctors pointment. I can't be here before 9:30. >> Mayor Adler: Can people do

[9:38:22 AM]

9:30. >> Or agree to a hard symptom at 11:30 and come back? >> Take a long lunch. >> I would rather do that. I think a lot of us have that event on our calender and plan to attend. That's my assumption, we would either stop and come back in time for us to get there. That's typically an event that multiple council member as tend. >> Okay, since you'll be gone, mayor, I'll do a hard stop at 11:30. >> Mayor Adler: Okay. >> Are we changing the time, the start? >> And also -- >> Okay, we'll start at 10:00 on the 8th. And have a hard stop at 11:30 or before 11:30. >> Mayor I have a related statement. I assume the housing and planning committee meeting is not going to happen that day? >> It's scheduled as a joint meeting because we have present some stuff and it was going to be presented at housing and planning but we recognize everybody would want to be

[9:39:24 AM]

there. Mayor and mayor pro tem are going to figure out how to run it. We will have them at the same time. >> I point out, I am here on the 7th in case anybody would want to meet on the 7th. >> I have a meeting at 10 on the 9th. >> Mayor Adler: On the 9th on Wednesday, we're talking about the 8th on these meetings. >> I'll leave at 9:30. >> Mayor Adler: On the 9th? We're talking about the 8th. >> Yeah. >> Mayor Adler: Tuesday. Yes, Alison? >> I just wanted to clarify that we're going to stop at 11:30. Not that we're coming back at 1:00. I have an afternoon full of appointments. I thought this was an hour and a half, two hour meeting. If it's going to be longer, then I'd like to know that. I just don't know what it is.

[9:40:27 AM]

>> Do you have any sense how long the content that we want to present on Tuesday would be? >> We anticipated the total meeting length of two hours which included the staff presentation and of course dialogue between council, but given the issue that's been pointed out, if we start at 10:00 with a hard break sometime before 11:30, we could come back. We certainly are willing to be here as long as council wants to deliberate. We didn't necessarily envision a full day deliberation, but more like two hours, but we certainly are willing to work with council in that regard. >> Mayor, I have a suggestion. Did you say you could be here at 9:30? >> I can be here 9:30, 9:40. >> Mayor Adler: My recommendation would be start at 9:30, take a break and accomplish as have as you can in that time and not come back. >> I would mention we have our three consultants here, so, it would be a really good time to deliberate and ask a lot of

[9:41:30 AM]

questions regarding the affordability calibration. We'll have eco-northwest here as well as housing capacity, and we will also have the first glimpse of the report card and the performance. So, we anticipated two hours but I want you all to know there will be a lot of content there. So, if you wanted to go longer, the consultants are here all day as staff has blocked off. So, at your pleasure. >> I would also urge, again, consistent to what I said a second ago in my absence, that when you're together on the 8th, that you consider whether or not there should be an additional special called meeting soon after the 8th. If in fact council wants to give direction based on what it receives, rather than waiting until it's gone through the whole process. If -- obviously, if council doesn't want to, doesn't need to, it's not appropriate, then you shouldn't. But if council already knows where it is on certain issues, and can give that direction to the planning commission, that

[9:42:32 AM]

might save everybody time and nose us on what it is that is at issue. So, I would urge my colleagues on the 8th, when you're together, to consider whether or not that makes sense to do. Okay. Anything else on it? Yes? >> We had talked about the discussions around special called meetings in never and December and I want to appreciate that and I look forward to continuing that dialogue, because obviously, when we adopted the calender for 2019, he had no idea how the land development process would play out, so, this is a I have appropriate discussion to see what if in any, and how many and when we have those additional meeting toss talk specifically about the land development code. So I look forward to that as we transition to the calender but I did want to express my appreciation for the staff. They've been doing incredible work. Friday will be a milestone. It's another milestone, the work council did leading up to the policy direction, the continued work over the course of the

[9:43:34 AM]

summer, and with the community to get that input, that lead us to this point, but this is just another step along the way. I really appreciate all of the work that's taken us this far. >> Mayor? >> Mayor Adler: Yes. On scheduling, I'll make the accommodations and make things work. I will say the week before Thanksgiving, since it's pretty soon, harder for me. But I will figure it out if I have to. December being further out is easier to move things around. I imagine it's not just me but probably other, too. I do want to emphasize something council member Flanagan and alter said, as this was released Friday, it's really important to take into context on many smaller lots, it's very clear maxing out what it is being put there isn't possible, and that we're trying to put together a code that works for folks, and so for folks to immediately jump to the conclusion that on standard lot, every lot is going to max out at 10 units is

[9:44:36 AM]

something people should not anticipate is the case, and so, we'll get that modeling back, but I think that folks on Friday should be conscious that we're going to be having conversation bgs how that all works. >> Kathy? >> Yeah, I have to say, then I would question the feasibility and wisdom of putting that kind of zoning on what are currently pretty small tracts, so, you just -- you know, we can't have it both ways. I mean, if we're asking people to contemplate what it is that we're proposing, and it says you can build six to ten units, then, it's a natural question, if that's not achievable. Why would that zoning be mapped on what might right now have been the capacity of two houses? I mean, we've all seen draft maps and it's very clear that's what happened, and certainly in the neighborhoods I represent. So, I mean, I appreciate the modeling will show us what's

[9:45:36 AM]

achievable and what isn't, but people have to evaluate what it is we're telling them the capacity would be if these zoning changes go through. >> Mayor Adler: Okay. We're going to bleed from this into calender, because it's the very next thing that's coming up, but with respect to the calender before you leave and calender people come up, I think there needs to be thought given to how we're handling the land development code. People talk about having a first reading if possible in December. What does that actually mean and what does that look like? And I think somebody needs to be giving thought to that. Right now, we just have two meetings that are set. Again, one is in that November 15th day. And the -- November 14th and the other is is December 5th. So, I think some consideration needs -- we're going to have a special called, then it field toss be the following week. We need to check to see if we have people here on the 12th.

[9:46:36 AM]

If there was going to be one, does it make more sense to be, based on people's calendars, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, not necessarily the Thursday of that week. To see where people's calendars, and if we are going to have a day that's deliberation, do we also want to have an additional day for the public to be able to speak to us. When we did this last time we had a meeting on Saturday where we invited people to come in and talk about it. So, I don't know if it makes sense to have a deliberation day that would be on the Saturday, that would be before that Saturday, the 7th, is a potential possibility, if you wanted to open it up for the public to be able to speak on a Saturday again. We know that we have to give people a chance to speak on the same day that we're going to deliberate. And that makes things difficult under the new legislature, and I'm trying to figure out how to make that work as a practical sense. You know, part of what we just

[9:47:37 AM]

did last week on the -- or, two weeks ago on the homelessness debate, I think we're anticipating having more time to be able to talk but we have a lot of people that showed up, and I don't know whether we should consider having days that are set aside as really public deliberation days, where people are given, you know, a full three minutes to be able to speak and then on the days that we actually are going to deliberate, it's a chance for people to just basically wave to us, and we limit debate to 30 seconds a person on the days when we're actually deliberating, because those are mainly deliberation days, we would be required by law to give people the chance to speak. For me, that's okay. So long as prior to that, we have given people a full throated opportunity to be able to speak. But I think we should consider what that looks like in December, and I we're going to do that in December, better that means there should be even an

[9:48:39 AM]

abbreviated second meeting in November, so we avoid having 150 agenda item day. Greg and then Alison. >> He does get to call on people. So, the -- I don't know what the state law requires that the hearing be on the exact same day as the vote. I know that we have to give everybody a chance to talk. So I would want law to look at if we have a council meeting that stretches over two days and the testimony is in the first day, if we're able to deliberate on the second day, for us to figure out how that might work. Looking over the state law, I don't know how clear it is that has to be on the same day but I'm sure the law department can advise us how to sort it. >> As we talked about it, you have a work session. We don't have public comment at a work session, you can have the conversation just among the council, if you have a public hearing, the individuals will be able to come and talk. >> Garza: I mean we have a

[9:49:40 AM]

public hearing, Tuesday we post ourselves for a vet and we told that over as we sometimes do, whether or not folks -- >> You have a meeting, if you go to a second day, I think in the rules that you all are going to be considering, you can have some delineation, you can make rules how long people are able to speak. So, if people have already spoken and they are speaking again on the same possible the next time, you can change how long they have to speak. >> Garza: I mean if it's in the same -- >> I understand what you're asking. >> And your, if we convene a meeting on Tuesday and everyone speaks and you have a possibility of voting that day. But we don't get to that place and the meeting extends to Wednesday, we don't have to open it up for public comment again. >> If you're in the same meeting you're allowing everybody registered to speak to speak. So people need to come and register by "X" time and then you can continue your conversation and debate and take an action.

[9:50:40 AM]

>> Mayor Adler: Got it. Okay. "P" it was a little confusing. I want to make sure people know the dates we're talking about. I think this calendar is for next year, so the Saturday that you were referring to is the 9th for this year. It's next year, the 7th. >> Mayor Adler: That's what I said, December 7th. This Saturday for this year, would be December 7th. >> So, you're talking about December. >> Mayor Adler: December. I was trying to figure out in December, if we're going to -- >> That's fine. I really didn't want to jump down that rabbit hole, what I did want to say, because the calendar is getting confusing and maybe just go to the message board to get it worked out, is I was trying to understand, you said a couple of times, mayor, if we have -- if staff has questions on guidance that we gave in May that they want to ask us about, to do so, to surface those questions and we can try to answer them and I

[9:51:40 AM]

finally figure out what it maybe is, and that is the point that the council member Tovo made about the small lot situation where we just had a little bit of discussion here previous to Kathie's comment that we're not going to put six to ten units on a small lot. But Kathie pointed out that's the direction we gave in the guidance document in May. I think we do need to clarify that, and maybe make some changes so that the community -- so that the public understands that we have changed that, sounds like, from what I'm hearing, from both you and from Greg. I'm certainly pleased to hear that, because I think there's a limit to how much density you can put on a certain size of a footprint, so, if in deed we are going that direction, I think that is something that we need to clarify and I just wanted to

[9:52:42 AM]

make sure that I was understanding where council member tovo is coming from. Is that a close approximation of the question you were asking for the point you were making? Sorry to put you on the spot. >> Tovo: Well, I think I was just really highlighting the challenge of both the policy direction and some of the mapping, frankly, and I think it is something, once we see the maps and the public sees the maps, we'll have to have a conversation around. Because if it -- if the expectation is that people will continue to be guided by what is feasible on a tract, then it's not at all clear why we would have zoning on there that so far exceeds the capacity unless we're intending for people to be able to aggregate sites and build to the capacity that way. Again, I think that conversation

[9:53:45 AM]

will be, will make more sense once people see the maps. >> >> Mayor Adler: I didn't have that in mind, that felts in the broader thing. I was thinking when we were here last week or two weeks ago, whatever it was, when someone chose to abolish a house in a transition zone, will they be able to build back their house. And I think staff indicated they would let people build back their house. And the question is can they build their house or a mansion back. And that involved policy question and preservation question with respect to trying to preserve the existing house, are we going to let people in transition Zones, tear down a small house, not build a duplex or triplex, because they've decide to do that, but build a really big home. That might be a question. That might be susceptible for a conversation on the dais. Whether we do that or not, I

[9:54:47 AM]

don't know. I remember thinking if we decide that question quickly, we might save the community weeks of consternation on that type of issue. And I don't know whether that is appropriate for this or there are other things appropriate for this, the one that came out here might be appropriate for that. I was throwing it out, as a council, we ought to take a second to see if there are things we can do or if it's appropriate. Alison? >> This might be a question for aniq. When is the planning special supposed to come back. Because I don't think as council we can take this up until we've heard from planning commission. >> Right now they are scheduled to vote on November 12. They have their public hearing on October 26th, and they have a few days set forth for special meetings. I believe November 5th and 6th are two of those dates.

[9:55:48 AM]

There might be more and then they are poised for their schedule that they adopted several weeks ago to make their final recommendation, November 12th to the council. >> Okay. So, depending on what the planning commission changes or how many changes there are, I think that's going to ultimately affect our time line. I would opt for us to have as many meetings scheduled that we can cancel if we need to. It's just much easier to have them on the schedule and we welcome having a few free hours that's not scheduled rather than having to scramble like we've been having to do time and time again over the last month and a half. I would really welcome us trying to get as many meetings we might need on the calendar, and then some, and we can scale back and having a clear idea of when we would be starting up again in January, should we need to be flowing over to January. I am concerned, depending on

[9:56:48 AM]

what the planning commission recommends, about the community also having an opportunity to digest that and provide us feedback in a timely manner, given the holidays and everything else going on. I want to also point out that we had said that our October 31st meeting would be over at a reasonable hour, so folks could celebrate Halloween with their family, both staff and council and the public. And I would very much support another meeting in November and another meeting in December, whether they are for the code or for other things. I think that would be helpful breathing room for us in the process. >> Mayor Adler: Thank you. Greg until >> Casar: To the question whether or not there's a change in those density Zones that allow you to have more units. I think that's a good thing. I want to see people participate that. I was raising, like a lot of our

[9:57:51 AM]

existing zoning categories sometimes a lot may be big enough, or may be big enough to get you to the full capacity of zoning. Sometimes people put lots together. Sometimes the lot is so small an lot can only build a single family house. I want to treat these transition area Zones like we treat other categories and map them the best we can and not assume every single lot can max out entitlements and everybody on the dais knows that because that's what we deal with on every single council meeting and we need to communicate that to people that we need to understand the full text of the document and not just go off what somebody might view as a worst case scenario on every single lot. >> Mayor Adler: Okay. Anything else on this before we let these folks go. >> Oh, I have one more question.

[9:58:51 AM]

>> Mayor Adler: Go ahead. >> I anticipate there will be more policy questions that we need to discuss. We just talked about one of them and I'm anticipating there will be others. So, I would just ask that we have a -- you guys can think about it, but we have a process that we understand on how we raise those.

You know, there are some -- the policy documents are relatively long and detailed documents so there's a lot of complexities to it I think we hit a lot of high points. I appreciate the briefings that we've had. But we certainly haven't discussed how every aspect of that policies direction has been intercepted nor could we have anticipated how it might work together in certain areas. So there will be policy -- and I imagine that we may raise some and that some will come to your attention in other ways. So I would like to have a list, and I'd like to

[9:59:52 AM]

understand when, when we can do that. I don't have a -- I don't have a thought about when that should be or how that should be. I just think we need some clarity. So instead of -- otherwise what's gonna happen is each of us is gonna say I've identified one so I'm gonna bring it on this date, and I don't want to do it scotter shot. I think it's more important for us to identify what those are, and so I'm open to whatever that mechanism is weapon I just think it would be important for us to understand what those are because we know that there will be and there are -- I've already seen one or two mm-hmm, and a lot of them probably need to be discussed in context together. >> Mayor Adler: Maybe you can check with calendars and people and offices, but the meeting was on the eighth and they got the report either prior to the eighth or on the eighth you identify the policy questions you saw giving

[10:00:52 AM]

councilmembers the opportunity to be able to augment that list. Maybe the possibility we could do a special called on either the 14th or 16th of October, just for the purpose of being able to discuss those kinds of policy questions together as a group if that worked for people's calendar or they could move things around might make sense. >> Kitchen: Mayor? >> Mayor Adler: Yes. >> Kitchen: I don't even know it has to be that soon because it may take a little while to surface some of the policy issues. I think somewhere in the process -- you know, my gut would be we would want to address them before the planning commission takes up their issue, but I do think we need to allow a little time for the public to raise some -- >> Mayor Adler: It wouldn't be exclusive. I would handle on the 14th and 16th if there were three we could handle then we knew we could handle those and if it made sense to come back the following week and pick up another three. That kind of work would be

[10:01:54 AM]

really helpful for the community for us to do. >> Kitchen: Okay. >> Mayor Adler: For my calendar I'll try to be as flexible and accommodating as I can to fill that function as often as it made sense to do. >> Kitchen: I know it's not black or white or anything, but to the extent we can have a list that we're looking at so that we understand the scope of them, that would be helpful. >> Mayor Adler: Jimmy. >> Go

ahead. >> Flannigan: I appreciate that, councilmember kitchen. I don't want staff -- I wouldn't want staff to go down a hole trying to figure out every kind of split difference because at the end of the day I think we're all going to bring back the two or three things we find. I don't think there's any way to stop that but I think there are probably three or four high-level ones staff knows and will be good to daylight those. >> Kitchen: They may hear things from the public too. >> Garza: I wanted to chime in quickly about a bunch of

[10:02:54 AM]

the comments that have been made, and I think this is where councilmember Casar was trying to get at. In this -- we know there's a lot of attention on this issue, and the more -- I know we don't all agree on all of it, but the more we can -- the more the message can be that what is released on the fourth is a draft is what I think is important, and so, you know, the question of I don't know what to tell people who are asking me how many units they can put on a lot, you know, I feel like the response is staff is going to release something and we're going to talk about it and this is a draft and there's nothing final about this. And I guess I hope that's where even though we may not agree on a lot -- in a lot of these areas, this -- I'm still very concerned about what I believe are a lot of scare tactics out there. I mean, it was frankly amazing that the day after

[10:03:54 AM]

we had this discussion, that day, there was more information put out saying that what is happening on Friday is going to change your lot forever, and so I guess I would just plead with my colleagues that we all are aware that this is a draft and regardless of where policy direction was and what comes out we can have those discussions, we can walk back what we didn't think was -- what we thought might have been the right way to go and maybe after seeing maps is not appropriate. But I just don't feel it's right to be, you know, scaring folks and there's time, we have time, and we have time to make changes, and I hope we can do what's best for our community and not try to be scaring folks. >> Mayor Adler: Greg. >> Casar: Since councilmember alter raised the Halloween council meeting issue, I continue to support and think it's great

[10:04:55 AM]

for us to get out early that afternoon. I do want to ask the manager that if our council meeting agenda looks like it will be long that maybe we have to poll each other to see whether we're free the next morning or something because I'm ready and willing to come back on Friday if that's necessary for us to end that afternoon early or for us to accommodate it with other meetings. I'm not stating here that's what we have to do. I just want to raise it as one option or possibility. Of course if we have a short

enough council meeting that we can just get out, great but I don't want us to wind up in a tension where we have too many items and not an option for how to address them. >> Mayor Adler: To that end, I would say real fast that we shouldn't have procs or music on that night so that people can get out. As we talked about earlier so that people can be with families, everybody. And I would also be available that Friday if people wanted to move -- extend over to that day. Kathie. >> Tovo: To be clear I thought we talked about

[10:05:56 AM]

ending about 3:00 that day. So I'm anticipating that we're gone long before procs would >> Flannigan:. >> Staff has known about this for months since we adopted the budget last year. We know as we prepare the agenda, we have three council meetings this month, and so we are planning to make sure that we end on time and only have enough items that can be deliberated during that time. >> Tovo: Thank you. >> Mayor Adler: Cool. Anything else on this? All right. For real this time you can go. [Laughter] Let's pull up the calendar folks. >> Mayor, council, to introduce this as staff comes up, this is really only to socialize the calendar as a draft and we're not trying to make any determinations today. It's good to start the conversation, but we have currently planned to have this potentially on the calendar for the October 17 council meeting, but it could also be pushed to the

[10:06:59 AM]

October 31 council meeting. So we have time to figure this out, but we did want to air this as quickly as possible so you could check within your own calendars, get back to staff. You don't have to raise everything even in this session, but we just wanted to at least have an awareness for you and the public so we can craft a final product that you can hopefully adopt sometime this month. With that I'll turn it over to Katie powers and the agenda office. >> Katie powers, good morning, mayor and council. As you can see on the draft calendar I passed out to you and also available in backup, we put this calendar together based off this current year's calendar with a few changes. Every year we take into consideration some conferences that we know you all like to attend or staff likes to attend. So I've noted those here in gray, as well as on the right-hand side. The biggest change that you're going to notice is the moving up of the summer break, and that is due to the new budget schedule that

[10:08:01 AM]

was detailed in a memo that went out on Friday, which Diane Tyler from budget is here to discuss if you have questions about that. We have four more council meetings on this current draft schedule than we did this year. That includes two meetings in November, two meetings in December. That might alleviate some of the problems we were just discussing about this year's calendar. That does mean those

meetings will be back to back to accommodate Christmas break, Thanksgiving break, all of those holidays. I do know that on this draft calendar there is one date that might be a problem. July 16, I believe, is the democratic national convention that some of you might be interested in. So we can certainly discuss moving that council meeting date. You'll also notice on here July 23rd, there's no accompanying work session because that is going to be the day we have a budget public hearing only. And then August 4 is going to be the day that we set the maximum tax rate.

[10:09:04 AM]

So that's it. If you have any questions. >> Mayor Adler: I appreciate you laying it out and to kind of get feedback. I'm happy you caught the democratic convention. That goes from the 13th to the 16th, so it's more than just August 16 so I think that there may very well be people that are gone, I would anticipate being gone that entire week. I noticed you set the 23rd on top of the U.S. Conference of mayors meeting. It would look like the 30th was a better day but apparently the clerk's office is out that week. But I just point out that I can't be there on the 23rd. But if we wanted to move that meeting on the 23rd to the 21st, on a Tuesday, then I would be able to attend. And then same thing is true on the meeting on the 27th of February. I'm speaking at a sister city on the 27th. But would be available on

[10:10:06 AM]

that Tuesday the 25th. >> And we could also have -- I'm sorry to interrupt. We could also have back to back meetings in February if that moves better for people. Move it up to the 20th. That's an option. >> Mayor Adler: Okay. Alison. >> Alter: Thank you. I just want to say I didn't receive the memo or I can't find it. So if you could resend it out to me and maybe if you could briefly here tell us about the requirements moving backwards so we know the issues. >> Sure. So just as an aside, that was sent out on Friday through official distribution, so I will check with Pio and find out why you didn't receive that. Did everybody else get it? Okay. No? Really? Okay. Thank you for that. So what the memo says, basically, is that due to the change in the

[10:11:07 AM]

legislation, Diane Tyler, budget officer, we're building in the capacity for council to call an election for the tax rate. In order to do that, we have to have 78 days from the time of the election, which would be November 4. So that means that the earliest that we could adopt the budget and call the tax rate election would be August 17. However, that's I think on a Tuesday. So we've set it to the 12th, and the 12th will give us three contiguous days on which to do deliberations if we need those three days. So given that it's on the 12th, that means that we have to have, according to local government code, 30 days from the time that we present the budget to the time that we adopt it. Therefore, we have to

come back to you and present the budget on July 13th. Given that, that's why we've moved the budget calendar --

[10:12:07 AM]

the council break back two weeks. So that's it. I'm sorry. >> Alter: So then a couple comments. One would be, depending on when aid and other school districts start, I'm not sure if they will be starting on the 18th or the 25th, but if they are starting on the 25th it would be better if we could have the week of the 18th off so that families would be able to have a vacation. I just don't know whether starting yet there. And then November 3, I believe, is election day, and I think we had decided that from past experience we did not want to have council meeting on the week of an election where we had half the council up for election. It didn't make for a very productive meeting, so I wanted to flag that as well. And I'm not sure when the run-off is in December,

[10:13:07 AM]

should that be an issue for anyone as well. So I would just flag both of those for that. And then I think when I checked the September dates were fine for the holidays, but if you're moving any of those for september/october, if you could make sure to look out for those dates and know that you have to do the night before as well, that would be great. Thank you. >> Mayor Adler: Okay. Jimmy. >> Flannigan: So my concerns are the back to back meetings in november/december, primarily, and if the 11th is a city holiday I'm not sure that we're gonna be that much -- there's gonna be much productivity between the work session on the tenth and council meeting on the 12th but I also agree with councilmember alter that an election week is a challenging week to also

[10:14:08 AM]

obtain productivity so I'm not sure. I would split the difference on that. Of course December with the back to back it's -- I'm wondering if it makes more sense for us to plan additional time for council meetings on the Friday after. Instead of doing more council meetings where they're doubling up agendas, give us the Saturday extra time to do the work so we're not having a bunch of public testimony on an item, we postpone it because we ran out of time and don't want to go until 2:00 A.M. And you have to do a whole other round of public testimony the next week because of the new state law, maybe it makes sense to do fewer meetings but let the meetings be scheduled longer, over two days. Something to consider. >> Mayor Adler: Any other comments about the calendar? Anybody wants to [indiscernible] At this point. >> Renteria: Mayor, I don't have any problem meeting on the 15th or the 17th.

[10:15:09 AM]

>> Mayor Adler: Okay. >> [Off mic] >> Alter: December. >> Mayor Adler: He's going to into the next week, 15th of December, 17th, he was saying he was available. >> Just for a process point of view, mayor, council, if you have additional clarifications or conflicts you wanted to present to the clerk's office or to Katie, she'll house those and we'll work on being able to push those back to council with the revised draft calendar for your consideration. >> Mayor Adler: Yes, Ann. >> Kitchen: I'd just ask we adopt this as soon as we can so that we can, you know, more or less rely on these, understanding sometimes we have changes during the year. Particularly with the change in the June versus July break, I'm fine with that, but I think it's -- I don't want it moving around. I would like to understand as soon as we possibly can what we're gonna set.

[10:16:11 AM]

>> Mayor Adler: Okay. Thank you. All right. Thanks for the work on the calendar. Excuse me. We had been set for a discussion of the homelessness resolutions. I think that's been pulled down. And it looked like most of the people that went on the calendar wanted us to pick back up the conversation on the 15th of October, so we'll do that. As we move past this, separate from the conversations about the resolutions and the ordinances, manager, there were some things that had been suggested that you could just do in terms of enforcing laws, making sure that there was a perception in the community we were enforcing laws against behaviors that was illegal or making sure -- identifying if there was anything else the police chief needed to enforce the ordinances that we have, taking sanitation steps to clean areas in the city that

[10:17:12 AM]

need it, taking a look at encampments that might make sense to approach. And you had indicated at one point you were going to respond to that. Do you still intend to do that? >> Yeah, mayor, council, there has been activity on the message board posts and I think last Thursday the mayor posted that but then others chimed in as well. Since then I have been having initial conversations with the law department and with the police chief. We're hoping to at least give a preliminary response sometime this week, just to give some initial thoughts on how that might be clarified. But I definitely want to be responsive to some of the discussions that happened on the message board. >> Mayor Adler: Okay. Thank you. Alison. >> Alter: I just wanted to ask if we had resolved the issue of the message board. I didn't post because I wasn't able to get in easily and I think there wasn't a suggestion how to do that yesterday but I was off.

[10:18:13 AM]

I'm just wondering if that's gonna be fixed or has been fixed. >> Mayor Adler: The message board. >> Alter: You haven't been actually been able to view it so it's hard to be part of the conversation. >> Fair point. I just ctm has been working on that. If it hasn't been resolved we're continuing to work on that. I don't have a current update on that but I'll just make sure that we do have the access for everyone for councilmembers and the public to see it. >> Mayor Adler: My office was told this morning if you can't get in on explorer you can get in under chrome, except that I was able to get in the other way around on Saturday. So I'm not sure, but I would just say that if you're trying to get in you can't, you might try a different browser because maybe that might help. >> Alter: That's fine. I didn't chime in because I couldn't read the whole -- all of the chains and there's only so much time I could spend on trying to access the message board. But I will continue to

[10:19:13 AM]

participate otherwise. >> Mayor Adler: Sounds good. Ann. >> Kitchen: Oh. I just wanted to reiterate and thank you, mayor, for laying out the time line. And in conversations with councilmember harper-madison, it became clear to councilmember tovo and I that today wouldn't be an appropriate day because it's important for everyone to have the opportunity to appellant -- participate so that's why we pulled down our request on the work session today. As we've seen from our conversation it doesn't make sense next week because there's limited time and we need to focus on the ldc so that's why for those of you who haven't seen the message board we've identified as the 15th as the day to have that, to come back and have that conversation. So thank you. >> Mayor Adler: Okay. Anything else on this? All right. Let's go then to the pulled items that we have for today before we go into executive session. Councilmember kitchen, you pulled item number 66. >> Kitchen: Yes, I pulled 66

[10:20:14 AM]

and 67. I pulled them -- at the time I pulled them, I just wanted to bring them to everyone's attention in case there were questions people have because there are some technical aspects of this, but I understand since that time that there are some process issues about how we address these and perhaps it's not appropriate to address it in a work session. So I have to defer to our city attorney to speak to that. I do want to just say -- I wanted to bring it to people's attention, I don't think we've had this kind of issue in front of us before. And it's an issue where we are asked to address an appeal on a certificate of occupancy issue. And I won't say anymore, city attorney, because I don't want to get into trouble. I'm not sure I understand what all the process issues are about pulling it for work session.

[10:21:14 AM]

So if you want to just speak to what's appropriate for people to ask about or not, that would be great. >> Sure. So this is a public hearing that you all are gonna have tomorrow. You're acting as sort of the judge in the case. Thursday. Thank you. Not tomorrow. So the applicant will make a presentation to you all, the city building official, our official will make a presentation to you all and you will make a decision about the case that will be in front of you. So that's the gist of it. I think Trish can send an email to describe the process and happy to circulate that again. >> Kitchen: Just so I clarify, so any questions that we might have, any questions at all with regard to the case, whether it be technical questions or process questions or anything like that, we have the -- we can ask them on Thursday? >> Right, sure. You can certainly ask a process question here if you have one, but you can bring those up on Thursday. I think there are documents in the backup for the item that people have provided. >> Kitchen: Okay.

[10:22:14 AM]

I mean, more in terms of all the staff will be available from the code department, from fire department, all of those folks, right? >> Yes. >> Kitchen: Okay. Thank you. >> Mayor Adler: Are there any special rules about this, in terms of what we should be talking about or not talking about ahead of time? >> The process is the applicant will come, will make a presentation, and then the city building official will make a presentation. You'll be able to ask your questions then. A lot of the information is public already. You've seen about it, the case has gone on for a long period of time. I would suggest -- >> Mayor Adler: It was Ann's hesitation about asking a question or not asking a question. >> Kitchen: Right. >> Mayor Adler: Which leads me to the question, is there any special limitation on what we can be asking or not asking prior to the process that happens on Thursday? >> No. Of course our clear desire is that both sides get a fair access to y'all to make their case, their presentation. That's the only reason we

[10:23:16 AM]

wouldn't, for example, want to have a whole presentation here today. That would be 1-sided. And then have the presentation on Thursday with the applicant. >> Kitchen: Which would also mean that it's not the kind of case where -- it's not the kind of situation where we should be meeting with one side prior because this is a public conversation, right? >> It will be a public conversation, that's right. >> Kitchen: Okay. >> Mayor Adler: Kathie. >> Tovo: Will we be having an executive session about this item? >> We weren't intending to. There -- it will be a presentation in front of you all on Thursday, but if you have a legal concern we can certainly talk about it in executive session. >> Tovo: Okay. Thank you. I might want to do that. I'm going to review the memo again. >> Alter: Can you recirculate? Thank you. >> Be happy to. >> Mayor Adler: Okay. Anything else? All right. Let's go to the next pulled item, item number -- that was 66 and 67 so now item number 68, Mr. Flannigan, you pulled that.

[10:24:17 AM]

>> Flannigan: Yeah. I raised some issues during the setting of the public hearing item in a previous meeting, but one issue I have is that in the backup on the posting the fiscal notice not included, it's there but it just links to the map so there has been no fiscal note posted to the backup. It's the same things that listed under exhibit a, so I'm gonna want to see that fiscal note information. That's ultimately my question about this annexation, what are the -- what, if any, are the ongoing obligations that will accrue to the city in terms of maintenance or other need by annexing this property? Is there sidewalks we're going to have to maintain? Are there additional road obligations? Those are my questions. But primarily I'm frustrated because the fiscal note is not posted to the backup. >> Mayor Adler: Okay. Anything else? All right. Next item that you pulled, Jimmy, was item number 80?

[10:25:17 AM]

>> Flannigan: Yeah. I was planning to have a longer conversation today but the law department sent a memo at 9:00 A.M. This morning as I was walking into work session so I need a little time to go through that and we'll talk about it on Thursday. >> Mayor Adler: Anybody else have anything else they want to discuss on the agenda before we go into executive session? >> Renteria: Mayor, I had [indiscernible] I know that there's gonna be a couple items that are gonna be postponed. They were asked for postponement. Item 9, they were asking to postpone that to October the 17th. >> Mayor Adler: Okay. >> Renteria: And I believe that the neighborhood are gonna ask for a postponement on item 95. >> Mayor Adler: On 95 the neighborhood is gonna ask? >> Renteria: Yes. >> Mayor Adler: Okay. Do you have a position on that postponement? On 95? >> Renteria: Not right now, but I would probably recommend that we do it October 17 if -- >> Mayor Adler: Okay.

[10:26:17 AM]

There was also another one I think that staff indicated was going to be potentially postponed. There was 19 and I think another one. Anybody know that he? Let me see here real fast. 9 and 69 I think are being postponed by staff until October 17 is the word I've gotten. Nine and 69. Postponed by staff until October 17. Mr. Renteria points out number 19 is also going to be considered for -- what?

[10:27:19 AM]

And 95. You were talking about 9? Okay. So 9 and 69 postponed by staff and 95 the applicant is going to seek postponement. >> And 19 stays on this one? 19 will stay on this one? >> Mayor Adler: Yes, I haven't heard anything about 19. Okay. Anything else? All right. City council will now go in closed section to take

up three items pursuant to 551.071, discuss matters related to e3, which is the [indiscernible] Verse state, e5, e6, permian highway pipeline. E1, e2 and e4 have been withdrawn. Without objection here at 10:28 we will go in executive session with no objection. [Executive session]

[12:14 PM]

>> Mayor Adler: Alright we are out of closed session. In closed session we discussed legal matters related to items e3, e5, and e6. It is 12:14 and this meeting is adjourned.