## CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet

DATE. Hidisday, November 7, 2019	CASE NUMBER: C16-2019-0004
Brooke Bailey	
Jessica Cohen	
Ada Corral	
Melissa Hawthorne	
William Hodge	
Don Leighton-Burwell	
Rahm McDaniel	
Darryl Pruett	
Veronica Rivera	
Yasmine Smith	
Michael Von Ohlen	
Kelly Blume (Alternate)	
Martha Gonzalez (Alternate)	
Denisse Hudock (Alternate)	

**APPLICANT: Will Marsh** 

OWNER: USL2 Austin 901 East 6th Street LP

ADDRESS: 901 6TH ST

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a variance(s) from Section 25-10-133 (University Neighborhood Overlay Zoning District Sign) (F) to allow for three electrical wall signs to be placed on the fifth floor of the building in order to provide signage for a Mixed Use building in a "TOD-CURE-CO-NP", Transit Oriented District/Plaza Saltillo – Central Urban Redevelopment – Combined Overlay – Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (East Cesar Chavez)

The Land Development Code sign regulations state that no sign may be placed above the second floor of a building, except for a non-electric sign that is engraved, cut into the building, or otherwise inlaid to become part of the building.

BOARD'S DECISION: BOA Sept 9, 2019 meeting The public hearing was closed by Chair Don Leighton-Burwell, Board Member Veronica Rivera motions to Postpone to October 14, 2019, Board Member Yasmine Smith seconds on an 11-0 vote; POSPTONED TO OCTOBER 14, 2019 \*\* t 14, 2019 POSTPONED TO November 7, 2019; November 7, 2019 WITHDRAWN BY APPLICANT

**EXPIRATION DATE: November 7, 2020** 

## **FINDING:**

1. The variance is necessary because strict enforcement of the Article prohibits and reasonable opportunity to provide adequate signs on the site, considering the unique features of a site such as its dimensions, landscape, or topography, because:

OR,

2. The granting of this variance will not have a substantially adverse impact upon neighboring properties, because:

OR,

3. The granting of this variance will not substantially conflict with the stated purposes of this sign ordinance, because:

AND.

4. Granting a variance would not provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated, because:

Elaine Ramirez

**Executive Liaison** 

Don Leighton-Burwell

Chairman