

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

PART 1. Legislative Findings.

PART 2. Adoption of New Land Development Code.

PART 3. Effect of Code Adoption.

- Page 1 of 3

- 1 (1) **Active development applications.** The City's prior land development
2 ordinances shall continue to apply to complete development
3 applications that were either:
- 4 (a) approved prior to the effective date of this ordinance, if the
5 approval has not expired on or after the effective date of this
6 ordinance; or
- 7 (b) duly accepted for filing prior to or on the effective date of this
8 ordinance, if the application is thereafter approved and has not
9 expired.
- 10 (2) **Rights conferred by state law.** The City's prior land development
11 ordinances shall continue to apply to the extent required by state law.
- 12 (3) **Incorporation of prior codes into the Land Development Code.** The
13 City's prior land development ordinances shall continue to apply as
14 authorized by the new Land Development Code adopted by this
15 ordinance, including in connection with:
- 16 (a) the F25 Zone established by Section 23-4-8080 (*Former Title*
17 *25 (F25) Zone*);
- 18 (b) non-conforming uses or structures regulated by Article 23-2G
19 (*Nonconformity*); and
- 20 (c) as authorized by Section 23-1A-5030 (*Continuation of Prior*
21 *Ordinances*).
- 22 (4) **Conditional use permits.** The City may continue to enforce prior land
23 development ordinances in connection with conditional use permits
24 approved or applied for prior to the effective date of this ordinance,
25 provided that the permit remains in effect and does not expire.

26 **PART 4. Legislative Record.**

27 The legislative record for this ordinance, at a minimum, includes:

- 28 (A) All documentary and testimonial evidence provided or referenced in
29 connection with the public hearings and deliberations conducted by the
30 Planning Commission and the City Council, as identified in Part 1 of this
31 ordinance; and
- 32 (B) Exhibit B to this ordinance.

1

2
3
4
5

6

78

9
10
11
12
13

14

15

16

17

19

20
21
22
23
24

26
27
28

ATTEST: _____
Jannette S. Goodall
City Clerk

EXHIBIT A

CITY OF AUSTIN LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE

**Adopted by the City Council on _____, 2020
by Ordinance No. 2020_____-__**

EXHIBIT B

BIBLIOGRAPHY OF BEST AVAILABLE SCIENCE AND PLANNING STUDIES

Bibliography of Best Available Science and Land Use Planning Studies

- Alexander, R.B., and E.W. Boyer, R.A. Smith, G.A. Schwarz, R.B. Moore. 2007. The Role of Headwater Streams in Downstream Water Quality. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 43(1).
- Arnold, C. L. and C. J. Gibbons. 1996. Impervious surface coverage: the emergence of a key environmental indicator. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 62:243-258.
- Booth, D., and L. Reinelt. 1993. Consequences of urbanization on aquatic systems: measured effects, degradation thresholds, and corrective strategies. Pages 545-550 *in* Proceedings, Watershed '93, A National Conference on Watershed Management. March 21-24, 1993. Alexandria, Virginia.
- Castelle, A. J., A. W. Johnson, and C. Conolly. 1994. Wetland and stream buffer size requirements - a review. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 23:878-882.
- CWP (Center for Watershed Protection). 2003. Impacts of urbanization on downstream receiving waters, section 2: Is impervious cover still important? Center for Watershed Protection Runoff Rundown Issue 9.
- DeGasperi, C.L., and H.B. Berge, K.R. Whiting, J.J. Burkey, J.L. Cassin, R.R. Fuerstenberg. 2009. Linking hydrologic alteration to biological impairment in urbanizing streams of the Puget lowland, Washington, USA. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 45(2):512-533.
- Dwyer, J.F., and E.G. McPherson, H.W. Schroeder, R.A. Rowntree. 1992. Assessing the benefits and costs of the urban forest. *Journal of Arboculture* 18(5): 227-234.
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1999. Preliminary data summary of urban storm water best management practices. EPA-821-R-99-012.
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2002. 8 Tools of Watershed Protection in Developing Areas.
- Hauwert, N. M., D. A. Johns, J. W. Sansom, and T. J. Aley. 2002. Groundwater tracing of the Barton Springs Segment of the Edwards Aquifer, Travis and Hays Counties, Texas. *Gulf Coast Association of Geological Society Transactions*. 52:377-384
- Herrington, C., and M. Scoggins. 2006. Potential Impacts of Hays County WCID No. 1 Proposed Wastewater Discharge on the Algae Communities of Bear Creek and Barton Springs. City of Austin Watershed Protection and Development Review Department, Water Resource Evaluation Section. SR-06-08.
- Horner, R.R., and D.B. Booth, A. Azous, C.W. May. 1996. Watershed determinants of ecosystem functioning. *In: Effects of watershed development and management on aquatic ecosystems. Proceedings of an Engineering Foundation Conference.* ASCE, NY.

- Jennings, D.B., and S.T. Jarnigan. 2002. Changes in anthropogenic impervious surfaces, precipitation and daily streamflow discharge: a historical perspective in a mid-Atlantic subwatershed. *Landscape Ecology* 17:471-489.
- Kennen, J.G., and M.A. Ayers. 2002. Relation of environmental characteristics to the composition of aquatic assemblages along a gradient of urban land use in New Jersey, 1996-1998. United States Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigations Report 02-4069.
- Klein, R.D. 1979. Urbanization and Stream Quality Impairment. *Water Resources Bulletin* 15:948-963.
- Leopold, L.B. 1968. The Hydrologic Effects of Urban Land Use: Hydrology for Urban Land Planning – A Guidebook of the Hydrologic Effects of Urban Land Use. USGS Circular 554.
- Mabe, J.A. 2007. Nutrient and biological conditions of selected small streams in the Edwards Plateau, Central Texas, 2005–06, and implications for development of nutrient criteria. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2007–5195.
- May, C.W., and R.R. Horner, J.R. Karr, B.W. Mar, E.B. Welch. 2000. Effects of urbanization on small streams in Puget Sound Ecoregion. *in* The Practice of Watershed Protection: Article 18. Center for Watershed Protection.
- Morisawa, M., and E. Laflure. 1979. Hydraulic geometry, stream equilibrium and urbanization. In: Rhodes, D.D., Williams, G.P. (Eds.), *Adjustments of the Fluvial System*. Kendall-Hunt, Dubuque, Iowa, pp. 333–350.
- Nelson, N., and J. Dorfman, L. Fowler. 2002. The Potential For Community Forests to Be Self-Financing: An Hedonic Analysis of The Enhancement Value of Georgia's Trees. University of Georgia: Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics.
- Olivera, F., and B.B. McFee. 2007. Urbanization and its effect on runoff in the Whiteoak Bayou Watershed, Texas. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 43(1): 170-182.
- Opticos, Land Development Code Diagnosis. May 5, 2014.
- Pennington, S. R., M. D. Kaplowitz, and S. G. Witter. 2003. Reexamining best management practices for improving water quality in urban watersheds. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 39: 1027-1041.
- Roy, A.H., and A.D. Rosemond, M.J. Paul, D.S. Leigh, J.B. Wallace. 2003. Stream macroinvertebrate response to catchment urbanization (Georgia, USA). *Freshwater Biology* 48: 329-346.
- Scoggins, M. 2000. Effects of Hydrology on Bioassessment in Austin, Texas. City of Austin Environmental Resource Management Division. SR-00-02.
- Slade, R., M. Dorsey, and S. Stewart. 1986. Hydrology and water quality of the Edwards Aquifer associated with Barton Springs in the Austin area, Texas. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 86-4036.

- Schueller, T. 1994. The Importance of Imperviousness. *Watershed Protection Techniques* 1(3):100-111.
- Schueller, T. 2003. Is impervious cover still important? A review of recent urban stream research. IN: *Impacts of impervious cover on the quality of aquatic systems*. Center for Watershed Protection, March 2003.
- Spalatro, F., and B. Provencher. 2001. An Analysis of Minimum Frontage Zoning to Preserve Lakefront Amenities. *Land Economics* 77(4): 469-481.
- Smiley Jr, P.C., and K.W. King, N.R. Fausey. 2010. Public health perspectives of channelized and unchannelized headwater streams in central Ohio: a case study. *Journal of Water and Health* 8(3).
- Taylor, S.L., and S.C. Roberts, C.J. Walsh, B.E. Hatt. 2004. Catchment urbanization and increased benthic algal biomass in streams: linking mechanisms to management. *Freshwater Biology* 49: 835-851.
- Texas State Data Center. 2002. Projections of the population of Texas and counties in Texas by age, sex and race/ethnicity for 2000-2040.
- Wang, L., and J. Lyons, P. Kanehl. 2001. Impacts of urbanization on stream habitat and fish across multiple spatial scales. *Environmental Management* 28(2): 255-266.
- Water Environment Research Foundation (WERF). 2005. Performance and Whole-Life Costs of Best Management Practices and Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems. 01-CTS-21-TA.
- Zucker Systems, Zucker Final Report. May 8, 2015.