

CITY OF AUSTIN
Board of Adjustment
Decision Sheet

DATE: Monday, January 13, 2020

CASE NUMBER: C15-2019-0046

____ Brooke Bailey
____ Jessica Cohen
____ Ada Corral
____ Melissa Hawthorne
____ William Hodge
____ Don Leighton-Burwell
____ Rahm McDaniel
____ Darryl Pruet
____ Veronica Rivera
____ Yasmine Smith
____ Michael Von Ohlen
____ Kelly Blume (Alternate)
____ Martha Gonzalez (Alternate)
____ Denisse Hudock (Alternate)

APPLICANT: Lila Nelson

OWNER: Benjamin S Wu

ADDRESS: 4320 JAMES CASEY ST

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a variance(s) from Section 25-2-1067 (H) (Design Regulations) Compatibility Height requirements of Article 10, Compatibility Standards, Division 2 –Development Standards to

- a) decrease the minimum parking setback from 25 feet (required) on the southern and western boundaries to 0 feet (requested) and;
b) decrease the minimum driveway setback from 20 feet (required) to 0 feet (requested),

in order to construct an accessory parking lot in a “LO-V-NP”, Limited Office – Vertical Mixed Use – Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (South Manchaca Neighborhood Plan)

NOTE: The subject property is 110 ft. wide

BOARD’S DECISION: BOA meeting Aug 12, 2019 The public hearing was closed by Chair Don Leighton-Burwell, Board Member Yasmine Smith motion to postpone to September 9, 2019, Board Member Brooke Bailey second on an 11-0 vote; POSTPONED TO SEPTEMBER 9, 2019; Sept 9, 2019 The public hearing was closed by Chair Don Leighton-Burwell, Board Member Jessica Cohen motions to Postpone to October 14, 2019, Board Member Rahm McDaniel seconds on a 10-1 vote (Board member Darryl Pruet nay); POSTPONED TO OCTOBER 14, 2019. Oct


14, 2019 POSTPONED TO November 7, 2019; Nov 7, 2019 POSTPONED TO JANUARY 13, 2020 BY APPLICANT; Jan 13, 2020 POSTPONED TO MARCH 9, 2020


EXPIIRATION DATE:

FINDING:

1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:

(b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:


Elaine Ramirez
Executive Liaison


Don Leighton-Burwell
Chairman