Late Backup

CM Flannigan Motion Sheet LDC Second Reading Alternative Amendments – February 11, 2020

CENTERS AND CORRIDORS [RM/MU/MS/CC/DC/UC]

[KITCHEN-5]

New Amendment:

To maximize housing and to create walkable, multi-modal, pedestrian friendly environments along corridors receiving significant public dollar investments from bond elections:

- Reduce allowance of uses that do not provide path for inclusion of residential units in the development or are in conflict with the vision for public spaces and multi-modal use, such as drive-through uses and storage facilities.
- Remove mapping of MU5B Change the mapping of MU5B to MU5A, which allows
 restricts storage facilities, on Project Connect and corridors identified for bond
 investments to; and
- Amend Current Draft Code for Storage Facilities:
 - 23-3D-1300 Personal Storage
 - (A) Location Restrictions. A Personal Storage use requires a conditional use permit and is prohibited if it is located within 1000 feet of another property with a Personal Storage use and must comply with Subsection 23-3B-1040(E)(2) (Conditional Use Permit). The distance is measured to the lot line.

[FLANNIGAN-15]

Parking maximums for regional center zones (UC, CC, DC, and existing regulating plans and TODs) should match the parking maximums as defined in Main Street zones for lots with frontage on a corridor or wholly or partially located within a center.

NON-ZONING

[FLANNIGAN-6]

<u>Create a special exception to</u> allow for a proportional impervious cover bonus if an applicant provides oversized drainage infrastructure designed to collect rainwater and reduce stormwater runoff.

PROCESS

[HARPER-MADISON-11]

1. Create a transparent, new process for criteria manuals whereby a committee of the LDC revision team, departmental representatives, and the city auditor reviews for consistency and resolves interdepartmental conflicts prior to final adoption of a <u>criteria</u> manual

update. All criteria manual rules proposed through this new process should be vetted cross-departmentally, opened to independent review, and provided to the City Manager. The City Auditor should establish an independent board of appointed policy and subject matter experts that are not City employees to hold public meetings, hear public comment, deliberate and approve proposed rules, with a limited possibility of appeal to the City Council.

- 2. Develop a standardized list of requirements for criteria manuals that includes:
 - a. A unified semi-annual adoption schedule across all departments
 - b. Determine a centralized location, such as the Development Services Department, whereby notices, posted drafts, and posted final manuals are collect and displayed
 - c. Departments must include an impact statement for proposed rules which includes, but is not limited to:
 - i. Potential added costs to the city and the customer
 - ii. Impact on affordability of all types of development
 - iii. Impact on health and safety
 - iv. Resources required to implement and enforce
 - v. Primary policy that the rule is intended to implement or enforce

[FLANNIGAN-3]

To the extent feasible, the administrative AEC process should allow minor changes to impervious cover if the following improvements are included in the development: artificial grass surfaces, such as synthetic turf, that have a permeable backing that allows water to permeate the material and filter down to the soil; pervious concrete or porous pavement including patios, plaza, sport courts, or other non-walkway pedestrian surfaces; pervious technologies; unpaved portions of driveways including the unpaved portions of ribbon driveways; uncovered decks, including wooden and metal grate decks, that have drainage spaces between the deck boards or drainage spaces in the patterns and is located over a pervious surface; solar panels if located within an area considered pervious; and all areas and items currently excluded from impervious cover calculations as outlined in Section 1.8.1 – Calculations of the City's Environmental Criteria Manual.

[ALTER-4]

To the extent feasible, consider amendments to the LDC zoning procedures that would restrict or prohibit revising zoning applications to change the proposed boundary following a public hearing on a zoning case following the Land Use Commission's public hearing and/or after a specified number of days following submittal of the application. If revisions to proposed boundaries are proposed after the deadline, a new application would be required and would be subject to general restrictions on submitting the same or substantially similar zoning applications.