

Austin Public Health Social Services Solicitation Process

Health and Human Services Committee Meeting
May 26, 2020
10:00 AM



PRESENTED BY ADRIENNE STURRUP




Social Services City Budget Allocation Process

APH FUNDING TRIGGERS


- City Council allocates funding
- Direction from APH leadership
- Specific grant funding

APH COMPETITION TRIGGERS

- Direction from Council, City Management or Department
 - New funding for multiple providers
 - No competition in issue area/ program for many years
 - Priorities /strategies change
- 

Social Services Overview

Prioritization of Funding

- For every large solicitation process, funding was divided into the issue area pots of funding.
 - Funding levels limited the number/size of programs funded by issue area.
 - Each solicitation was developed with a framework for the investments that went beyond the issue areas.
 - However, the City and APH do not have a prioritization process for Social Services funding.
- 
- A solid green horizontal bar spanning the width of the slide, located at the bottom.

Previous Solicitation Processes

2010 Process

Funding Available: \$13,205,639

Framework: Self Sufficiency Goals

- Safety net / infrastructure services
- Transition out of poverty
- Problem prevention
- Universal support services
- Enrichment


2013 Process

Funding Available: \$13,808,258

Framework: Self Sufficiency Goals
and Life Continuum Categories:

- Early Childhood
- Youth
- Adults and Families
- Seniors and People with Disabilities

FY20 Social Services General Fund by Budget Categories



■ Admin

■ Basic Needs^

■ Behavioral Health

■ Child and Youth

■ Health Equity

■ HIV

■ Homeless

■ Workforce

Alignment with SD2023 and Racial Equity

SD23

- APH aligns investments by SD23 issue area
- APH requires all applicants choose at least one outcome out of a list of outcomes that APH has pre-selected as appropriate for the issue area.
- Applicants must explain how the proposed program supports the outcome(s)

Racial Equity

- APH requires that agencies explain how their program will promote racial equity and complete the abbreviated self-assessment [here](#)

CHA/CHIP and CLAS Standards

CHA/ CHIP

- Community Health Assessment (CHA)
- Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP)
 - Describes health broadly
 - Clinical health
 - Health behaviors
 - Social, Economic, and Environmental factors
- Applicants must choose at least one CHA/CHIP strategy
- Applicants must explain how program supports outcomes

CLAS STANDARDS

- Cultural and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS) Standards
 - Applies to health and health care
 - Advances health equity
 - Improves service delivery for diverse populations
- Applicants must choose from the list of 15 CLAS standards
- Applicants must provide procedures that demonstrate they are using the standards

Application of Funds Process: Threshold

- Threshold requirements must be met before application submission can be authorized
- Thresholds depend on solicitation organization type/ size
 - Larger Organizations (>\$750K)
 - Required to submit audit
 - Must demonstrate an unqualified audit
 - Quality of Life Recommended Solicitations
 - Focus on a location/ small sub-population
 - Required to demonstrate experience working within directed community/ sub-population

Current Evaluation Process

Two part evaluation process:

Threshold

- Reviewed by Contract Compliance staff
- Follow-up to applicants if information/documents missing
- Only those passing evaluation process are able to submit an application

Application

- Objective evaluation criteria determined with the application questions
- Panel of 3-5 evaluator review every application
- Applications independently scored based strengths and weaknesses
- Evaluation consensus meeting held to determine scores and recommendation

APH leadership decides final award amounts based on evaluator recommendations



Issue Area Solicitation Plan

Issue Area	Solicitation Released	Contracts Start	Amount to be Solicited	Set Aside Amount
Youth	January 2021	October 2021	\$5,146,156	\$571,795
Behavioral Health	September 2021	October 2022	\$2,154,111	\$239,346
Homeless	September 2021	October 2022	\$9,659,617	\$1,073,291
Basic Needs	January 2023	October 2022	\$6,113,975	\$679,330
Early Childhood	January 2023	October 2023	\$2,275,791	\$252,866
Workforce Development	January 2023	October 2023	\$2,876,631	\$319,626
Health Equity	January 2024	October 2024	\$2,302,212	\$255,801

Community Engagement

Best practices include for future consideration

- Request for Applications including a community engagement process
- APH collaboration with the recommending Commission during evaluation

Community engagement process/outreach for:

- High dollar solicitations
 - Large number of contracts awarded
- 

Social Service Evaluation Tool and Award Rubric Task Group

Evaluation tools require flexibility to address the different programs being proposed.

Specific outcomes and program design are not required for APH Request for Application Scopes of Work, making it hard to develop a purely quantitative evaluation tool.

A Task Group will develop an evaluation tool and award rubric by August 2020.

Goals:

- Define minimum acceptable levels with detailed ratings
- Contain precise and easily understood language
- Evaluate substance, not form
- Consider racial equity/ accommodate smaller organizations
- Be consistent with the minimum requirements of the Statement of Work
- Be flexible enough to be adapted to different Issue Areas and Social Service programs

Questions?

