CITY OF AUSTIN **Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet**

DATE: Monday June 8, 2020		CASE NUMBER: C16-2020-0001
Y_	Brooke Bailey	
Y_	Jessica Cohen	
Y_	Ada Corral	
	Melissa Hawthorne (abstained) OUT	
Y_	William Hodge	
Y_	Don Leighton-Burwell	
Y_	Rahm McDaniel	
Y_	Darryl Pruett	
Y_	Veronica Rivera	
Y_	Yasmine Smith	
Y_	Michael Von Ohlen	

APPLICANT: Jason Thumlert

Y Kelly Blume (Alternate)

___-__Martha Gonzalez (Alternate)

OWNER: Capital Metro Transportation Authority (Julie Barr)

ADDRESS: 901, 1011, 1109, 1211 E. 5th Street and 1300 & 1304 E. 4th Street

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a sign variance(s) from Section 25-10-133 (University Neighborhood Overlay Zoning District Signs) (G) to allow for up to eighteen (18) illuminated wall signs in order to provide signage for a Mixed Use buildings in a "TOD-CURE-NP", Transit Oriented District/Plaza Saltillo – Central Urban Redevelopment – Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (East Cesar Chavez)

Note: The Land Development Code sign regulations 25-10-133 University Neighborhood Overlay Zoning Districts Signs (G) states a sign may not be illuminated or contain electronic images or moving parts.

BOARD'S DECISION: BOA meeting May 11, 2020

Board member Jessica Cohen motions to Grant neighborhood associations postponement request to June 8, 2020, Board member Brooke Bailey seconds on a vote 11-0 (Board member Melissa Hawthorne abstained); POSTPONED TO JUNE 8, 2020, June 8, 2020 The public hearing was closed by Chair Don Leighton-Burwell, Board Member Michael Von Ohlen motions to Grant, Board Member William Hodge seconds on an 11-0 vote; GRANTED.

EXPIRATION DATE: June 8, 2021

FINDING:

1. The variance is necessary because strict enforcement of the Article prohibits and reasonable opportunity to provide adequate signs on the site, considering the unique features of a site such as its dimensions, landscape, or topography, because: this variance is necessary because (i) the ordinance was not written to address this part of town, (ii) to allow signage of appropriate size to be seen by vehicles passing on 5th, Waller and San Marcos

OR,

2. The granting of this variance will not have a substantially adverse impact upon neighboring properties, because: n/a

OR.

3. The granting of this variance will not substantially conflict with the stated purposes of this sign ordinance, because: n/a

AND,

Executive Liaison

4. Granting a variance would not provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated, because: the request variance will provide only the option to illuminate signs within the Saltillo development

Diana Ramirez

Don Leighton-Burwell

Chairman