

NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AMENDMENT REVIEW SHEET

NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN: Crestview/Wooten

CASE#: NPA-2020-0017.01

DATE FILED: February 5, 2020 (In-cycle)

PROJECT NAME: 7113 Burnet

PC DATE: July 14, 2020
June 23, 2020

ADDRESS: 7113 Burnet Road

DISTRICT AREA: 7

SITE AREA: 4.388 acres

OWNER/APPLICANT: Ronan Corporation

AGENT: Armbrust & Brown, PLLC (Michael J. Gaudini)

CASE MANAGER: Maureen Meredith

PHONE: (512) 921-6223

STAFF EMAIL: Maureen.meredith@austintexas.gov

TYPE OF AMENDMENT:

Change in Future Land Use Designation

From: Commercial

To: Multifamily

Base District Zoning Change

Related Zoning Case: C14-2020-0016

Related RCA: C14-72-032(RCT)

From: CS-1-CO-NP, CS-CO-NP and LO-CO-NP

To: MF-6-NP

NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN ADOPTION DATE: April 1, 2004

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

July 14, 2020 – After discussion, recommended approval for Multifamily land use. [G. Anderson – 1st; A. Azhar – 2nd] Vote: 8-4 [C. Llanes Pulido, P. Seeger, T. Shaw and R. Schneider voted nay. J. Shieh abstained].

June 23, 2020 – Postponed to July 14, 2020 at the request of the neighborhood. [J. Thompson – 1st; R. Schneider – 2nd] Vote: 12-0-1 [P. Seeger absent].

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Recommended.

BASIS FOR STAFF’S RECOMMENDATION: Staff supports the applicant’s request for Multifamily land use because the property is located along Burnet Road which is a commercial corridor with a mix of uses. Burnet Road is identified as an Activity Corridor in the Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan where a mixed of commercial, office, and residential uses are appropriate.

Below are sections of the Crestview/Wooten Neighborhood Plan that supports the applicant’s request.

Land Use Objectives and Recommendations

Land Use Objective 1: *Preserve the character and affordability of the Crestview and Wooten Neighborhoods.*

Recommendations

1. Existing single-family residential areas should retain SF-3 zoning.
2. Allow small-lot amnesty in the Crestview and Wooten neighborhood planning areas.
3. Rezone smaller multifamily and commercially zoned lots currently used as single family residential to SF-3.
4. Rezone uses, currently zoned commercial, to multifamily or mixed use.
5. If new duplexes and garage apartments are developed in Crestview, encourage them to blend in better with the existing single-family houses.
6. Land use and zoning should comply with existing deed restrictions.

Land Use Objective 2: *Encourage the development of neighborhood-serving commercial and mixed use on Anderson Lane and Burnet Road.*

LAND USE DESCRIPTIONS

EXISTING LAND USE ON THE PROPERTY

Commercial -Lots or parcels containing retail sales, services, hotel/motels and all recreational services that are predominantly privately owned and operated for profit (for example, theaters and bowling alleys). Included are private institutional uses (convalescent homes and rest homes in which medical or surgical services are not a main function of the institution), but not hospitals.

Purpose

1. Encourage employment centers, commercial activities, and other non- residential development to locate along major thoroughfares; and
2. Reserve limited areas for intense, auto-oriented commercial uses that are generally not compatible with residential or mixed use environments.

Application

1. Focus the highest intensity commercial and industrial activities along freeways and major highways; and
2. Should be used in areas with good transportation access such as frontage roads and arterial roadways, which are generally not suitable for residential development.

PROPOSED LAND USE ON THE PROPERTY

Multifamily Residential - Higher-density housing with 3 or more units on one lot.

Purpose

1. Preserve existing multifamily and affordable housing;
2. Maintain and create affordable, safe, and well-managed rental housing; and
3. Make it possible for existing residents, both homeowners and renters, to continue to live in their neighborhoods.
4. Applied to existing or proposed mobile home parks.


Application


1. Existing apartments should be designated as multifamily unless designated as mixed use;
2. Existing multifamily-zoned land should not be recommended for a less intense land use category, unless based on sound planning principles; and
3. Changing other land uses to multifamily should be encouraged on a case-by-case basis.


IMAGINE AUSTIN PLANNING PRINCIPLES

1. Create complete neighborhoods across Austin that provide a mix of housing types to suit a variety of household needs and incomes, offer a variety of transportation options, and have easy access to daily needs such as schools, retail, employment, community services, and parks and other recreation options.
 - ***The property is located along Burnet Road which is identified as an Activity Corridor in the Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan. The proposed development of 360 apartment units will add to mix of housing types in Austin. Burnet Road has access to public transportation and numerous businesses.***
2. Support the development of compact and connected activity centers and corridors that are well-served by public transit and designed to promote walking and bicycling as a way of reducing household expenditures for housing and transportation.
 - ***The property is located along Burnet Road which is identified as an Activity Corridor in the Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan. The proposed development of 360 apartment units will add to mix of housing types in Austin. Burnet Road has access to public transportation and numerous businesses.***
3. Protect neighborhood character by ensuring context-sensitive development and directing more intensive development to activity centers and corridors, redevelopment, and infill sites.
 - ***The property is located on an Activity Corridor as identified in the Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan.***
4. Expand the number and variety of housing choices throughout Austin to meet the financial and lifestyle needs of our diverse population.
 - ***The applicants proposed use of 360 multifamily dwelling units will expand the number of housing choices in Austin.***
5. Ensure harmonious transitions between adjacent land uses and development intensities.
 - ***Multifamily land use is appropriate in this location along an Activity Corridor with a mixed of uses.***
6. Protect Austin's natural resources and environmental systems by limiting land use and transportation development over environmentally sensitive areas and preserve open space and protect the function of the resource.
 - ***The property is not located in an environmentally sensitive area of the city.***
7. Integrate and expand green infrastructure—preserves and parks, community gardens, trails, stream corridors, green streets, greenways, and the trails system—into the urban environment and transportation network.
 - ***Not applicable.***
8. Protect, preserve and promote historically and culturally significant areas.

- *To staff's knowledge there is no historic or cultural significance to this property or buildings.*
9. Encourage active and healthy lifestyles by promoting walking and biking, healthy food choices, access to affordable healthcare, and to recreational opportunities.
 - *Not directly applicable.*
 10. Expand the economic base, create job opportunities, and promote education to support a strong and adaptable workforce.
 - *Not directly applicable.*
 11. Sustain and grow Austin's live music, festivals, theater, film, digital media, and new creative art forms.
 - *Not applicable.*
 12. Provide public facilities and services that reduce greenhouse gas emissions, decrease water and energy usage, increase waste diversion, ensure the health and safety of the public, and support compact, connected, and complete communities.
 - *Not applicable.*







IMAGINE AUSTIN GROWTH CONCEPT MAP

Definitions

Neighborhood Centers - The smallest and least intense of the three mixed-use centers are neighborhood centers. As with the regional and town centers, neighborhood centers are walkable, bikable, and supported by transit. The greatest density of people and activities in neighborhood centers will likely be concentrated on several blocks or around one or two intersections. However, depending on localized conditions, different neighborhood centers can be very different places. If a neighborhood center is designated on an existing commercial area, such as a shopping center or mall, it could represent redevelopment or the addition of housing. A new neighborhood center may be focused on a dense, mixed-use core surrounded by a mix of housing. In other instances, new or redevelopment may occur incrementally and concentrate people and activities along several blocks or around one or two intersections. Neighborhood centers will be more locally focused than either a regional or a town center. Businesses and services—grocery and department stores, doctors and dentists, shops, branch libraries, dry cleaners, hair salons, schools, restaurants, and other small and local businesses—will generally serve the center and surrounding neighborhoods.

Town Centers - Although less intense than regional centers, town centers are also where many people will live and work. Town centers will have large and small employers, although

fewer than in regional centers. These employers will have regional customer and employee bases, and provide goods and services for the center as well as the surrounding areas. The buildings found in a town center will range in size from one-to three-story houses, duplexes, townhouses, and rowhouses, to low-to midrise apartments, mixed use buildings, and office buildings. These centers will also be important hubs in the transit system.

Job Centers - Job centers accommodate those businesses not well-suited for residential or environmentally- sensitive areas. These centers take advantage of existing transportation infrastructure such as arterial roadways, freeways, or the Austin-Bergstrom International airport. Job centers will mostly contain office parks, manufacturing, warehouses, logistics, and other businesses with similar demands and operating characteristics. They should nevertheless become more pedestrian and bicycle friendly, in part by better accommodating services for the people who work in those centers. While many of these centers are currently best served by car, the growth Concept map offers transportation choices such as light rail and bus rapid transit to increase commuter options.

Corridors - Activity corridors have a dual nature. They are the connections that link activity centers and other key destinations to one another and allow people to travel throughout the city and region by bicycle, transit, or automobile. Corridors are also characterized by a variety of activities and types of buildings located along the roadway — shopping, restaurants and cafés, parks, schools, single-family houses, apartments, public buildings, houses of worship, mixed-use buildings, and offices. Along many corridors, there will be both large and small redevelopment sites. These redevelopment opportunities may be continuous along stretches of the corridor. There may also be a series of small neighborhood centers, connected by the roadway. Other corridors may have fewer redevelopment opportunities, but already have a mixture of uses, and could provide critical transportation connections. As a corridor evolves, sites that do not redevelop may transition from one use to another, such as a service station becoming a restaurant or a large retail space being divided into several storefronts. To improve mobility along an activity corridor, new and redevelopment should reduce per capita car use and increase walking, bicycling, and transit use. Intensity of land use should correspond to the availability of quality transit, public space, and walkable destinations. Site design should use building arrangement and open space to reduce walking distance to transit and destinations, achieve safety and comfort, and draw people outdoors.

BACKGROUND: The application was filed on February 3, 2020, which is in-cycle for neighborhood planning areas located on the west side of I.H.-35.

The applicant proposes to change the land use on the future land use map (FLUM) from Commercial to Multifamily.

The applicant has an associated zoning case where they propose to rezone the property from CS-1-CO-NP (Commercial Liquor Sales district – Conditional Overlay- Neighborhood Plan), CS-CO-NP (General Commercial Service district – Conditional Overlay – Neighborhood Plan) and LO-CO-NP (Limited Office district – Conditional Overlay – Neighborhood Plan to

MF-6-NP (Multifamily Highest Density district – Neighborhood Plan) to building approximately 360 apartment units. For more information on the proposed zoning, please see zoning case report C14-2020-0016.

PUBLIC MEETINGS: The ordinance-required community meeting was held on March 3, 2020. Approximately 519 meeting notices were mailed to people who rent or own property within 500 feet of the subject tract, in addition to neighborhood organizations and environmental groups who requested notification for the area. Twenty-three people attended the meeting, including agents representing the applicant and one city staff member.

After city staff gave a brief presentation, the applicant's agent, Michael Gaudini, the applicant's agent, said they had meeting with the Crestview Neighborhood Plan Contact Team and Crestview Neighborhood Association prior to this city-sponsored meeting. He said the property is where the Dallas Night Club was located, along with other small businesses. The proposed zoning is MF-6-NP to build a 360-dwelling unit multifamily development. They are asking for MF-6 because they need the site development standards.

He said the proposed zoning under the new code is MU5A-Q and MU3A-Q. He said they could do the proposed development under the proposed new zoning, but they decided to move forward at this time because they were unsure of the implementation timeline of the new code. Michael also went over the hand-out he distributed to the attendees, which is provided below.

After Michael Gaudini's presentation, the following questions were asked:

Q. It seems late to be bringing in people who would be directly affected.

A. This has not already been decided. This is only the beginning of the City process. We reached out to the Crestview NPCT and the Crestview NA. It was my understanding they also tried to get people in the immediate vicinity, but I don't know how they did the outreach.

Q. MF-5 zoning district limits the development to 54 dwelling units per acre, the MF-6 does not.

A. You are correct.

Q. The owners are proposing a multifamily development, but they could sell the property?

A. Yes.

Q. So the proposed development is all multifamily with no commercial?

A. Yes, this is correct. The property is long and not suitable for commercial uses because it's not accessible. It's currently 40% vacant. There's not much frontage along Burnet Road to have commercial fronting on Burnet.

Q. We have flooding in the neighborhood. Will you be hooked up to City water?

A. We will meet the City's water quality regulations required by the Code. Yes, we will be hooked up to City water.

Q. The current zoning of CS allows a maximum height of 60 ft. The proposed zoning of MF-6 allows up to 90 feet.

A. We don't want the 90 feet that would be allowed under the MF-6. We maybe need 60-75 feet with five to six floors.

Q. Could you go 90 feet on the entirety of the property?

A. We could go 90 feet on the front part of the property along Burnet Road, but the back part could go 65 feet.

Q. What about the parking?

A. We will meet the parking requirements required by the Land Development Code. For the old code, there are parking minimum requirements. For the new code, there are parking maximum requirements.

Q. So if you have 360 dwelling units and there are two cars per unit, which would be 720 cars. Our neighborhood already have traffic problems.

A. The City looks at a transportation manual that determines how many parking spaces would be required. The average would be somewhere between 1.5 – 1.7 parking spaces per unit. There would be few parking spaces under the new code than would be required under the old code. However, the applicant is incentivized to have more parking than less parking. Parking is important for our projects to work. For the Downtown area, there are no parking requirements, but new buildings always provide parking anyway.

As far as the traffic impact, the City review could require traffic mitigation to improve an intersection, for example, but the mitigation must be specific to our project.

Q. If this gets approved, this would open the flood gates for more rezoning.

A. There are zoning cases on every agenda.

Q. I live on the street behind your development. I'm afraid of a tall building next to my home. I want a lower building near the neighborhood.

A. As a concession to the neighborhood, we will step-back from the property.

Q. There appears to be two lots on the property. Why not only develop the front lot?

A. We will work with the neighborhood with a Restrictive Covenant to address any negative conditions with the neighborhood.

Q. I think multifamily is appropriate on Burnet Road, but the big issue to the 90 feet height. It's excessive.

A. We want the MF-6 zoning for the site development standards, not for the 90 feet height. We can continue to talk with you to figure out the concession points on the height of the buildings.

Q. Would you provide a two-story RC near the single family area?

A. Yes, but we'd have to go to three-story.

Q. You will have apartments with balconies looking into the single family back yards.

A. There are different ways to mitigate this by providing landscaping with tall trees and the city requires a landscape buffer.

Q. What would be the maximum height of the fence?

A. We would do an 8-foot fence, if the Code allows.

Q. Will the units be rental or purchase?

A. They will be rental units, but no short-term rentals.

Q. MF-6 is mostly in the downtown area. Where are there MF-6?

A. There is some MF-6 along Koenig Road. 90 feet buildings are hard to build with wood. You would need to build with steel and concrete to build 90 feet.

Q. There would be an affordability requirement under the new code.

A. Yes, that's correct. We are proposing 10% affordability at 60% MFI.

Q. How many properties have you developed in Austin?

A. About eight. I can send you our website.

Q. What would be the rental prices for the affordable units and the market-rate units?

A. The affordable units would be, I think, for a family of four with a MFI of \$46,000, the rents cannot exceed 30% of their income. For the market rate, there would be 324 units. The small one-bedroom to two-bedroom would be about \$1,100 - \$2,400 a month.

Q. How will you plan the ingress/egress of the traffic?

A. Austin Transportation and Austin Fire Department says where we can have curb cuts. We have two, but I don't know if they will allow us to keep the two we have. There will be no access through the neighborhood. There is a medium on Burnet Road. We are at the mercy of the City. There will probably be a right-in/right-out with people taking a U-turn at the light.

Q. Right now, it looks like there is 100% impervious cover. Where will you put the water detention pond?

A. If we develop under the old code, we will meet the water quality/detention requirements. The new code is more complex. We don't really know what it will require.

Q. How will you do a possible fence with a one-car-in/one-car-out?

A. There would be an open area on Burnet Road for residential access. We are interested in a gate entrance off Burnet Road with access with a key fob.

Q. How can we enforce the Restrictive Covenant with a future owner?

A. The RC would be private and the city would not enforce it. If the City still allows the use of Conditional Overlays, we could use this, but CO's are not in the new code.

CITY COUNCIL DATE:

July 30, 2020

ACTION: Postponed to August 27, 2020

Applicant Summary Letter from Application

ARMBRUST & BROWN, PLLC

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

100 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 1300
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701-2744
512-435-2300
FACSIMILE 512-435-2360

January 30, 2019

Jerry Rusthoven, Acting Lead
City of Austin
Planning and Zoning Department
505 Barton Springs Rd., 5th Floor
Austin, Texas 78704

Re: Land use applications for 7113 Burnet Rd., Austin, TX 78757

Dear Mr. Rusthoven:

I am seeking to submit several land use applications for 7113 Burnet Rd., Austin, TX 78757 (the "Property") in order to develop a multi-family project with the opportunity for affordable housing units. These applications include: rezoning the Property from CS-1-CO-NP, CS-CO-NP, and LO-CO-NP to MF-6-NP, amending the Crestview/Wooten Combined Neighborhood Plan's Future Land Use Map ("FLUM") to reflect Multi-Family for the Property, and terminating a restrictive covenant.

We believe that the Property – located on Burnet Road, with access to transit, retail, and parks and other amenities – is an appropriate location for a multi-family project. However, it is currently zoned for CS-1-CO-NP, CS-CO-NP, and LO-CO-NP, which prohibit residential uses. Similarly, the FLUM currently designates this Property for Commercial uses. A restrictive covenant also currently provides a more restrictive setback from the northern property line than would otherwise be required under zoning entitlements.

I am requesting a rezoning to MF-6-NP, a FLUM change to Multi-Family, and a termination of the restrictive covenant in order to provide a multi-family project on this important corridor. Additionally, with MF-6, we are confident we can voluntarily achieve 10 percent of units at 60 percent of Median Family Income (MFI).

I appreciate your consideration of these applications and look forward to answering any questions and providing further details.

Respectfully,



Michael J. Gaudini

{W0952330.1}

Letter of Recommendation from the Crestview
Neighborhood Plan Contact Team (NPCT)

From: Chip Harris
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 6:09 PM
To: Meredith, Maureen <Maureen.Meredith@austintexas.gov>
Cc: Graham, Mark <Mark.Graham@austintexas.gov>
Subject: Re: 7113 Burnet Rd NPCT Rec?

*** External Email - Exercise Caution ***

Maureen and Mark,

Thank you for checking but no, the CNPCT does not have a recommendation.

Chip

From: Meredith, Maureen <Maureen.Meredith@austintexas.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 5:56 PM
To: Chip Harris
Cc: Graham, Mark <Mark.Graham@austintexas.gov>
Subject: 7113 Burnet Rd NPCT Rec?

Hi, Chip:

As far as I can tell, I have not received a recommendation from the Crestview NPCT.
Is there a recommendation that you have available to send me and Mark?

Maureen

Maureen Meredith, Senior Planner
City of Austin, Planning and Zoning Dept.
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1088 (78767)
Physical Address: 505 Barton Springs Rd, 5th Floor
Austin, Texas 78704
Phone: (512) 974-2695
Maureen.meredith@austintexas.gov

Recommendation from the Crestview Neigh. Assn.



Dear Planning Commission,

I'm writing on behalf of the Crestview Neighborhood Association Executive Committee regarding item B6-7-8 on the agenda for the July 14th meeting..

Before Covid-19 shut everything down, we (CNA and some neighbors) had a couple of meetings with the developer and his agent (Michael Gaudini) to discuss their exploring options for that property. The first meeting was in January but was requested too late to be included on our published agenda. We allowed them to come anyway and speak though because there wasn't any previous notice to the community there wasn't much turnout.

At that time the biggest request of us seemed to be whether we would support a change from Commercial to Multi-Family. This was exciting to the neighborhood as a whole and brought us to the table hoping to work out a deal to both create a large amount of housing where there was none previously, along a corridor and near transportation.

At that time (and in subsequent meetings), most of the usual concerns with the current occupants such as ill placed dumpsters with poorly timed disposal pick-ups, inadequate fencing and the lack of compatibility standards under the current zoning were quickly appeased.

We encouraged the developer and the neighbors immediately behind the property to talk about potential concerns regarding height over their backyards and reduction in privacy. They did discuss this, and the developer produced a "compromise package" in June, pushing the start of 40 feet a little further than they could do it by right under current compatibility standards. We appreciated the willingness to talk, and it kept us at the table.

As you will see in your back-up material on page 10, at the March 3 public meeting we were told the height of the front of the building would be "60-75 feet" at that time. Here is what was asked:

Q. The current zoning of CS allows a maximum height of 60 ft. The proposed zoning of MF-6 allows up to 90 feet.

A. We don't want the 90 feet that would be allowed under the MF-6. We maybe need 60-75 feet with five to six floors.

Mid March through April was, admittedly, a down time for our communication as a neighborhood association. We didn't have a lot of pressing items on our plate and were unclear as to what was going to be prioritized by the city. Clearly, this became a priority in May.



After Covid-19 made it difficult to meet, we continued conversations, congenially, by phone. Michael and I spoke very often, and I conveyed our conversations to our executive committee, which now meets by Zoom as often as is necessary.

I've expressed our concerns over several factors, namely the height of the structure since it seemed it was now going to be well over 80 feet despite previous assertions. I've offered 75 feet as a compromise position several times. That seemed like enough entitlement to get well over 300 units on the property and still provide the paltry 10% affordable housing they set as a goal. They did not budge meaningfully.

We've also yet to see any recent drawings of what they would like to do with the MF-6 entitlement, any copies of drafts of restrictive covenants to enforce the compatibility compromise, any sense of what the size of affordable units would be or the overall mix of unit bedroom counts (we'd prefer as many 2-3 bedroom units as possible).

While I understand that the site planning process will dictate much of the final product, we find it unlikely they don't have a sense of what they are going to ask for.

We genuinely went into this process with the hopes of being able to support a large-scale multi-family project in our neighborhood. We are disappointed that our offer to work together to support a shorter tower was unheeded.


We enjoy working with Michael Gaudini and don't blame him personally for this. But at this time the most height we could support at this location would be 75 feet along the front of the property (Burnet Road). We've tried several times to get there, but we just haven't yet.

Thank you for attention and consideration of our plea.

Sincerely,

Mike Lavigne
President, Crestview Neighborhood Association


Site



**Crestview/Wooten Neighborhood Planning Area
Future Land Use Map**

Adopted April 1, 2004
Updated May 12, 2011





Crestview/Wooten Combined Neighborhood Planning Area NPA-2020-0017.01

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.


This product has been produced by the Planning and Zoning Department for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.






City of Austin
Planning and Zoning Department
Created on 2/10/2020, by: meredithm

Future Land Use

	500 ft. notif. boundary		Mixed Use
	Subject Property		Office
	Single-Family		Mixed Use/Office
	Multi-Family		Civic
	Commercial		Recreation & Open Space



-  SUBJECT TRACT
-  PENDING CASE
-  ZONING BOUNDARY

ZONING
ZONING CASE#: C14-2020-0016


1" = 400'


This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.



This product has been produced by CTM for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.




Created: 2/6/2020











Correspondence Received

April 16, 2020

To whom it may concern:

Our names are Andrew and Alexa Cunningham, and we live at 2019 Hardy Circle. Our property faces the back half of the lot at 7113 Burnet Road, about 100 yards away. We have multiple concerns about the code change proposed for the lot at 7113 Burnet Road.

We'd also like to recommend that the back half of the lot at 7113 Burnet Road, which currently has a different zoning designation than the front half of the lot, maintain its current setback and height requirements associated with the LO-CO-NP zoning to ensure that our following concerns are alleviated. We're comfortable with higher development on the front portion of the lot that is currently zoned as CS-CO-NP and CS-1-CO-NP.

The first concern involves the existing zoning that was implemented to ensure that the back half of the lot remains zoned for "an office that serves the neighborhood or community needs and that is located in or adjacent to residential neighborhoods", and that it is "designed to ensure that the use is compatible and complementary in scale and appearance with the residential environment," to quote the existing LO zoning designation for the lot.

Our second concern involves how changing the zoning of the lot at 7113 Burnet Rd changes the intent of the Crestview-Wooten Neighborhood plan. The zoning changes do not adhere to the neighborhood plan, and the neighborhood plan was created by us through authority given by the City of Austin to reflect the sentiments of the people that live in the neighborhood.

The third concern involves potential safety concerns for the families and children of our street. We feel that a large, multi-story building overlooking a cul-de-sac compromises the safety of the children playing on the street.

The last concern involves noise. Currently we have 12 homes on our cul-de-sac. By changing the zoning of the lot at 7113 Burnet Road, there is a high probability that noise pollution will greatly increase.

Our concerns are as follows:

- **Height**—The height of the building is our biggest concern. The current zoning of the back half of the lot at 7113 Burnet Road (which touches residential properties on Hardy Circle and Hardy Drive) is LO-CO-NP, which has a maximum height of 40 feet. The LO designation ensures that a property is used for "an office that serves the neighborhood or community needs and that is located in or adjacent to residential neighborhoods". Furthermore: "Site development regulations and performance standards applicable to an LO district use are designed to ensure that the use is compatible and complementary in scale and appearance with the residential environment."

Changing this lot to a zoning designation of MF-6 is a dramatic shift in how this land is used, and one that does not "ensure that the use is compatible and complementary in scale and appearance with the residential environment." Under the MF-6 designation, buildings built on the back half of the lot at 7113 Burnet Road could be over twice as tall, and even taller with city allowances, destroying the compatibility of that building with the adjacent Crestview neighborhood.

Furthermore, the shift from a modest zoning of 40 feet, with a spirit of integrating any construction with the look and feel of the neighborhood, to 90 feet or more greatly reduces property values for any nearby property on Hardy Drive or Hardy Circle. We bought our house three years ago with our life savings. We saw it as a long term investment for our family. With the possibility of a large-scale 6 to 7 story development going up on the back half of the lot at 7113 Burnet Rd, we fear that we may lose money on our home.

- **The Crestview-Wooten Neighborhood Plan**—The vision of the Crestview-Wooten Neighborhood plan is as follows:

Preserve the character of the neighborhood by encouraging owner-occupied single-family housing offering diversity, pride of ownership, and a sense of community. Promote small neighborhood-oriented businesses and services where appropriate. Maintain and encourage accessible, quiet, clean, safe, and pedestrian and bike friendly neighborhoods, with tree-lined streets and a park-like feel.

Furthermore, the third goal of the plan is as follows:

Any new development or redevelopment should respect and complement the single-family character of the neighborhood.

- **Safety**—Hardy Circle has numerous families and small children—in fact, we currently only have 12 homes on our street, and over half of the homes house families and small children. It's a family cul-de-sac with children often playing in the open with the other children on the street. I strongly feel that having up to 6 or 7 stories of apartments looming over the street will greatly reduce the children's feeling of safety. It also brings many concerns to the parents on the street.
- **Noise**—Noise pollution is one of the many factors that contribute to the disruption of the "the character of the neighborhood," as stated in our neighborhood plan and in the city development code. With the potential zoning allowing for 6 to 7 stories at the back half of the lot on 7113 Burnet Road, there is a significant opportunity for what is a seemingly small amount of noise per unit to become a rather large noise pollution issue when amplified by the many units that would overlook our street. We currently only have 12 homes on our street, and if we multiply the number of residences by 4 or 5 fold if this zoning change is made, we are dealing with a significant change in noise pollution that

gets us further away from “the character of the neighborhood” that our neighborhood plan and the city development code call for developers to respect.

We kindly request that you take our concerns into consideration while processing this rezoning request, as rezoning would impact the daily lives of all residents adjacent to this lot. We appreciate the applicant's willingness to hear our concerns, but rezoning would be a permanent change that would set a precedent for what can be built on that lot, regardless of who eventually owns this property.

Thanks very much for your time and consideration,

Andrew and Alexa Cunningham
2019 Hardy Circle