

CITY OF AUSTIN
Board of Adjustment
Decision Sheet
C-2

DATE: January 11, 2021

CASE NUMBER: C16-2021-0003

_____ Brooke Bailey OUT
_____ Jessica Cohen
_____ Ada Corral
_____ Melissa Hawthorne
_____ - _____ VACANT
_____ Don Leighton-Burwell
_____ Rahm McDaniel
_____ Darryl Pruett
_____ Veronica Rivera OUT
_____ Yasmine Smith
_____ Michael Von Ohlen
_____ Kelly Blume (Alternate)
_____ - _____ Vacant (Alternate)
_____ Donny Hamilton (Alternate) NOT AVAIL

APPLICANT: Michael Gaudini

OWNER: Timothy Finley

ADDRESS: 2552 GUADALUPE ST

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a sign variance(s) from the Land Development Code, Section 25-10-133 (*University Neighborhood Overlay Zoning District Signs*), requesting a total of 12 signs on the property:

- a) (F) to allow three (3) wall signs above the second floor, one on each the northern, southern and eastern sides.
- b) (G) (1) one projecting sign for each building façade (allowed) to two (2) projecting signs (requested) on the eastern side
- c) (H) to allow for all twelve (12) signs, nine (9) on the northern, one (1) on the southern, one (1) on the eastern and one (1) on the western sides, to all be illuminated in order to provide signage for the Moxy Hotel in a “CS-CO-NP”, General Commercial Services- Conditional Overlay Combining District– Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (West University Neighborhood Plan)

Note: *The Land Development Code sign regulations 25-10-133 University Neighborhood Overlay Zoning Districts Signs (F) No signs may be placed above the second floor of a building, except for a non-electric sign that is engraved, cut into the building surface, or otherwise inlaid to become part of the building.(G) A wall sign is permitted if the sign complies with this subsection. (1) One projecting*

sign for each building façade is permitted (H) A sign may not be illuminated or contain electronic images or moving parts.

BOARD'S DECISION: Jan 11, 2021 POSTPONED TO FEBRUARY 8, 2021 (Board member Melissa Hawthorne abstaining)

FINDING:

1. The variance is necessary because strict enforcement of the Article prohibits and reasonable opportunity to provide adequate signs on the site, considering the unique features of a site such as its dimensions, landscape, or topography, because:

OR,

2. The granting of this variance will not have a substantially adverse impact upon neighboring properties, because:

OR,

3. The granting of this variance will not substantially conflict with the stated purposes of this sign ordinance, because:

AND,

4. Granting a variance would not provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated, because:


Elaine Ramirez
Executive Liaison

Diana Ramirez for
Don Leighton-Burwell
Chairman