


and the one we are discussing here as an alternative


A VTD and a Precinct are essentially equivalent terms I refer to the existing redistricting plan as the current plan




The redistricting starts with a large movement of population from District 6 (the most overpopulated district) into 10 and 7.


Precincts 343, 234 and parts of precincts 232, moved from current district 6 to alternative district 10.


Then precinct 246 and 267 are moved from Current 10 to alternative 7.







Move from precinct 325 and part of 206 from current district 1 to alternative district 9. Precinct 206 was split and in the alternative plan is made whole.






VTD 340 moved from 5 to 9










2.4%

3.2% 8.3% 2.5%

mapbox

One whole VTD (422) and part of split precinct (433) were removed from 6 and moved to 3. This had the effect of making three split VTDs (424, 433 and 420) whole




**Precinct Details** Part of 000433 from 13 blocks [9]

Total Population 2020 974 100.0% 629 217 22.3% 35 3.6% 8.4% 25 2.6% 0.7% Voting Age Pop 2020 Pop 100.0% 67.9% 189 21.8% 5.9% 51

0.3%

D+37.3

Part of 000424 from 36 blocks [9] Total Population 2020 Pop 2,638 1,332 50.5% 858 32.5% Hispanic Black 302 11.4% Asian 115 4.4% Native 69 2.6% Pacific 0.3% Voting Age Pop 2020 Pop Total 2,038 100.0% White 1,160 56.9% 571 28.0% Black 196 9.6% 4.1% Native 2.5% Pacific 0.4% President 2016/2020



25 blocks [9]

Pop

1,391

362

Pop

1,243

790

312

100.0%

26.0%

4.5%

6.3%

3.5%

0.5%

100.0%

63.6%


4.0%


5.7%

2.9%


0.5%


One whole VTD (422) and three partial were removed from 6 and moved to 3. This had the effect of making three split VTDs (424, 433 and 420) whole






Compare current district 9 with alternative district 9







Move from 1 into 4


alysis 14



Compare current district I and alternative district 1









## Copy of Austin potential remedy geaustin1



											Мар	Statistics	Analyze  → C	compare Ac	dvanced
	Population		Shapes		Partisan Lean			Demographics (VAP)							
ID	Total	+/-	₩		Dem	Rep	Oth	Total	White	Minority	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Native	Pacific
Un	0				0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
1	94,010	-2.26%	⊗		85.52%	14.48%	0.00%	73,878	33.20%	66.80%	35.29%	22.00%	8.92%	2.64%	0.21%
2	98,165	2.06%	<b>Ø</b>		81.66%	18.34%	0.00%	74,289	27.73%	72.27%	59.18%	9.08%	3.44%	3.68%	0.18%
3	96,154	-0.03%	<b>Ø</b>	<b>Ø</b>	87.75%	12.25%	0.00%	81,312	43.93%	56.07%	39.53%	9.48%	5.93%	3.15%	0.26%
4	94,888	-1.35%	<b>Ø</b>	<b>Ø</b>	84.56%	15.44%	0.00%	72,200	30.05%	69.95%	54.02%	10.55%	4.74%	3.93%	0.21%
5	97,457	1.32%	<b>Ø</b>	<b>Ø</b>	77.75%	22.25%	0.00%	81,368	57.61%	42.39%	28.64%	6.20%	5.71%	3.12%	0.26%
6	95,922	-0.27%	<b>Ø</b>	<b>Ø</b>	65.40%	34.60%	0.00%	73,907	51.37%	48.63%	15.80%	6.85%	24.37%	2.20%	0.25%
7	92,735	-3.59%	<b>Ø</b>	<b>Ø</b>	78.60%	21.40%	0.00%	77,669	55.66%	44.34%	21.69%	8.85%	12.35%	2.47%	0.27%
8	95,544	-0.67%	<b>Ø</b>	<b>Ø</b>	67.68%	32.32%	0.00%	72,638	65.54%	34.46%	17.85%	3.33%	11.20%	2.27%	0.20%
9	99,032	2.96%	<b>Ø</b>	<b>Ø</b>	84.12%	15.88%	0.00%	89,911	63.47%	36.53%	15.73%	4.71%	14.72%	1.58%	0.20%
10	97,948	1.83%	<b>Ø</b>	<b>Ø</b>	69.36%	30.64%	0.00%	78,262	71.00%	29.00%	12.25%	3.42%	11.40%	1.88%	0.21%
	96,186	6.55%	⊗	<b>Ø</b>	77.34%	22.66%	0.00%	77,543	50.40%	49.60%	29.62%	8.33%	10.31%	2.67%	0.23%