

CITY OF AUSTIN
Board of Adjustment
Decision Sheet
C-1

DATE: September 13, 2021

CASE NUMBER: C16-2021-0008

____ Thomas Ates
____ Brooke Bailey
____ Jessica Cohen
____ Melissa Hawthorne (ABSTAINING)
____ Barbara McArthur
____ Rahm McDaniel
____ Darryl Pruett
____ Agustina Rodriguez
____ Richard Smith
____ Michael Von Ohlen
____ Nicholl Wade
____ Kelly Blume (Alternate)
____ Carrie Waller (Alternate)
____ Vacant (Alternate)

APPLICANT: Leah Bojo

OWNER: The Standard at Austin, LLC

ADDRESS: 715 W 23RD ST

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a sign variance(s), a total of 6 signs on the property from the Land Development Code, Section 25-10-133 (*University Neighborhood Overlay Zoning District Signs*)

(H) to allow for a total of six (6) signs to all be illuminated, five (5) LED illuminated wall signs and one (1) internally illuminated cabinet wall sign in a “CS-NP”, General Commercial Services – Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (West University Neighborhood Plan)

Note: The Land Development Code sign regulations 25-10-133 University Neighborhood Overlay Zoning Districts Signs: (H) A sign may not be illuminated or contain electronic images or moving parts.

BOARD’S DECISION: BOA JUNE 14, 2021 MEETING June 14, 2021 The public hearing was closed by Chair Jessica Cohen, Board Member Darryl Pruett motions to Postpone to August 9, 2021; Board Member Brooke Bailey seconds on a 9-0 vote (Board member Melissa Hawthorne abstained); POSTPONED TO AUGUST 9, 2021. August 9, 2021 POSTPONED TO September 13, 2021 BY APPLICANT; Sept 13, 2021 WITHDRAWN BY APPLICANT

FINDING:

1. The variance is necessary because strict enforcement of the Article prohibits and reasonable opportunity to provide adequate signs on the site, considering the unique features of a site such as its dimensions, landscape, or topography, because:

OR,

2. The granting of this variance will not have a substantially adverse impact upon neighboring properties, because:


OR,

3. The granting of this variance will not substantially conflict with the stated purposes of this sign ordinance, because:

AND,

4. Granting a variance would not provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated, because:


Elaine Ramirez
Executive Liaison


Jessica Cohen
Chairman