

CITY OF AUSTIN
Board of Adjustment
Decision Sheet
E-7

DATE: Monday November 8, 2021

CASE NUMBER: C15-2021-0098

____ Thomas Ates
____ Brooke Bailey
____ Jessica Cohen
____ Melissa Hawthorne
____ Barbara McArthur
____ Rahm McDaniel
____ Darryl Pruett
____ Agustina Rodriguez
____ Richard Smith
____ Michael Von Ohlen
____ Nicholl Wade
____ Kelly Blume (Alternate)
____ Carrie Waller (Alternate)
____ Vacant (Alternate)

APPLICANT: Alecia Mosadomi

OWNER: PAP Realty Partnership/MVE Ventures, Ltd./The WP & AP Ltd

ADDRESS: 5200 MCKINNEY FALLS PKWY

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a variance(s) from the Land Development Code to decrease the required setback and increase the maximum allowable height requirements of Article 10, Compatibility Standards, Division 2 –Development Standards, Section 25-2-1063 (Height Limitations and Setbacks for Large Sites)

- a) (C) (1) (a) increase height limit from 30 feet (minimum allowed) to 47 feet (requested)**
- b) (C) (2) (a) increase height limit from 40 feet (maximum allowed) to 47 feet (requested)**
- c) (C) (3) increase height limit from 40 feet plus one foot for each 10 feet of distance in excess of 100 feet but not more than 300 feet (maximum allowed) to 47 feet (requested) in order to erect Multi-Family Housing Development with on-site affordable units in a “MF-4”, Multi-Family Residence-Moderate-High Density zoning district.**

Note(s):


The Land Development Code Section 25-2-1063 (C) The height limitation for a structure are: (1) two stories and 30 feet, if the structure is 50 feet or less from the property: (a) in an SF-5 or more restrictive zoning district (2) three stories and 40 feet if the structure is more than 50 feet and not more than 100 feet from property: (a) in an SF-5 or more restrictive zoning district.

BOARD'S DECISION: BOA Meeting Oct 11, 2021-POSTPONED TO NOVEMBER 8, 2021 BY BOARD MEMBERS** (Due to not enough Board Members present at the meeting);
WITHDRAWN BY APPLICANT**

FINDING:

1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:
 - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:


Elaine Ramirez
Executive Liaison


Jessica Cohen
Madam Chair