CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment Decision Sheet D-1

DATE: Monday November 8, 2021

CASE NUMBER: C15-2021-0100

___Y___Thomas Ates

- ____Y___Brooke Bailey
- ____Y___Jessica Cohen
- ____Y___Melissa Hawthorne
- ____Y___Barbara Mcarthur
- ____Y___Rahm McDaniel
- ____Y___Darryl Pruett
- _____Agustina Rodriguez (abstained)
- ____Y___Richard Smith
- _____Michael Von Ohlen (out)
- _____Nicholl Wade (out)
- ____Y___Kelly Blume (Alternate)
- ____Carrie Waller (Alternate) (abstained)
- _____Vacant (Alternate)

OWNER/APPLICANT: Ian Ellis

ADDRESS: 1003 KINNEY AVE

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a variance(s) from the Land Development Code: Section 25-2-943 (B) (2) (a) (Substandard Lot) to decrease the minimum Lot Size requirements from 5,750 square feet (required) to 5,464 square feet (requested), (TCAD records show 5,740 sq. ft.) in order to erect a Single-Family residence with a Pool in a "SF-3", Single-Family zoning district.

Note: 25-2-943 SUBSTANDARD LOT (B) A substandard lot may be used for a single-family residential use if the use is permitted in the zoning district in which the lot is located and the lot complies with the requirements of this subsection. (2) A substandard lot recorded in the county real property records after March 14, 1946 must: (a) have an area of not less than 5,750 square feet.

BOARD'S DECISION: BOA MEETING NOV 8, 2021 The public hearing was closed by Madam Chair Jessica Cohen, Board Member Melissa Hawthorne motions to Postpone to December 13, 2021; Board Member Darryl Pruett seconds on a 9-0-2 vote (Board members Agustina Rodriguez and Carrie Waller Abstained); POSTPONED TO DECEMBER 13, 2021.

FINDING:

- 1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:
 - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
- 3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

re Ramiroz

Elaine Ramirez Executive Liaison

Diana A. Ramirez

Jessica Cohen Madam Chair

ADVANCED PACKET TABLE OF CONTENTS:

| 3. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT RENDERPG 44. NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION CORRESPONDENCEPG 5-5. 1003 KINNEY AVE LOT HISTORYPG 7-6. COA CORRESPONDENCE DURING EXPEDITED REVIEWPG 147. COA CORRESPONDENCE POST EXPEDITED REVIEWPG 158. COA CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING ZONING INFORMATIONPG 159. COA CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING ALLEY ACCESSPG 1610. SUPPLEMENT INFORMATIONPG 16 | -13 4 5 -16 7 8 |
|---|------------------------------------|
| 10. SUPPLEMENT INFORMATION PG 19 | 7-22 |



Case Number 2021-000085 BA November 19, 2021


E-2/3


KINNEY AVE BOA Advanced Packet



EXISTING VACANT SUBSTANDARD LOT

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT





SECTION B.2.A. MIN. LOT SIZE= 5,750 SF **EXISTING LOT SIZE= 5,464 SF**

E-2/4



PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Proposed development of a two story residence with single car garage and a 4 bedroom 3 bathroom home is designed to maintain the neighborhood scale. The design does not push the limits of the McMansion tent like neighborhing properties, and rather sets a lower datum by having lower floor to floor heights in order to reduce to overall scale of the home. The home design also does not infringe on the protected tree in the alley, and was designed to maximize the preservation of this tree canopy and view. Design as proposed meets all code standards and during expedited review did not require additional comment responses or clarifications. This urban infill home is providing much needed density within the Central Austin core fabric. As one supportive neighbor comments, the design does not propose an ADU nor does it try to utlize attic exemptions to increase the overall SF of the home and scale of the home so that if fits in better as a Single Family Residence on this street. Below are the applicable zoning codes that are design is compliant with.

SETBACKS & HEIGHT*

| *** TOTALS AND PERCENTAGES LISTED APPLY TO OUR EXISTING SUBSTAND | DARD LOT AREA |
|--|---------------|

| MAXIMUM HEIGHT | 35' |
|--------------------|-----|
| MINIMUM SETBACKS | |
| FRONT YARD | 25' |
| INTERIOR SIDE YARD | 5′ |
| REAR YARD | 10' |

ZONING

SUBSTANDARD LOT- § 25-2-943 B.2

SECTION B.2. A MINIMUM LOT SIZE

5,750 SQ FT - EXISTING 5,464 SF VARIANCE REQUESTED

SECTION B.2. B MINIMUM LOT WIDTH

COMPLIANT 50 FT - EXISTING 61'-7"

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN STANDARDS - SF-3-NP

SETBACK PLANES SIDE - 15' ABOVE GRADE AT 45° COMPLIANT REAR - 15' ABOVE GRADE AT 45° COMPLIANT

SIDE WALL ARTICULATION MAX 36' LENGTH

COMPLIANT

5,464 SF


BUILDABLE AREA

| TOTAL LOT AREA |
|----------------|
|----------------|

| | · | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|
| IMPERVIOUS COVER - 45% | 2,394 SF (43.8%) | COMPLIANT |
| FAR (ZONING 25-2 § 2.1) | 2,297 SF (> 2300 SF) CO | MPLIANT |
| ** CALCULATION BASED ON GREATER OF .4: | 1 OR 2,300 SF | |
| 1ST FLOOR CONDITIONED | 1,014 SF | |
| 2ND FLOOR CONDITIONED | 1,262 SF | |
| GARAGE | 221 SF (EXEMPT -200 SF) | |
| PORCH | 105 SF (EXEMPT) | |
| | | |

VARIANCE REQUEST

OWNER TEAM IS REQUESTING A VARIANCE REQUEST TO BUILD ON A SUBSTANDARD LOT. OUR EXISTING LOT SQUARE FOOTAGE DOES NOT CURRENTLY ALLOW FOR CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT APPROVAL OF A VARIANCE RE-QUEST. PROPOSED DESIGN AS INDICATED ON LEFT IS COMPLIANT WITH ALL COA ZONING AND BUILDING CODE AND COA STAFF IS READY TO APPROVE PERMIT PENDING BOA APPROVAL. SUPPLEMENT INFORMATION IS GIVEN THROUGHOUT PRESENTATION OUTLINING NEIGHBORHING PROPERTY THAT WAS CONSTRUCTED ON IN PREVIOUS YEARS IN ADDITION TO OUR LOT TECHNICALLY MEETING LAND STATUS DETERMINATION EXEMPTIONS.




PRESENTERS: DEVELOPER- IAN M. ELLIS, AIA, NCARB, RID & ARCHITECT- SARAH JOHNSON, AIA, RID KINNEY AVE BOA VARIANCE- CASE NUMBER 2021-000085 BA - November 19, 2021

TOTAL

COMPLIANT





PROPOSED DESIGN 4BED 3 BATH 1 CAR GARAGE





E-2/6

EXTERIOR RENDERINGS

PRESENTERS: DEVELOPER- IAN M. ELLIS, AIA, NCARB, RID & **ARCHITECT-** SARAH JOHNSON, AIA, RID **KINNEY AVE** BOA VARIANCE- CASE NUMBER 2021-000085 BA - November 19, 2021



NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION CORRESPONDENCE

Owner team has corresponded with Neighborhood Association and requested their formal comments regarding next steps as it is related to developing the property. See provided email. Neighborhood Association recommends that our team follow the BOA recommendation to explore the route of seeking a land status determination.

The Neighborhood Association also provided additional information to the other half of our original whole lot. Information for adjacent property also included development of that substandard lot in 2007. Adjacent lot is of the same size and dimension as 1003 Kinney Avenue. One of the neighborhood recommendations in addition to seeking a land status determination was reviewing the potential of aquiring the alley. This was denied by the COA. See COA correspondence.

On Wed, Nov 10, 2021 at 4:27 PM Lorraine Atherton

wrote:

Mr. Ellis,

Before we set up a meeting, please gather the information requested by Vice Chair Hawthorn and the other board members.

For your convenience, we have attached the section of code that VC Hawthorn referenced, section 25-2-4, along with the 2019 land status determination for your property (including the 1962 water service permit), and the 2006 residential permit application approved for 1001 Kinney.

The 1962 water service permit confirms that both properties, 1001 and 1003 Kinney, were in their current configuration (5,456 sf each) in 1962, meeting the requirements of 25-2-4(C), and that they must have been subdivided simultaneously. The demolition and building permits approved for 1001 Kinney in 2006 raise the questions, Why did the City approve the new construction at 1001 Kinney without a variance, and why is a variance now required in apparently identical circumstances at 1003 Kinney?

Given this evidence, we are inclined to agree with VC Hawthorn that a variance probably should not be required.

Please bring this up with your case manager and ask for a written explanation (code interpretation letter) that you can submit to the Board of Adjustment. If the case manager decides that 1003 Kinney does not need a variance, then there is no reason for us to meet. If the case manager sticks with the variance requirement, the ZNA zoning committee (not to mention the BoA) will want to understand why.

Thanks.

Lorraine Atherton

For the ZNA Zoning Committee



1 message lan E To: Lorraine Atherton Cc: z

Re: 1003 Kinney Avenue

Lorraine.

Thanks for the response and documents! Feel free to call me lan, and please let me know if you have a preference as to how I may address you.

To begin, I want to say we truly weren't aware a meeting with ZNA would be customary or required, so our apologies for missing out on that. We did however sincerely introduce ourselves to some of the neighbors, and I have personally contacted those that provided support and opposition letters for our hearing. We're definitely not trying to sneak anything past anyone - our team's reputation in Austin is simply too good to tarnish.

As for 1001 Kinney, we already had those documents and confirmed with COA that their home's construction was indeed not subject to a BOA variance as you have noted. Oddly enough, if you add 1001 and 1003's property areas, you don't get back to the original amount of the whole lot. Property is funny that way... Similarly, we already had the Land Status Determination for our property, which COA has already seen. So the guestion of why do we need a variance vs why did 1001 not need one has already been posed to the COA review staff.

One particular thing to note is that the property was never subdivided after the original 1896 plat - we confirmed this with the Country Records department, and with doing deed transaction and title searches back to the original sale of the land. The property was however sold as 2 separate tax parcels, which does not trigger re-plat, does not constitute a resubdivision, nor is it an amendment to the original plat. All of this information COA has as well. Tax parcel map is attached here for your reference, showing the only resubdivided lots of Block 1.

You had also asked specifically if the City would vacate, abandon, or sell the alley. They will not, and attached is the email from their department detailing that. Similarly, even if they could vacate, abandon, or sell a portion of the alley to us, we would not be able to build or use it for access, due to the protected tree, and I am certain we would be met with significant opposition from other neighbors that want to preserve the alley as it currently is. It's really nice, I totally understand how pleasant it is to be able to walk from a back yard through there at any time.

Our Building Permit Reviewer is unfortunately no longer working in that role, so I have already escalated these questions from the Board to his supervisors, Eric Thomas and Susan Barr. They have already watched the BOA hearing and were pretty confused themselves as to what the board was suggesting, so they will be meeting Tuesday to discuss whether or not they still believe a Variance is required. I will provide you with an update of their conversation once it's available.

Thanks again for your time and the considerate email.

Ian M. Ellis, AIA, NCARB, RID Architect + Project Manager / Interior Designer / R&D

210.606.7880 @ianellis



lan Ellis <ian@ianmakes.com>

Wed, Nov 10, 2021 at 5:09 PM



NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION CORRESPONDENCE

From: Ian Ellis Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 12:11 PM To: Subject: 1003 Kinney Avenue Lorraine, Thank you for participating in the hearing regarding our proposed variance to construct a new home at 1003 Kinney. As encouraged by your testimony and the Board, we would be happy to meet with you and the association to discuss our project, hear you out, and answer any questions you may have. I was hoping we would have time to meet and talk after the hearing but we missed you on your way out - if this is not the best contact information, please let us know where to send communications. CC'd here is the team for this project including the architect, builder, and co-owners. Are there any days/times that are already scheduled that we could join to meet, or would you prefer to schedule a special time? We are happy to chat in person, or over zoom if that's preferred. We understand the next ZNA executive committee meeting is December 6, and we could attend and chat then as well if you prefer. Please let us know how you would like to proceed. Thanks very much, lan and team. Ian M. Ellis, AIA, NCARB, RID Architect + Project Manager / Interior Designer / R&D 210.606.7880

@ianellis

2 attachments

Tax Parcel Map.pdf

F-2/8


PRESENTERS: DEVELOPER- IAN M. ELLIS, AIA, NCARB, RID & ARCHITECT- SARAH JOHNSON, AIA, RID KINNEY AVE BOA VARIANCE- CASE NUMBER 2021-000085 BA - November 19, 2021



1003 KINNEY AVE LOT HISTORY

Owner team requested information via email on the orgiinal deed plat for the property from the Travis County Clerk Office. Original plat is dated from 1896 and can be seen below. Highlighted lot in question can be seen below.

Travis County Clerk's Office and contact Servando Hernandez were able to confirm that the property has never been replatted or amended and that the deed and subsequent deed updates still reference the lot as the original plat. This finding is in conflict with the survey, as the survey only shows the property as a 1/2 lot.





RE: [CAUTION EXTERNAL] 1003 Kinney Ave: Plat Record History Request

1 message

Servando Hernandez < To: "ian@ianmakes.com"

Good morning

Per our earlier conversation, your property at 1003 Kinney avenue have not been replated or amended. Your deed/previous deeds and a deed filed in volume-4048 page-157 in(1971) still refence the property in as the current deed. In the original plat recorded in volume-1 page-120 of the plats recording in Travis County.

Servando Hernandez Research Department Travis County Clerk's Office 5501 Airport Blvd. Ste. B100 Austin, TX 78751

512-854-9188 ext. 32005

From: Servando Hernandez Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 10:08 AM To: i Subject: RE: [CAUTION EXTERNAL] 1003 Kinney Ave: Plat Record History Request

Good Morning

Thank you for reaching out to the Travis County Clerk's Office. I am not sure what you meant by plat history, usually plats stay the same regardless if you cut the lot in half or a variation of. The only way that the plat changes are if the lot was (replated/amended). If the deed still references the original volume/page (Volume-1 Page-120) then it was never replated or amended. If you have any question please contact us at 512-854-9188. Thanks

Servando Hernandez

Research Department



lan Ellis <ian@ianmakes.com>

Tue, Nov 9, 2021 at 12:07 PM





2019 COA APPROVED LAND STATUS DETERMINATION



City of Austin **Development Services Department** Land Status Determination 1995 Rule Platting Exception

February 25, 2019

File Number: C8I-2019-0044

Address: 1003 KINNEY AVE

Tax Parcel I.D. #0102040217 Tax Map Date: 02/10/2015

The Development Services Department has determined that this parcel, as described in the attached description and map, IS EXCEPTED FROM THE REQUIREMENT TO PLAT in accordance with the Land Development Code, Section 25-4-2(C), and is eligible to receive utility service.


The parcel of land consists of five acres or less, and is described as being the South 1/2 of Lots 1 & 2, Block 1, Barton Springs Park in the current deed, recorded on Feb 01, 1991, in Volume 11365, Page 624, Travis County Deed Records. This parcel existed in its current configuration on January 1, 1995, as evidenced by a deed recorded on Feb 01, 1991, in Volume 11365, Page 624, Travis County Deed Records. The parcel was lawfully receiving utility service, as defined in Section 212.012 of the Texas Local Government Code, on January 1, 1995, as evidenced by water service on Jul 18, 1962. The parcel meets the requirements of the Land Development Code for roadway frontage and is located on an existing street.

Additional Notes/Conditions: NONE

This determination of the status of the property is based on the application of Chapter 212, Municipal Regulation of Subdivisions and Property Development, Texas Local Government Code; and the City of Austin Land Development Code, Chapter 25-4, Subdivision. Recognition hereby does not imply approval of any other portion of the City Code or any other regulation.

By:

Michelle Casillas, Representative of the Director **Development Services Department**



E-2/10

PRESENTERS: DEVELOPER- IAN M. ELLIS, AIA, NCARB, RID & ARCHITECT- S'ARAH JOHNSON, AIA, RID KINNEY AVE BOA VARIANCE- CASE NUMBER 2021-000085 BA - November 19, 2021



2019 COA APPROVED LAND STATUS DETERMINATION



Land Status Determination Application

This application is a fillable PDF that can be completed electronically. To ensure your information is saved, click here to Save the form to your computer, then open your copy and continue.

The Tab key may be used to navigate to each field; Shift + Tab moves to the previous field. The Enter key activates links, emails, and buttons. Use the Up & Down Arrow keys to scroll through drop-down lists and check boxes, and hit Enter to make a selection.

The application must be complete and accurate prior to submittal.

All information is required (if applicable).

For Office Use Only

| Application Accepted By: | te: | |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| C Legal Tract (Grandfather) | O 1987 Rule Exception | O Health/Safety Exception |
| Other | 1995 Rule Exception | O Five-Acre Exception |
| | Number: 102132 | |
| | Springs Park | |
| Whole Lot #s: | Partial Lot #s: S 1/2 Lots 18 | Block #s: 1 |
| Plat Book: 1 | Page: 120 | County: |
| -OR if no subdivision- | | |
| Acres: Out of | of (survey): | In (county): |

Section 2: Deed Information Deed conveying tract to current owner is Volume: 11365 Page: 0624 County: TRAVIS Deed pre-dating (grandfather date or exc Volume: Page: County: Section 3: Applicant/Agent In Applicant Name: Joe B. McAlister Firm: Applicant Mailing Address: 2112 Eva City: Austin Email: Phone 2: Type 2: Section 4: Owner Informatio Same as Applicant Owner Name Firm: Owner Mailing Address: City: Email: Type 2: Phone 2: Section 5: Signature Applicant Signature

City of Austin | Land Status Determination Application

09/01/2015 | Page 6 of 7

City of Austin | Land Status Determination Application

F-2/11

| | or Doc #: 9100 | | | |
|---------|--|------------|--|----------------------------|
| | the second second second second | ate: 02/01 | 1/1991 | |
| | te) is filed for Record | l in: | | |
| | or Doc #: | | | |
| | Da | ate: | | |
| nformat | tion | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | State: TX | | Zip: | 78704 |
| | | | Tune 1 | Mobile |
| | Phone 1: (512) 98 | 3-2038 | Type 1. | WODIE |
| Select | Phone 1: (512) 98 Phone 3: | | Type 1: | |
| | | | | |
| n | | | | |
| n | Phone 3: | | | Select |
| Select | Phone 3: | | Type 3: | Select |
| n | Phone 3:State: | | Type 3: | Select |
| n : | Phone 3: State: Phone 1: | | Type 3: Zip Type 1: | Select |
| n : | Phone 3: State: Phone 1: | | Type 3: Zip Type 1: | Select |
| n : | Phone 3: State: Phone 1: Phone 3: February | 7 | Type 3: Zip Type 1: Type 3: 201 | Select Select Select |
| n : | Phone 3: State: Phone 1: Phone 3: | | Type 3: Zip Type 1: Type 3: 201 | Selec Selec Selec |
| n : | Phone 3: State: Phone 1: Phone 3: February | 7 | Type 3: Zip Type 1: Type 3: 201 | Select Select Select |
| n : | Phone 3: State: Phone 1: Phone 3: February | 7 | Type 3: Zip Type 1: Type 3: 2011 Ye | Select Select Select |

09/01/2015 | Page 7 of 7


PRESENTERS: DEVELOPER- IAN M. ELLIS, AIA, NCARB, RID & ARCHITECT- SARAH JOHNSON, AIA, RID KINNEY AVE BOA VARIANCE- CASE NUMBER 2021-000085 BA - November 19, 2021



2019 COA APPROVED LAND STATUS DETERMINATION

000,100 WATER SERVICE PERMIT 50 Ĕ Nº 30990 Austin, Texas 3lock: GE 7-18-62 -Received Received of ~ 0 0 Address Address 100 Amount Amount Size of Tap. Plumber Builder or Owner So 6 Lot. Block Date of Connection 9-7-62 W) B Date of Connection . 15-6 Size of Tap Made. Size Service Made. Size Main Tapped 24" C.1. By Plumber From Front Prop. Line to Curb Cock / 5. Checked By Samalize From Sout #Prop. Line to Curb Cock Depth + 5' (Rec.K) 6" Size Main . Location of Meter FRONT Main Assign 12/2' E of W R Type of Box 200 ~ D Stub Depth _____ Prop. Line 2 Depth of Main in St ... 4. 4" Stub Location NLL Book No. B 1241 11772 C Depth of Semantice Line. 3 곹~ From Carl Cock to Tap on Main. Paying Cut Checked by Engr. Dept. 12-9.62 SHP. Oa BD KINNEY io O KINNER 24"0.1. 9 ±б (124) 62 62 62 Ŀ З 1003 U 3 0 ~ 5 29 U ل۵ খা 빝 CALL ALLONG S З × Ш e.Â

E-2/12



PRESENTERS: DEVELOPER- IAN M. ELLIS, AIA, NCARB, RID & ARCHITECT- SARAH JOHNSON KINNEY AVE BOA VARIANCE- CASE NUMBER 2021-000085 BA - November 19, 2021



FEBRUARY 1991 DEED

0.3 360.90. 91009012

COUNTY OF THAVES \$

5000 FILM CODE.



2.00 Bet 4 02/01/9

17-33-090

*1009t.12-000

00004673193

7052 W 3633

THE STATE OF TEXAS 5

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That NCNB TEEAS NATIONAL BANK TRUSTEE OF THE JEWEL BATTON DODSON TRUST, successor is interest to the fiduciary appointments of The Austin National Bark, for and in consideration of the sum of TEN AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$10.00) and other valuable consideration to the undersigned paid by the grantee herein named, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, has GRANTED, SOLD AND CONVEYED, and by these presents does GRANT, SELL AND CONVEY unto JOE B. MC ALISTER of the County of Travis and State of Texas, all of the following described real property in Travis County, Texas, to-witt:

WARRANTY DEED

Bouth 1/2 of Lots 1 & 2, Block 1, BARTON SPRINGS PARK, an addition in Travis County, Texas, according to the map or plat thereof recorded in Volume 1, page 110, Plot Records of Travia County, Texas.

This convoyance is expremity made and accepted subject to all valid and subsisting essements, restrictions, reservations, covenants and conditions relating to said property, to the extent the same are valid and enforceable against said property, as same are shown by instruments filed of record in the office of the County Clerk of Travis County, Topos.

TO EAVE AND TO HOLD the abase described precises, together with all and singular the rights and appartenances thereto in anywise belonging unto the said grantee, his heirs and assigns forever; and Grantor does hereby bind itself and its successors and assigns, to WARRANT AND FOREVER DEFEND all and singular the said premises unto the said grantee, his beins and assigns, against every person whomseever inwfully claiming or to claim the same or any part thereof, by, through or under the Grantor; provided, however, that this warranty shall not create any personal, individual or corporate obligations on the part of NCSB Texas National Bank, its officers or employees and the warranty of the Granter is specifically limited to the estant permitted under the Joycal listion Dodson Trust creating the fiduciary relationship by trust instrument dated August 10, 1985, and under the laws of the State of Texas.

percel number 01-0204-0217-0000

TRAVIS COUNTY, TREAT

11365 0624

THE STATE OF TEXAS

ADDRESS OF GRANTER:

JOE B. MC ALISTER 1404 Oxford

Mr. Joe B. McAlister 1404 Oxford Austin, Texas 78704

ness and charges one pracel if the

11365 0625 PRESENTERS: DEVELOPER- IAN M. ELLIS, AIA, NCARB, RID & ARCHITECT- SARAH JOHNSON, AIA, RID KINNEY AVE BOA VARIANCE- CASE NUMBER 2021-000085 BA - November 19, 2021

E-2/13

EXECUTED this the lot day of February ., 1991.,

NCNB TEXAS RATIONAL BANK, Trapped of the Jewel Batton Dodson Trust A_{ik} Wêtin Y. Horan Assistant Vice President H. Wendler Faul. i tar Vice Freeddent COUNTY OF TRAVIS This instrument was acknowledged before me on the 1st day of February . Assistant Vice President MM 1991 by Martin F. Horan Vice President on behalf of W18 Mum Notary Public, State of Texas MY COMMISSION EXPIRES. (Printed name of Notary) TEMPES'1 one behilve Standard David **WART** My barataket indexe. APRIL 95, 1980 Ametic, Texas 78704 CHARGE TO: Gracy Title Company AFTER RECORDING RETURN TO: FILED Fea 1 4 17 18 "91 DANS DESCRIPTION OF R TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS contractions that is a support of the NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY. on of Supplice, colors of aper, etc. Al (Automatic DURING CERT and one that not reported OMARD COUNTY, MEMORY REAL PROPERTY RECORDS TRAVES COUNTY, TERME - 2 -11



1962 HALF LOT SALE

1/2217

FACE

THE REACE OF TERMS

COUNTY OF TRAFFS.

That we, LEE FERRER and wife, 600A FERRER, of Trayis County, Texas, for and in consideration of the sum of THE AND HO/100 (\$10.00) POLLARS, and other good, valuable and sufficient consideration cash to us in hand paid by the Grantee heraingfuer named, the receipt of all of which is hereby acknowlodged and confessed, and for the payment of which no right or lian, express or implied, is retained;

いいもいちんした

NEON ALL WEN UT THESE PREAMPTS:

HAVE GRANTED, SOLD and CONVEYED, and by those presents do GRANT, SELL and CONVEY unto EDWIN EBGNRLOW CLAGETT, a single man, of Bouar County, Texas, all that certain lot, tract or parcel of Lund lying and being situated in Travis County, Texas, and known and described as follows, to-wit:

> The South one-half (S. 1/2) of Lots Nos. One (1) and Two (2), in Block No. Ons (1), in the Barton Springs Park Addition to the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to the map or plat of said Addition recorded in Book 1, at Page 120 of the Travis County Plat Records.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the above described premises, together with all and singular, the rights and appurtangances thereto in anywise belonging wato the Grantes above named, his heirs or assigns, forever. And we, the Grantors harein, do hereby bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, to WARRANT AND FOREVER DEFEND, all and singular, the said premises unto the said Grantee; his heirs and assigns, against every person whomsoever lawfully claiming or to claim the same or any part thereof, subject, however, to all valid restrictions and easements which are of record applicable to the property hereby conveyed.

The Grantors herein are to pay all taxes on the above described property for the years up to and including the year 1961, and the Grantee herein is to assume the payment of the taxes for the year 1962, the same having been prorated as of the date of this deed.

EXECUTED this 16th day of June, A. D. 1962. au Time NEW STANPS GANCELLES 1420

| E-2/14 |
|--|
| |
| tva2477 mil 17 |
| THE STATE OF |
| COUNTY OF |
| HEFORE HE, the undersig. Fority, on this day personally appeared |
| known to me to be the |
| personwhose namewu the foregoing instrument, and acknow- |
| ledged to me thathe executed - the purposes and consideration |
| therein expressed. |
| GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF the day of, |
| A. D., 19 |
| HOTARY FUBLIC, IN AND FOR |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THE STATE OF TERAS |
| COUNTY OF TRAVIS |
| BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared |
| LEE FERRIER and CORA FERRIER, his wife known to me to be the |
| person(s) whose name(s) are subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and scknow- |
| ledged to me that they executed the same for the purposes and consideration |
| therein expressed, and the said CORA FERRER, wife of |
| LEE FEMMER, having been examined by me privily and |
| spart from her husband, and having the same fully explained to her by me, she, the |
| said CORA FENDRER, acknowledged such instrument to be |
| her act and deed, and declared that she had willingly signed the same for the pur- |
| poses, and consideration therein expressed, and that she did not wish to retract it, |
| CINZE UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, this the Lth day of |
| A. D., 29 42 |
| Taxa Braun 1 |
| ROTARY PUBLIC, IN AND FOR TRAVIS |
| |
| |
| · Darly 13 1220 |
| Survicepuly 16:00 a Stat |
| 12 |
| ERS: DEVELOPER- IAN M. ELLIS, AIA, NCARB, RID & ARCHITECT- SARAH JOHNSON, AIA, RID |
| KINNETAVE BUA VARIANCE CASE NOMBER 2021-000085 BA - November 19, 2021 L |

PRESENT



1947 PARCELS DOCUMENTATION

v.2407 a.390

JII - 7-68855m 4534 1.25

THE STATE OF TEXAS COURTY OF TRAVIS

KINDA ALL MINI BY THRAE PRESERVES.

That we, FRED J. SUBLETTE and wife, MANGARET SUBLETTE, of Travis County, Texas, for and in consideration of the sum of THN AND NO/100 (\$10.00) BOLLARS, and other mood. valuable and sufficient consideration cash to us in hand paid by the Grantee hereinafter named, the receipt of all of which is hereby acknowledged and confessed, and for the payment of which no right or lies, express or implied, is retained;

' HAVE GRANTED, SOLD and CONVEYED, and by these presents do GRANT, SELL and CONVEY unto LEE FEBBER and wife, COBA FEBBER, of Travis County, Texas, all that certain lot, tract or parcel of land lying and being situated in Travis County, Texas, and known and described as follows, to-wit:

> PARCHIL NO. COME: The North one-half (N. 1/2) of Lots Nos. One (1) and Two (2), in Block No. One (1), in the Barton Springs Park Addition to the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to the map or plat of said Addition recorded in Book 1, at Page 120 of the Travis County Plat Records.

> PARCEL NO. TWO: The South one-half (S. 1/2) of Lots Nos. One (1) and Two (2), in Block No. One (1), in the Barton Springs Park Addition to the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to the map or plat of said Addition recorded in Book 1, at Page 120 of the Travis County Plat Records.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the above described premises, together with all and singular, the rights and appurtenances thereto in anywise belonging unto the Grantees above named, their heirs or assigns, forever. And we, the Grantors herein, do hereby bind curselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, to WARRANT AND FOREVER DEFEND, all and singular, the said premises unto the said Grantees, their heirs and assigns, against every person whomsoever lawfully claiming or to claim the same or any part thereof, subject, however, to all valid restrictions and easements which are of record applicable to the property hereby conveyed.

This deed is given to correct the property description of a deed by and to the same parties, dated September 23, 1947, of record in Voluma 849, at Page 625, of the Travia County Deed Records.

EXECUTED this 23rd day of September, A. D. 1947;

THE STATE OF TELAS COUNTY OF TRAVIS]

BEFORE ME, the updersigned asthority, on this day personally appeared FRED J. SUBLETTE and MARGARET SUBLETTE, his wife, known to ma to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that they executed the rame for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, and the said MARCARET SUBLETTE, wife of FRED J. SUBLETTE, having been examined by ms privily and spart from her husband, and having the same fully explained to her by me, she, the said MARGAPET SUBLETTE, acknowledged such instrument to be her act and dood, and doclared that she had willingly signed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, and that she did not wish to retract it.

THE STATE OF TEXAS I. MISS EMILIE LIMBERG, Clerk of the County Court County of Travis within and for the County and foregoing Instrument of Writh record in thy office on the 17 M. and daly record ø'eloek Heloci / м in the_ 2467 th Book No. WITHHER MY HAND and seal of unde ties Dovety. Clerk County Court, Travis Columny, Texas 2

F-2/15

- - 122467 304


GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, this the day of June, 1962. Nevenar BOTARY PUBLIC, TRAVIS COUNTY, THEAS.

| I State aforesnid, do hereby certify that the within and ting, with its Certificate of Authentication, was filed for |
|---|
| day of 12me A.D. 196 2 at 3 |
| ded on the fill day of race & A.D. 198 Vat |
| DEEDRecords of asid County, |
| Pagen 390 to 391 inclusive |
| the sead County Court of said County, the date last aisone written. |
| MISS EMILIE LIMBERG |
| Clade Country Class Theory Oct. 19 |

COA CORRESPONDENCE DURING EXPEDITED REVIEW

The Owner Team was able to locate a land status determination for the property that was submitted and approved for the 1995 Rule Platting Exception submission dated February 25, 2019. Reference next page for Land Status Determination documentation.

Owner Team confirmed with new COA reviewer that although we have documentation of a previous land status determination on the lot, they are still requiring us to request a variance through the BOA. Reference email.



Subject: 1003 Kinney Ave_attached COA Correspondence



Ian Ellis <ian@ianmakes.com>

Jhermaine.

Attached you will find the correspondence we had with Anthony McBryde regarding our lot requirements for a substandard lot. We wanted to clarify with him any applicable codes and zoning regulations that would apply in addition to setbacks, FAR requirements, and subchapter F requirements. During this correspondence it was not mentioned to us that a BOA variance would be required to develop the lot. In addition to the correspondence we've also attached the original plat that was reviewed at our meeting this morning. We do not have any further updated plats from the property on hand.

We'd like to clear this comment internally as we are in compliance with our proposed building design with the small updates that were shared at today's expedited review meeting. Please let us know the next steps after you meet internally with your team. Looking forward to hearing from you.

Thank you,

Ingrid



Ingrid Gonzalez Featherston, AIA, NOMA, RID

Senior Design Architect

Matt Fajkus Architecture

512.432.5137

RE: 1003 Kinney Ave attached COA Correspondence

1 message



Good afternoon,

I confirmed with my Zoning Supervisor Eric Thomas that a variance indeed will be required for this lot. The lot was configured in 1962.

Jhermaine McVea

Zoning Plans Examiner, Expedited Plan Review

City of Austin Development Services Department

6310 Wilhelmina Delco Dr, Austin, Texas 78752

Office: 512-974-2314



Building a Better and Safer Austin Together

Please contact my direct supervisor with any kudos or concerns at Adam.Smith@austintexas.gov.

PER CITY ORDINANCE: All individuals scheduling or accepting a meeting invitation with a City Official are requested to provide responses to the questions at the following link: DSD Visitor Log.

Please note that all information provided is subject to public disclosure via DSD's open data portal. For more information please visit: City of Austin Ordinance 2016-0922-005 | City Clerk's website | City Clerk's FAQ's

F-2/16

*** External Email - Exercise Caution ***



COA CORRESPONDENCE POST EXPEDITED REVIEW

Owner Team confirmed with new COA reviewer that although we have documentation of a previous land status determination on the lot approved from February 25, 1995, they are still requiring us to request a variance



lan Ellis sian@ianmakes.com>

Wed, Nov 17, 2021 at 10:55 AM

RE: 1003 Kinney Avenue

1 message

Thomas, Eric <Eric.Thomas@austintexas.gov> To: la Cc: "Barr, Susan" <Susan.Barr@austintexas.gov>

Hi lan,

Yes, the email sent this morning may serve as a written explanation/letter that you can reference at the next Board of Adjustment meeting.

You are correct in reading the email to mean that a single family residence cannot be built on the property without a variance. It is possible that a neighbor could purchase the lot and use a Unified Development Agreement to develop the new parcel as a single site, but I do not see any way the lot could be developed as a single site without a variance.

Thank you,

Eric Thomas

Residential Zoning Plans Examiner Supervisor, Residential Review

City of Austin Development Services Department

6310 Wilhelmina Delco Dr. Austin, Texas 78752

Office: 512-974-7940



Building a Better and Safer Austin Together

Please contact my direct supervisor with any kudos or concerns at susan.barr@austintexas.gov

PER CITY ORDINANCE: All individuals scheduling or accepting a meeting invitation with a City Official are requested to provide responses to the questions at the following link: DSD Visitor Log.

Please note that all information provided is subject to public disclosure via DSD's open data portal. For more information please visit: City of Austin Ordinance 2016-0922-005 | City Clerk's website | City Clerk's FAQ's

From: Ian Ellis Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 10:28 AM

To: Thomas, Eric < Eric. Thomas@austintexas.gov> Subject: Re: 1003 Kinney Avenue

*** External Email - Exercise Caution ***

Eric.

Thanks for taking a look at this and for explaining the logic. Does your email satisfy the requested Code Interpretation Letter from the Zilker Neighborhood Association?

Also, as we discussed during our phone call last week, could you please confirm that a variance is required to build anything on this property currently? Without a variance, the lot would remain vacant - currently due to the variance requirement, there is no possible way to have a reasonable use of the property, or to build a single family home, without the Board of Adjustment's approval of the minimum lot size variance.

Ian M. Ellis, AIA, NCARB, RID Architect + Project Manager / Interior Designer / R&D

210.606.7880

@ianellis

On Wed, Nov 17, 2021 at 10:12 AM Thomas, Eric < Eric. Thomas@austintexas.gov> wrote:

Good morning lan,

This email is in regards to your Residential Plan Review application #21-144210 for 1003 Kinney Avenue.

At the Board of Adjustment meeting on November 8, 2021, it was suggested that a "legal tract" determination, also

PRESENTERS: DEVELOPER- IAN M. ELLIS, AIA, NCARB, RID & ARCHITECT- SARAH JOHNSON, AIA, RID KINNEY AVE BOA VARIANCE- CASE NUMBER 2021-000085 BA - November 19, 2021





known as a Land Status Determination, could negate the need for a variance from the requirements of the City of Austin's Land Development Code (LDC) section 25-2-943 Substandard Lot. All a Land Status Determination does is exempt a particular tract from the requirement to submit a plat; it does not attest to the legality of existing or future development on the property.

The current lot contains roughly 5,450 square feet of area. 5,750 square feet is the minimum lot area for the zoning classification per LDC section 25-2-492 Site Development Regulations. Since this lot does not meet the minimum lot size requirements of 25-2-492, Residential Plan Review looks at section 25-2-943 Substandard Lot to see if the lot qualifies for the 4,000 minimum lot size.

The original plat shows two lots with a total area of 10,912 square feet. The earliest deed on record, showing the two current small lots, is from September of 1947. The date a substandard lot needs to be recorded with the County, so that it gualifies under the provisions of LDC section 25-2-943 (B)(1), is March 15, 1946. Since the earliest deed record is from September of 1947, the minimum lot area requirement is 5,750 square feet per item (B) (2) of 25-2-943. Because of this, a variance to minimum lot size is required in order to develop the property for a single family use.

Thank you,

Eric Thomas

Residential Zoning Plans Examiner Supervisor, Residential Review

City of Austin Development Services Department

6310 Wilhelmina Delco Dr, Austin, Texas 78752

Office: 512-974-7940



Building a Better and Safer Austin Together

Please contact my direct supervisor with any kudos or concerns at susan.barr@austintexas.gov

PER CITY ORDINANCE: All individuals scheduling or accepting a meeting invitation with a City Official are requested to provide responses to the questions at the following link: DSD Visitor Log.

Please note that all information provided is subject to public disclosure via DSD's open data portal. For more information please visit: City of Austin Ordinance 2016-0922-005 | City Clerk's website | City Clerk's FAQ's

CAUTION: This email was received at the City of Austin, from an EXTERNAL source. Please use caution when clicking links or opening attachments. If you believe this to be a malicious and/or phishing email, please forward this email to

F-2/18

PRESENTERS: DEVELOPER- IAN M. ELLIS, AIA, NCARB, RID & ARCHITECT- SARAH JOHNSON, AIA, RID KINNEY AVE BOA VARIANCE- CASE NUMBER 2021-000085 BA - November 19, 2021



COA CORRESPONDENCE REQUESTING ZONING INFORMATION

Owner and Architect Team originally contacted the city of Austin to research applicable zoning information to the property. COA contact Anthony McBryde provided information on small lot amnesty and substandard lot, but no additional information on how to move forward if the lot were to be developed. Owner and Architect team also provided original plat to COA for further clarification of lot requirements, but no clarificaiton was provided. Correspondence included in email below.

*mf*architecture

Zoning question 8 messages

McBryde, Anthony <

Hello.

You wrote

Hi,

I'd like to confirm whether our lot located at 1003 Kinney Ave would be eligible for small lot amnesty? Would this increase our FAR to 2,350 SF? Would this also increase the allowable impervious coverage on the lot? Current zoning allows 45%. Below are the calculations based on our zoning of SF-3. If you could confirm the small lot applicability and whether an increase in Impervious Coverage is allowed that would be a great help!

1003 Kinney Avenue

Zoning: SF-3 (ADU Reduced Parking allowed)

Lot Size: 5,461 SF

Max 40% Bldg Coverage/FAR (0.4:1)= 2,184 SF

Max 45% Impervious Coverage= 2,457 SF

Response:

The min, lot size for SF3 Zoning is 5750 sq. ft, your lot is less than the min, meaning it is a "Substandard Lot" depending on when the property was recorded in the County will determine if construction is possible. Small Lot Amnesty is granted to properties within certain Neighborhood Plans (NP) your property is not within a NP.

See below:

• § 25-2-943 - SUBSTANDARD LOT.

(A)

A substandard lot may be used for a nonresidential use that is permitted in the zoning district in which the lot is located if, except for minimum lot area, the use and development complies with the requirements of this title.

(B)

complies with the requirements of this subsection.

(1)

A substandard lot recorded in the county real property records before March 15, 1946 must:

(a)

have an area of not less than 4,000 square feet; and

(b)

be not less than 33 feet wide at the street or at the building line, or have access to a street by an easement that is:

(i)

not less than ten feet wide if it serves one lot, or not less than 18 feet wide if it serves more than one lot;

(ii)

not more than 150 feet in length; and

*mf*architecture

Zoning question Tue. Jun 22. 2021 at 10:37 AM Ingrid Gonzalez Featherston <

Anthony,

To follow up on the original plat, we have confirmed that it is prior to 1946. See attached. The property was originally platted in 1896. Since my previous email was assuming we were prior to 1946, could you provide answers to whether subchapter F will still apply on a substandard lot and if we have any leeway on impervious coverage?

Ingrid Gonzalez Featherston <ingrid@mfarchitecture.com>

Thank you,

Ingrid



Ingrid Gonzalez Featherston, AIA, NOMA, RID Senior Design Architect

Matt Fajkus Architecture

512.432.5137 900 E 6 St, #100, Austin

Executive Board Member | ACE Mentor Program of Austin Executive Board Member | NOMA of Central TX

[Quoted text hidden]

1-120 Plat.pdf



Ingrid Gonzalez Featherston <ingrid@mfarchitecture.com>

Mon, Jun 21, 2021 at 8:45 AM

A substandard lot may be used for a single-family residential use if the use is permitted in the zoning district in which the lot is located and the lot



COA CORRESPONDENCE REQUESTING ALLEY ACCESS

Owner team originally requested for the COA to vacate the alley and allow for our property to use it as vehicle access to the property. This was denied per the email to the right. They also requested the removal of a protected tree within the alley to allow for vehicular access and use of the alley, but this too was denied. See email correspondence below.

One of the neighborhood recommendations in addition to seeking a land status determination was reviewing the potential of aquiring the alley. This was denied by the COA. See COA correspondence.



lan Ellis <ian@ianmakes.com>

Mon, Jun 14, 2021 at 4:43 PM

RE: 1003 Kinney

1 message Olsen, Dillor To: Ian Ellis

Hey lan,

Thank you for your patience with this - I discussed the potential alley upgrade with a few coworkers.

It appears that since there is already a curb-cut and driveway within the private property, removal of a Protected Tree (by impact) in a currently natural area within the City ROW cannot be considered necessary to use/access the property.

The proposed removal would likely be rejected in review, as reasonable use/access isn't inhibited by the Protected Tree.

I hope this helps, and please let me know if you have questions going forward.

Thank you,

Dillon Olsen | Environmental Review Specialist Sr City Arborist Program, Community Tree Preservation Division City of Austin Development Services Department 6310 Wilhelmina Delco Dr, Austin, Texas 78752

Office: (512)974-2515



Building a Batter and Sefer Austin Together



| RE: 1003 | Kinney | Ave - | Grass | Alley |
|-------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Vest. Samue | 2 | | | |

To: Ian Ellis

lan,

I is my understanding if a vacation of right of way is requested everyone who touches the right of way has a say in the request.

The Public Works Department is asked if we would vacate the right of way. In this case we would object to the right of way being vacated because it is use for access.

The Public Works Department has no plans to improve the alley.

I hope this answers your questions.

Thank you

Samuel West City of Austin Public Works Department Office of City Engineer Project Coordinator 512-974-8775

From: Ian Ellis [mailt Sent: Monday, February 01, 2021 9:38 AM To: West, Samuel Subject: Re: 1003 Kinney Ave - Grass Alley Question.

Sam.

Thanks again for the call and discussion on this alley Friday.




lan Ellis <ian@ianmakes.com>

Question.

Mon, Feb 1, 2021 at 2:08 PM



SUPPLEMENT INFORMATION





- VIEWS LOOKING TOWARDS E SIDE OF KINNEY AVENUE
- 2-STORY AND 1-STORY HOMES ARE THE STANDARD •
- MIXTURE OF NEW CONSTRUCTION AND EXISTING ORIGINAL HOMES



NEIGHBORHOOD CONTEXT

PRESENTERS: DEVELOPER- IAN M. ELLIS, AIA, NCARB, RID & ARCHITECT- SARAH JOHNSON, AIA, RID KINNEY AVE BOA VARIANCE- CASE NUMBER 2021-000085 BA - November 19, 2021





- VIEWS LOOKING TOWARDS E SIDE OF KINNEY AVENUE (TO ROW) & W SIDE OF KINNEY (BOTTOM ROW)
- SOME VACANT LOTS ON KINNEY AVE
- MULTI-FAMILY ON KINNEY AVE TOWARDS INTERSECTION OF MARGARET ST & KINNEY AVE




NEIGHBORHOOD CONTEXT

PRESENTERS: DEVELOPER- IAN M. ELLIS, AIA, NCARB, RID & ARCHITECT- SARAH JOHNSON, AIA, RID KINNEY AVE BOA VARIANCE- CASE NUMBER 2021-000085 BA - November 19, 2021





- DEVELOPMENT PROPOSED AT 1003 KINNEY AVE IS ADJACENT TO NEARBY S LAMAR UNION
- MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT CAN BE FOUND AT S LAMAR UNION AS WELL AS ON THE S END OF KINNEY AVENUE AT THE MARGA-**RET ST. INTERSECTION**
- PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AT 1003 KINNEY AVE WOULD MAINTAIN CURRENT NEIGHBORHOOD CONTEXT AND SCALE IN TERMS OF BUILDING HT AND SCALE



COMMERCIAL

SITE MAPPING

COMMERCIAL

PROPOSED RESIDENCE





E-2/25 BOA GENERAL REVIEW COVERSHEET

CASE: C15-2021-0100

BOA DATE: November 8th, 2021

ADDRESS: 1003 Kinney Ave OWNER: Ian Ellis <u>COUNCIL DISTRICT</u>: 5 <u>AGENT</u>: N/A

ZONING: SF-3

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: S 1/2 OF LOT 1-2 BLK 1 BARTON SPRINGS PARK

VARIANCE REQUEST: decrease minimum lot size from 5,750 square feet to 5,464 square feet

<u>SUMMARY</u>: erect a Single-Family residence and pool

ISSUES: property's boundaries have changed over time, undeveloped COA alley

| | ZONING | LAND USES |
|-------|--------|---------------|
| Site | SF-3 | Single-Family |
| North | SF-3 | Single-Family |
| South | SF-3 | Single-Family |
| East | SF-3 | Single-Family |
| West | SF-3 | Single-Family |

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

Austin Independent School DistrictAustin Lost and Found PetsAustin Neighborhoods CouncilFriends of Austin NeighborhoodsFriends of ZilkerHomeless Neighborhood AssociationNeighborhood Empowerment FoundationPerry Grid 614Preservation AustinSELTexasSave Our Springs AllianceSierra Club, Austin Regional GroupSouth Central CoalitionTNR BCP – Travis County Natural ResourcesZilker Neighborhood Association





October 26, 2021

lan Ellis 1003 Kinney Ave Austin TX, 78704

Property Description: S 1/2 OF LOT 1-2 BLK 1 BARTON SPRINGS PARK

Re: C15-2021-0100

Dear lan,

Austin Energy (AE) has reviewed your application for the above referenced property, requesting that the Board of Adjustment consider a variance(s) from § 25-2-943 - SUBSTANDARD LOT (B) (2) to allow for the development of a single-family home on a 5,464 square-foot lot.

Austin Energy does not oppose the request, provided that any proposed or existing improvements follow Austin Energy's Clearance & Safety Criteria, the National Electric Safety Code, and OSHA requirements. Any removal or relocation of existing facilities will be at the owner's/applicant's expense.


Please use this link to be advised of our clearance and safety requirements which are additional conditions of the above review action:


https://library.municode.com/tx/austin/codes/utilities_criteria_manual?nodeId=S1AUENDECR_1 .10.0CLSARE

If you require further information or have any questions regarding the above comments, please contact our office. Thank you for contacting Austin Energy.

Cody Shook, Planner II

Austin Energy Public Involvement | Real Estate Services 2500 Montopolis Drive Austin, TX 78741 (512) 322-6881





NOTIFICATIONS CASE#: C15-2021-0100 LOCATION: 1003 KINNEY AVENUE

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.



This product has been produced by CTM for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.





CITY OF AUSTIN Development Services Department Permitting & Development Center Phone: 512.978.4000 6310 Wilhelmina Delco Dr. Austin, Texas 78752

Board of Adjustment General/Parking Variance Application

WARNING: Filing of this appeal stops all affected construction activity.

This application is a fillable PDF that can be completed electronically. To ensure your information is saved, click here to Save the form to your computer, then open your copy and continue.

The Tab key may be used to navigate to each field; Shift + Tab moves to the previous field. The Enter key activates links, emails, and buttons. Use the Up & Down Arrow keys to scroll through drop-down lists and check boxes, and hit Enter to make a selection.

The application must be complete and accurate prior to submittal. If more space is required, please complete Section 6 as needed. All information is required (if applicable). For Office Use Only

Case # _____ ROW # _____ Tax # _____

Section 1: Applicant Statement

Street Address: 1003 KINNEY AVENUE, AUSTIN, TX 78704

Subdivision Legal Description: S 1/2 OF LOT 1-2 BLK 1 BARTON SPRINGS PARK BARTON SPRINGS PARK, RESUB OF (C8S-61-?) LOTS 1* Lot(s): <u>1-2</u> Block(s): <u>1</u> Outlot: ____ Division: Zoning District: SF-3 I/We Ian Ellis, Property Owner/Partner Molly Devco on behalf of myself/ourselves as authorized agent for Molly Devco, Property Owner affirm that on Month October \circ , Day 7 \circ , Year 2021 \circ , hereby apply for a hearing before the Board of Adjustment for consideration to (select appropriate option below): Erect OAttach OComplete ORemodel OMaintain OOther:
 Type of Structure: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE WITH POOL, NEW CONSTRUCTION



Portion of the City of Austin Land Development Code applicant is seeking a variance from: "§ 25-2-943 - SUBSTANDARD LOT. (B) (2) A substandard lot recorded in the county real property records after March 14, 1946 must: (a) have an area of not less than 5,750 square feet;" TCAD shows the lot as 5,740sqft, our survey shows the lot as 5,464sqft. Requesting variance to allow the existing lot size for SF-3 zoning to construct a new single-family residence. Expedited review has already occurred, this is our only outstanding comment to clear.

Section 2: Variance Findings

The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of, and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional supporting documents.

NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated.

I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings:

Reasonable Use

The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: The property is currently surveyed as 5,464sqft (TCAD shows 5,740sqft) and currently zoned for SF-3. SF-3 minimum lot size is 5,750sqft, resulting in this being a substandard lot. Previously it was occupied with a single family home and detached artisan's studio. We intend to build a new single family home with a pool.

Hardship

a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:

This property's boundaries and areas have changed over time, and is unusual in that it is adjacent to a non-improved COA alley that cannot be developed privately for alley access, and will not be developed by COA (previous site plan exemption request confirmed this). At one time previously, it was likely this lot was larger, and would have met the minimum 5,750sqft aea for SF-3 and to not be considered a substandard lot.

b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: While there are other substandard lots in the area that have received BOA approval (904 Ethel, about a block away, for example), not all properties in this neighborhood are impacted by an adjacent undeveloped COA alley AND slightly under the 5,750sqft minimum while proposing to keep the same use, same zoning.

Area Character

The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

The proposed home is of reasonable size, proportion, conforms with Subchapter F, and is not striving to max out every exemption possible. It is a single-family residence with a yard and pool, strategically tucked into the corner of the site away from the adjacent COA alley and the proptected Cedar Elm tree within the alley. The proposed home does not include a third story occupiable roof terrace or habitable attic, keeping the scale similar to the adjacent homes and maintaining a yard that is approachable for the walkable nature of the street.

Parking (additional criteria for parking variances only)

Request for a parking variance requires the Board to make additional findings. The Board may grant a variance to a regulation prescribed in the City of Austin Land Development Code Chapter 25-6, Appendix A with respect to the number of off-street parking spaces or loading facilities required if it makes findings of fact that the following additional circumstances also apply:

 Neither present nor anticipated future traffic volumes generated by the use of the site or the uses of sites in the vicinity reasonably require strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specific regulation because:

NOT APPLICABLE

 The granting of this variance will not result in the parking or loading of vehicles on public streets in such a manner as to interfere with the free flow of traffic of the streets because: NOT APPLICABLE

 The granting of this variance will not create a safety hazard or any other condition inconsistent with the objectives of this Ordinance because: NOT APPLICABLE

4. The variance will run with the use or uses to which it pertains and shall not run with the site because:

NOT APPLICABLE


Section 3: Applicant Certificate


| I affirm that my statements contained in the complete ap my knowledge and belief. | | |
|--|-----------|-------------------|
| Applicant Signature: | | Date: OCT 7, 2021 |
| Applicant Name (typed or printed): Ian Ellis | | |
| Applicant Mailing Address: 507 Walsh Street | | |
| City: Austin | State: TX | Zip: 78703 |
| Phone (will be public information): 2106067880 | | |
| Email (optional – will be public information): | | |
| Section 4: Owner Certificate | | |
| I affirm that my statements contained in the complete ap my knowledge and belief. | | |
| Owner Signature: | | Date: OCT 7, 2021 |
| Owner Name (typed or printed): lan Ellis - Partner, Molly | Devco | |
| Owner Mailing Address: 507 Walsh Street | | |
| City: Austin | State: TX | Zip: 78703 |
| Phone (will be public information): 2106067880 | | |
| Email (optional – will be public information): | | |
| Section 5: Agent Information | | |
| Agent Name: | | |
| Agent Mailing Address: | | |
| City: | State: | Zip: |
| Phone (will be public information): | | |
| Email (optional – will be public information): | | |
| | | |

Section 6: Additional Space (if applicable)

Please use the space below to provide additional information as needed. To ensure the information is referenced to the proper item, include the Section and Field names as well (continued on next page).

We will be providing a visual package of the proposed and existing conditions, as well as the survey, plat, and plot plan with 3D renderings of the proposed construction. In addition, we will be providing backup commentary from COA Anthony McBryde regarding the substandard lot findings. Please reference Building Permit Application Case #2021-144210 PR





E-2/34



Soudrich

Avenue N' 30°E

60

Scale ; One inch = One hundred feet

No hereby declicate to the use of the current of the list, the streets and alleys adjourning each exhibited in the above . I. U. Davis Lucy L. Davis } Owners

120

Filed for Record at 2²⁰ O'clock P. M. 19th August 1896 Recorded 22° August 1896 at 12¹² P. M. John W. Horniby Clerk C.C. Travis County

Ì.

BARTON SPRINGS PARK

The property of I.V. Davis.

State of Texas Stepreme M. a. Dewoor a Notary Public Country of Traves S in and for said Alake and another this day promably appared I V. Dews and wife flag I Devs hower to me to be the fatores where names are absorbed to the program instrument and convertiged to me that the proceeded the count of the factors and convertiged to me that the proceeded the count Marking & Devis having been economical by me fourity and to get the fuel & Devis having the count after one full could and the fuel of Devis the count from the the how with meaned to have She the said Mr Jerry & Davis actor with a the with any fuel the same for the fuering and coelened that the how withing by signed the count of set of the fact of the that the how of the same to the Mark the did wet with to the there to all of office the and that the did wet with to the the to all of office the action of the mean under my having one of office the actions of 13th 13gb Mr. M. Dawson

N. a. Downson Notary Rubles France Cowinty, Peras,

1Seal 1

ò



architecture

KINNEY AVE

BOA Variance Package October 6th, 2021







SETBACKS & HEIGHT*

*** TOTALS AND PERCENTAGES LISTED APPLY TO OUR EXISTING SUBSTANDARD LOT AREA

| MAXIMUM HEIGHT | 35′ |
|---|------------------|
| MINIMUM SETBACKS | |
| FRONT YARD INTERIOR SIDE YARD REAR YARD | 25' 5' 10' |

ZONING

SUBSTANDARD LOT- § 25-2-943 B_2

| SECTION B.2. A | | |
|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| MINIMUM LOT SIZE | 5,750 SQ FT - EXISTING 5,464 SF | VARIANCE REQUESTED |
| | | |

COMPLIANT

SECTION B.2. B MINIMUM LOT WIDTH 50 FT - EXISTING 61'-7" COMPLIANT


RESIDENTIAL DESIGN STANDARDS - SF-3-NP

| SETBACK PLANES | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| SIDE – 15' ABOVE GRADE AT 45° | COMPLIANT |
| REAR - 15' ABOVE GRADE AT 45° | COMPLIANT |

SIDE WALL ARTICULATION MAX 36' LENGTH

BUILDABLE AREA

| TOTAL LOT AREA | 5,464 SF | |
|--|--|------------------------|
| FAR (ZONING 25-2 § 2.1) ** CALCULATION BASED ON GREATER OF .4:1 OR 2,300 SF 1ST FLOOR CONDITIONED 2ND FLOOR CONDITIONED GARAGE | 2,394 SF (43.8%) 2,297 SF (> 2300 SF) 1,014 SF 1,262 SF 221 SF (EXEMPT -200 SF) | COMPLIANT COMPLIANT |
| PORCH | 105 SF (EXEMPT) | COMPLIANT |







E-2/40




EXTERIOR RENDERINGS







E-2/42





ORIGINAL PLAT













PRESENTING TO BOA: PROJECT DEVELOPER TEAM- IAN M. ELLIS, AIA, NCARB, RID PRINCIPAL ARCHICTECT- SARAH JOHNSON, AIA, RID

KINNEY AVE

BOA Variance Package Case Number 2021-000085 BA October 21, 2021







E-2/50

